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On May 5 Brad Plaster and I measured the magnetic field of Charybdis along the center line for the three currents (nominally, 170.5 A, 226.5 A, and 237.6 A ) we used for the $\pm 40^{\circ}$ technique. Figure 1 shows the vertical component of the field as a function of position. Table 1 compares the field integrals to predictions made from a linear fit to previous TOSCA results (see my previous report). The linear function was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int B d l=(0.0058 \pm 0.0073)+(0.00401 \pm 0.00003) I \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the current in amps and $\int B d l$ is in Tesla-meters. Table 1 also lists the precession angles for each $Q^{2}$ setting for the central neutron momentum. Note the $0.6^{\circ}$ degree difference between the precession angles for the two polarities of the 170.5 A case.

| $Q^{2}(G e v / c)^{2}$ | Nominal I (A) | $\int B d l(\mathrm{Tm})$ | $\chi\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Predicted $\int B d l(\mathrm{Tm})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.447 | -170.5 | -0.69336 | 40.24 |  |
| 0.447 | +170.5 | 0.68308 | -39.64 | $0.6881 \pm 0.0089$ |
| 1.169 | +226.5 | 0.91108 | -39.93 | $0.913 \pm 0.010$ |
| 1.474 | +237.6 | 0.95563 | -39.89 | $0.959 \pm 0.010$ |

Table 1: Comparison of empirical field integrals to the prediction from the linear function (equation 1) using measured values of the current (170.15 A, 226.15 A , and 237.2 A). The precession angle $\chi$ is also computed for the value of $\beta_{n}$ corresponding to the central $Q^{2}$ value quoted in the first column.


Figure 1: Magnitude of the vertical component of the magnetic field produced in Charybdis as a function of power-supply current and position.

