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## Outline

- Introduction
- Features and parameterization of magnetized beams
- Formation of magnetized bunches:
- methods and limitations,
- experiments in rf gun.
- Transport and Manipulation:
- transverse matching,
- longitudinal manipulations,
- decoupling into flat beams.
- Outlook


## Required Electron-Beam Parameters

- Cooling interaction time
$\tau \approx \rho / v_{e \perp}($ not magnetized $)$
$\tau \approx \frac{\rho}{v-v_{e \|}}$ (magnetized)
- magnetized cooling less dependent on e- beam transverse emittance (to what extent?)
$r_{L}=\frac{v_{e \perp}}{e B_{z}} \quad$ (magnetized)


- electron-cooling accelerator provides beam eventually matched to coolingsolenoid section


## Cooler configurations



- high-energy coolers:
- medium energies required (50-100 MeV ),
- acceleration in SCRF linac $\longrightarrow$ bunching
- lumped solenoidal fields $\longrightarrow$ matching

early concept for RHIC e-cooling


## High-energy coolers



- matching + mode/converter sections: repartition "physical" emittances,
dump or
energy recovery match in cooling-solenoid section.


## Beam dynamics regimes (round beams)

- Radial envelope ( $\sigma$ ) equation in a drift (Lawson):

$K$ : generalized perveance $\epsilon_{u}$ : uncorrelated geometric emittance
$\mathcal{L}$ : magnetization


## Features \& Parameterization

- possible parameterization of coupled motion between 2 degrees of freedom has been extensively discussed; see:
- D.A. Edwards and L.C. Teng, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 3, pp. 885-889 (1973).
- I. Borchardt, E. Karantzoulis, H. Mais, G. Ripken, DESY 87-161 (1987).
- V. Lebedev, S. A. Bogacz, ArXiV:1207.5526 (2007).
- A. Burov, S. Nagaitsev, A. Shemyakin, Ya. Derbenev, PRSTAB 3, 094002 (2000).
- A. Burov, S. Nagaitsev, Ya. Derbenev, PRE 66, 016503 (2002).
- Simpler description that provides the necessary insights..


## A simple description of coupled motion

- Consider the $4 x 4$ beam matrix

$$
\Sigma \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\langle\mathbf{X} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\rangle & \langle\mathbf{X} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\rangle \\
\langle\mathbf{Y} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\rangle & \langle\mathbf{Y} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\rangle
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { where } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \equiv\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \equiv\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Introduce the "correlation" matrix: $C \equiv\langle\mathbf{Y} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\rangle\langle\mathbf{X} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\rangle^{-1}$
- Beam matrix takes the form:

$$
\Sigma=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C^{-1} \\
C & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\langle\mathbf{X} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\rangle & 0 \\
0 & \langle\mathbf{Y} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\rangle
\end{array}\right]
$$

- The correlation subjects to $R=\left[\begin{array}{ll}H & G \\ U & V\end{array}\right]$ transforms as $C_{0} \rightarrow C$

$$
C=\left(U+V C_{0}\right)\left(H+G C_{0}\right)^{-1}
$$

- $C$ provides information on the coupling only.


## Beam matrix for a round magnetized beam

- At a waist, the matrix of a magnetized (round) beam is

$$
\Sigma_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon T_{0} & \mathcal{L} J \\
-\mathcal{L} J & \varepsilon T_{0}
\end{array}\right] . \begin{gathered}
\text { where } T_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & -\alpha \\
-\alpha & \frac{1+\alpha^{2}}{\beta}
\end{array}\right] \\
\text { and the magnetization is } \\
\left.\mathcal{L}=\left\langle x y^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\frac{L}{2 p_{z}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- The eigen-emittances of this beam matrix are:

$$
\varepsilon_{ \pm}=\varepsilon \pm \mathcal{L} . \quad \text { where } \varepsilon^{2}=\mathcal{L}^{2}+\varepsilon_{u}{ }^{2}=|\Sigma|
$$

- the eigen-emittances can be mapped into "physical" emittances using a skewed beamline

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{+} & M_{-} \\
M_{-} & M_{+}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { decoupling } \\
\text { when }
\end{gathered} \quad \begin{aligned}
& M_{-}+M_{+} C_{0}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Formation of magnetized bunches

- Cathode immersed in an axial $B$ field
- Sheet beams at birth (with subsequent flat-to-round beam converter)
- shaped cathode,
- line-laser focus
- Nonlinear optics
G. Florentini, et al., Proc. PAC95, p. 973 (1996)



## Cathode in a magnetic field

- electrons born in an axial B field $B_{z} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAM}$

$$
L(r)=e r A_{\theta} \simeq \frac{e r^{2}}{2} B_{z, 0}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4}\right)
$$

- upon exit of solenoid field $\left(A_{\theta}=0\right)$ : CAM becomes purely kinetic.



## Emittance vs magnetization

- "effective emittance" $\varepsilon^{2}=\mathcal{L}^{2}+\varepsilon_{u}{ }^{2}$
- magnetization

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{e B_{0}}{2 m c} \sigma_{c}^{2}
$$

- The emittance has a lower-bound value :

- Practically, $\varepsilon_{u}$ includes other contributions.


## Example of 3.2 -nC magnetized bunch

- high-charge bunch subject to emittance degradation
- proper optimization (emittance compensation) $\rightarrow 4$-D emittance comparable to round beams.



| parameter | flat-beam configuration | round-beam configuration | units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q$ | 3.2 | 3.2 | nC |
| $E$ | 47.18 | 48.77 | MeV |
| $\varepsilon_{x}$ | 105.04 | 5.43 | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{y}$ | 0.31 | 5.44 | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{4 D}$ | 5.53 | 5.44 | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |
| $\rho$ | $\simeq 334$ | $\simeq 1$ | - |

## Measuring (kinetic) angular momentum

- Kinetic angular momentum can be measured using a slit technique (similar to emittance)

- The beam's average angular momentum is given by $\quad \sigma_{1,2}$ : rms beam size at slit (1) and observation screen (2),

$$
\langle L\rangle=2 P_{z} \frac{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sin \theta}{D}
$$

$P_{z}$ : axial momentum
$D$ : drift length between locations (1) and (2).

## Experimental generation in a photoinjector

- Fermilab A0 normal-conducting photoinjector (decommissioned),
- 15 MeV , charge up to $2 \mathrm{nC}, \sim 3-10 \mathrm{ps}$ bunch



## Experimental generation in a photoinjector

- linear scaling with B field on photocathode



## Experimental generation in a photoinjector

- weak $Q$ dependence,
- quadratic scaling with laser spot size $\sigma_{c}$ on photocathode.





## Decoupling into flat $\left(\varepsilon_{x} / \varepsilon_{y} \neq 1\right)$ beam

- Transport of magnetized bunches while preserving $\mathcal{L}$ is challenging,
- Use of round-to-flat beam transformer to convert into uncoupled (flat) beam $\rightarrow$ eigen-emittances maps into "physical" transverse emittances:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{n}^{ \pm}= & \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{u}\right)^{2}+(\beta \gamma \mathcal{L})^{2}} \\
& \pm(\beta \gamma \mathcal{L})^{\beta \gamma \stackrel{ }{\rightarrow} \varepsilon_{n}^{u}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{n}^{+} \simeq 2 \beta \gamma \mathcal{L}, \\
\varepsilon_{n}^{-} \simeq \frac{\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{u}\right)^{2}}{2 \beta \gamma \mathcal{L}},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decoupling into flat beam: experiments (1)

- Same experimental setup as used for generation of CAM-dominated beams

experiments
simulations







## Decoupling into flat beam: experiments (2)

- normal emittances map into the flatbeam emittance
- large experimental uncertainties for

| Parameter | Experiment | Simulation | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{x}^{X 7}$ | $0.088 \pm 0.01( \pm 0.01)$ | 0.058 | mm |
| $\sigma_{x}^{X 7}$ | $0.63 \pm 0.01( \pm 0.01)$ | 0.77 | mm |
| $\sigma_{x}^{X 8, v}$ | $0.12 \pm 0.01( \pm 0.01)$ | 0.11 | mm |
| $\sigma_{y}^{X 8, h}$ | $1.68 \pm 0.09( \pm 0.01)$ | 1.50 | mm |
| $\varepsilon_{n}^{x}$ | $0.41 \pm 0.06( \pm 0.02)$ | 0.27 | $\mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{n}^{y}$ | $41.1 \pm 2.5( \pm 0.54)$ | 53 | $\mu \mathrm{~m}$ | smallest emittance meas.



P. Piot, EIC'14, JLab, Mar. 17-21, 2014

## Outlook + open questions

- magnetized beam from a SCRF gun:
- flux concentrator around cathode?
- flat beam at cathode
[J. Rosenzweig, PAC93 showed $\left.\left(\varepsilon_{+}, \varepsilon_{-}\right)=(95,4.5) \mu \mathrm{m}\right]$
- needed $\epsilon_{u}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ ? and limit on 4 -D emittance?
- planned future experiment at ASTA
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