A High Precision Mott Polarimeter at 5 MeV
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 We report on the design and performance of a Mott polarimeter optimized for a nominal 5 MeV electron beam energy. Using beam with a 31.1875 MHz time structure from the electron injector of the 1497 MHz CEBAF accelerator, and incorporating time-of-flight in the electron detection, we can isolate those detected electrons that originate from the scattering foil. This background elimination results in exceptionally stable measured scattering asymmetries over a very broad range of beam conditions, beam currents, and foil thicknesses. In two separate measurements from two different photocathode electron sources, we have measured the scattering asymmetries produced by a ~ 86% transversely polarized electron beam incident on a range of gold foil thicknesses from 96 g/cm2 to 1.93 mg/cm2. The statistical uncertainty of each measurement is below 0.25%. We confirmed that within this statistical precision, the measured asymmetry was unaffected by +/- 2 mm shifts in the beam position on the target, and by beam current changes and deadtime effects over a wide range of beam currents. A detailed simulation of the complete polarimeter using GEANT4 has confirmed that double scattering in the target foil is the sole source of the measured asymmetry variation with foil thickness, and gives a result for the asymmetry versus foil thickness in excellent agreement with our measurements. Future measurements at different beam energies and with different Z foils will seek to bound uncertainties from small effects such as the nuclear size and radiative corrections. With a high precision measurement of the beam polarization using a different polarimeter, which is clearly possible at the CEBAF accelerator, simultaneous measurements with this polarimeter will allow a precision comparison with calculations of the Sherman function.
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