|  |
| --- |
| fa |
|  | **Operational Safety Procedure Form****(See** [**ES&H Manual Chapter 3310 Appendix T1 Operational Safety Procedure (OSP) and Temporary OSP Procedure**](http://www.jlab.org/ehs/ehsmanual/3310T1.htm) **for instructions.)** | **Click**For Word Doc |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DEFINE THE SCOPE OF WORK** |
| Title: | Test of ANL Bubble Chamber Detector |  |
| Location: | CENAF Injector 5D Beamline | **Type:**  | **OSP** **TOSP**X |  |
| Risk Classification (per [Task Hazard Analysis](https://www.jlab.org/ehs/ehsmanual/Glossary.htm#THADef) attached)(See [*ESH&Q Manual Chapter 3210 Appendix T3 Risk Code Assignment*](http://www.jlab.org/ehs/ehsmanual/3210T3.htm).) | Highest Risk Code Before Mitigation (3 or 4): | 4 |  |
| Highest Risk Code after Mitigation (N, 1, or 2): | 2 |  |
| Owning Organization: | ACCCIS | Date: | 8/4/15 |  |
| Document Owner(s): | Riad Suleiman Dave Meekins |  |
| Document History (Optional) |
| Revision: | Reason for revision or update: | Serial number of superseded document |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **ANALYZE THE HAZARDS** |
| 1. **Purpose of the Procedure –** Describe in detail the reason for the procedure (what is being done and why).
 |
| The intent is to use the CEBAF Injector test area with a maximum beam energy of 9.5 MeV (kinetic) to test the operational characteristics of the Argonne Bubble Chamber. The electron beam will be fully stopped (with the exception of knock on electrons) by a water cooled copper dump/radiator. The chamber was tested at Duke where a high neutron background adversely affected the results (slight modifications have been made since this test). The detector is not capable of distinguishing events (bubbles) from photons and neutrons. The purpose of the test at JLAB is to determine the photon detection effectiveness in a low neutron background environment. Operating parameters (e.g. pressure, temperature, fluid, event rate, buffer fluid level) shall be adjusted within a safety envelope to improve photon detection and chamber recovery times. The active fluids for the test are N2O and C2F6. Note that 150 ml of mercury is required as a buffer fluid in the detector. See the detailed document Argonne Bubble Chamber Test filed in the pressure system folder PS-TGT-14-002 and attached to the TOSP. |
| 1. **Scope –** include all operations, people, and/or areas that the procedure will affect.
 |
| The test will take place in the CEBAF Injector area where the chamber is installed. The DAQ and remote controls system shall be placed in the MCC in a similar situation to PEPPo. |
| 1. **Description of the Facility –** include floor plans and layout of a typical experiment or operation.
 |
| Test shall be performed in the CEBAF Injector area at the end of the 5D beamline. The formal songsheet for the beamline is given in ACC2008000-1100. |
| 1. **Authority and Responsibility:**
 |
|  | * 1. **Who has authority to implement/terminate**
 |
|  | Riad Suleiman, Brad DiGiovine |
|  | * 1. **Who is responsible for key tasks**
 |
|  | 1. Brad DiGiovine: Reassembly, installation, leak testing, alignment, filling, operation, disassembly, removal.
2. Riad Suleiman: Beam operation, beam current and energy changes
 |
|  | * 1. **Who analyzes the special or unusual hazards** (See [ES&H Manual Chapter 3210 Appendix T1 Work Planning, Control, and Authorization Procedure](http://www.jlab.org/ehs/ehsmanual/3210T1.htm))
 |
|  | Todd Kujawa: Inspect electrical components as required by JLAB (ANL has also performed inspections)Jennifer Williams: Analyze and inspect the HazMat systems (ANL has also performed inspections and monitored air quality while filling and other operations were performed)Pressure systems DA is Dave Meekins. The reviewer for pressure systems is Ed Daly. |
|  | * 1. **What are the Training Requirements** (See <http://www.jlab.org/div_dept/train/poc.pdf>)
 |
|  | SAF 801 Rad worker ISAF 103 ODHSAF 130 Oil Spill Training (not required for all personnel)SAF 132 Tunnel worker safetySAF 801kd RWP for tunnel accessSAF 100 General safety |
| 1. **Personal and Environmental Hazard Controls Including:**
 |
|  | * 1. **Shielding**
 |
|  | The copper beam dump, which has already been commissioned, shall require the shielding package 14-INJ-02. The photon dump shall require same shielding package. |
|  | * 1. **Interlocks**
 |
|  | The standard interlocks for the PSS system etc. shall be in place in the injector area. |
|  | * 1. **Monitoring systems**
 |
|  | Standard monitoring systems shall be in place in the injector area. |
|  | * 1. **Ventilation**
 |
|  | Standard ventilation of the injector area is required. |
|  | * 1. **Other (Electrical, ODH, Trip, Ladder)** (Attach related Temporary Work Permits or Safety Reviews as appropriate.)
 |
|  | The system has been reviewed and inspected by Todd Kujawa. |
| 1. **List of Safety Equipment:**
 |
|  | * 1. **List of Safety Equipment:**
 |
|  | **SKC Elemental Mercury Passive sampler****Assay Technology 575 Nitrous Oxide sampler** |
|  | * 1. **Special Tools:**
 |
|  | There are no special tools required |

|  |
| --- |
| **DEVELOP THE PROCEDURE** |
| 1. **Associated Administrative Controls**
 |
| The access to the injector area is controlled via the PSS. For Injector energies in excess of 8 MeV, a Radiological sweep shall be performed for the controlled access. This shall be performed several times until it is verified that there is acceptable levels of radiation in the Injector area for 8-10 MeV operations. |
| 1. **Operating Guidelines**
 |
| See procedural document with detailed description. |
| 1. **Notification of Affected Personnel (who, how, and when)**
 |
| 1. Use of the ATLis work planning tool.
2. Briefings at accelerator 0800 meeting
 |
| 1. **List the Steps Required to Execute the Procedure:** from start to finish.
 |
| 1. Reassemble, install and align the bubble chamber
2. Leak test the bubble chamber and record results
3. Install operating cables and test
4. Inspect electrical systems (Todd Kujawa)
5. Fill detector and startup refrigeration
6. Place detector in standby mode
7. Take test DAQ measurements
8. Perform measurements with the beam on the 5D beam dump
9. Access as need to make adjustments to and to perform detector calibrations
10. Deenergize system
11. Remove detector from Injector area

Detailed procedures can be found in the attached reference document and in the pressure system folder PS-TGT-14-002. |
| 1. **Back Out Procedure(s)** i.e. steps necessary to restore the equipment/area to a safe level.
 |
| The system may be deenergized by the system expert Brad DiGiovine if required. During emergencies, the system may be deenergized by following the emergency Deenergizing procedure. This second procedure is likely to break the inner glass vessel which will not result in hazard to personnel and will remove the stored energy from the system. |
| 1. **Special environmental control requirements:**
 |
|  | * 1. **Environmental impacts** (See [EMP-04 Project/Activity/Experiment Environmental Review](https://jlabdoc.jlab.org/docushare/dsweb/View/Collection-1349))
 |
|  | Under normal operating conditions, release of < 20 STP liters N2O or C2F6Should leaks develop it is possible to release 150 ml of mercury. A secondary containment pan is installed under the chamber to contain the mercury. |
|  | * 1. **Abatement steps (**secondary containment or special packaging requirements)
 |
|  | The system has been designed and fabricated to National Consensus Codes and Argonne National Lab standards. This makes leaks due to mechanical failure extremely unlikely. There are operating procedures in place for operation of the detector and there is no intent to “handle” mercury at JLAB. The detector was preloaded with mercury in a clean room at ANL. |
| 1. **Unusual/Emergency Procedures** (e.g., loss of power, spills, fire, etc.)
 |
| During a power loss the mechanical protection on the detector will prevent overpressure. See the reference documentation attached. Detailed procedures can be found in the attached reference document and the pressure system folder PS-TGT-14-002 |
| 1. **Instrument Calibration Requirements** (e.g., safety system/device recertification, RF probe calibration)
 |
| There are no instrument calibrations required for this test. |
| 1. **Inspection Schedules**
 |
| Because of the short duration of the test only initial inspections are required. Air monitoring shall be performed during the filling and venting/relief operations using the equipment listed in 6.1. The electrical components shall be inspected by Todd Kujawa. |
| 1. **References/Associated Documentation**
 |
| 1. Please reference PS folder PS-TGT-14-002
2. System P&ID TGT-502-1000-0000
3. Detailed procedures and description in the attached reverence document and the pressure systems folder PS-TGT-14-002.
 |
| 1. **List of Records Generated** (Include Location / Review and Approved procedure)
 |
| 1. This procedure.
2. The THA associated with this procedure.
3. All pressure systems documentation is stored in the PS folder PS-TGT-14-002.
4. Attached reference document.
 |
|  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Form Revision Summary****Revision 1.3 – 11/27/13 –** Added “Owning Organization” to more accurately reflect laboratory operations.**Revision 1.2 – 09/15/12 –** Update form to conform to electronic review.**Revision 1.1 – 04/03/12 –** Risk Code 0 switched to N to be consistent with [3210 T3 Risk Code Assignment](http://www.jlab.org/ehs/ehsmanual/3210T3.htm).**Revision 1.0 – 12/01/11 –** Added reasoning for OSP to aid in appropriate review determination.**Revision 0 – 10/05/09 –** Updated to reflect current laboratory operations

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
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