Nuclear Astrophysics with γ -ray beams and a bubble chamber

¹²C(α,γ)¹⁶O

Collaboration

Riad Suleiman Whitney Armstrong Kevin Bailey Jay Benesch Brad DiGiovine Arne Freyberger Joe Grames Alicia Hofler **Roy Holt David Meekins** Daniel Moser David Neto (PhD thesis) Tom O'Connor Matt Poelker Ernst Rehm Seamus Riordan Rashi Talwar Claudio Ugalde







Time reversal symmetry: x100 gain in cross section



Our approach: Inverse reaction + Bubble chamber + γ ray beam

beam target signal
$$\gamma + 16O \longrightarrow 12C + C$$

Bremsstrahlung from JLab ~
$$10^9 \gamma$$
/s (top 250 keV)

•Extra gain (x100) by measuring time inverse reaction

- •The target density up to x10⁶ higher than conventional targets.
- Superheated water will nucleate from α and ^{12}C recoils
- The detector is insensitive to γ -rays (at least 1 part in 10¹¹)

Oxygen bubble chamber

2010-2013 experiments at $HI\gamma S$

HIγS Photon Beam







 N_2O efficiency curve, HI γ S April 2013. E γ = 9.7MeV



September 2015 experiments at JLab

 N_2O thresholds, Superheat = 3.3 °C, E γ =8.5 MeV



Experimental Set-Up for the N₂O Bubble Chamber





Mechanical Design of the Bubble Chamber



Fluids in the Glass Vessel

Active Fluid :

- Molecular content of target ions should be maximized
- Transparent liquid is a convenient choice for using optical imaging techniques to detect the bubble events

Buffer Fluid :

- It must be immiscible with active fluid to form a meniscus
- Solubility between active fluid and buffer fluid must be very low
- It should not become superheated in the pressure/temperature range chosen for the experiment

The active fluid should be kept clean and must only come in contact with smooth surfaces. Therefore it is only allowed to come in contact with the glass pressure vessel or the buffer fluid which provides a smooth interface for the transmission of pressure changes from the hydraulic system.



Bubble Formation and Data Acquisition



First Half of the Experiment

Energy Measured (MeV)	Superheat Pressure (psi)	Superheat Temperature (°C)	Beam Current (µA)
7.7	325	-8	0.4
8	325	-8	0.4
8	325	-8	0.04
8	310	-8	0.035



Bubble Distribution



Bubble Size



Beam Position Test



Second Half of The Experiment

Energy Measured (MeV)	Superheat Pressure (psi)	Superheat Temperature (°C)	Beam Current (µA)
8	325	-8	0.4
8.2	325	-8	0.4
6.5	325	-8	1
4	325	-8	10



Experimental aspects that had to be improved

- Did not reduce beam current below 35 nA
- At high beam currents (10 μA), we observed camera scintillation events
- During the last few days, beam induced background became very high throughout the volume of the bubble chamber.
- Beam positon was not very well defined
- Mercury droplets on the glass vessel

May 2018 experiments JLab

Improvements over previous generation bubble chamber

Switched from two-liquid bubble chamber to single fluid. This removed chemical interactions between superheated fluid and buffer fluid. Also removed accumulation of drops of buffer fluid (mercury) on glass wall.

Lead shielding of video camera from scattered γ -rays.

Improved system of beam collimators and determination of beam parameters (current, momentum, position).

All were implemented successfully.



May 2018 Run Jefferson Lab

 C_3F_8

p ~ 5.5 MeV/c

- Easier to work with than with N₂O (Temperature and Pressure)
- We have measured these cross sections for ${}^{19}F(\gamma,\alpha){}^{15}N$ before. This would allow us to test cross section unfolding.



Wilmes et al. (2005)



Pb shielding of camera Removed scintillation