Precision Test of Jefferson Lab Mott Polarimeter at 3-8 MeV

J. Grames[1], M.J. McHugh[2], A.K. Opper[2], M. Poelker[1], C. Sinclair[3], R. Suleiman[1]

[1]Jefferson Lab, [2]The George Washington University, [3]Cornell University

Polarized Sources, Targets, and Polarimetry 2013



Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Mott Location



- Located in the injector.
- Measures transverse polarization close to the source.
- Along with spin rotators, sets spin direction for experiments.

Mott Scattering Asymmetry

The eA cross section can be written

$$\sigma(\theta) = I(\theta)\left[1 + S(\theta)\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{n}\right]$$

with $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{k}'}{|\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{k}'|}$. If $\mathbf{P}$ is horizontal, we measure an up-down asymmetry,

$$A_{UD} = \frac{\sigma_U - \sigma_D}{\sigma_U + \sigma_D} = S(\theta)P.$$

In actuality we use the cross-ratio method:

$$A_{UD} = \frac{1-r}{1+r} \qquad \text{with} \qquad r = \sqrt{\frac{N_U^{\uparrow}N_D^{\downarrow}}{N_U^{\downarrow}N_D^{\uparrow}}}.$$

The cross-ratio method is insensitive to false asymmetries at **all orders** from detector solid angle and efficiency, beam current, and target thickness and at **first order** from polarization differences and scattering angle.

Mott Layout

Our target inventory includes Au, Ag, and Cu foils. Mirrors for OTR light.
$\theta_{sc} = 176.4° \pm 0.45°$.
$d\Omega = 0.21$ msr.





Typical Run Parameters	
I_{beam}	1.0 μA
Beam Energy	5.0 MeV
Event Rate	1 kHz
Spin Flip Rate	30 Hz

Polarimeter Optimization





- Designed to run at 5 MeV.
- Figure of Merit, $\epsilon(\theta) = I(\theta)S(\theta)^2$, is inversely related to δP.
- Can measure to $\delta A \approx 0.5\%$ stat. using typical setup (1μA on 1 μm Au) in 5 minutes.

Detectors



- $\approx$ 3% energy resolution.
- Coincidence trigger on E+ΔE detectors vetos DAQ against γs.





Data Aquisition



- FADC channels for E and ΔE detectors records event pulse height at sample rate of 250 MHz.
- No dead-time issues with < 5 kHz means currents up to ≈ 5 μA possibles. Plans to circumvent this with block readout.
- Encodes events with delayed helicity to supress in-time helicity pickup.
- TDCs provide time-of-flight with 35 ps resolution.

Table of Contents

Multiple Scattering and Effective Sherman Function



$$A(\theta, d) = PS_{eff}(\theta, d) = \frac{PS(\theta)}{1 + \alpha(\theta)d}$$

- Systematic study in 2000 conclude 1.1 % total uncertainty dominated by Sherman function.
- Recent measurements agree but not at the 1 % level.
- Two-fold path for improving measurements:
  1. GEANT4 modeling and theoretical inputs for better systematics.
  2. Reducing backgrounds through hardware updates.

Table of Contents

Detector Spectrum



- Does the low energy shoulder carry asymmetry?
- Where does it originate?
- Propose to use GEANT4 simulation for two tasks:
  1. Attempt to answer above questions by accurately modelling detector geometry and response.
  2. Provide insight into $A(d)$ and $S(d)$ by determining effects of target thickness directly.

Asymmetry Vs. Energy



- Shoulder carries carries almost full strength of the physics asymmetry.
- Possible that these are good events loosing energy between the target and detector. Excluded from the asymmetry calculation.

Table of Contents

GEANT4 Modelled Apparatus







- Fires beam from the target to the detectors.
- Contains realistic handling of optical photons generated by scintillation and cerenkov processes.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: "Vacuum" (i.e. beamline vacuum only between the primary vertex and the E detector). Monoenergetic beam of 5 MeV in all cases.
- Red: Added ΔE detector.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: ΔE detector + Air.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: ΔE detector, Air + Al nose and Pb cap.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: ΔE detector, Air, Al nose and Pb cap + 8 mil Al window

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: All components in place. Illuminating entire acceptance.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: All components in place. Illuminating entire acceptance. Passes through 5 μm Au foil.

GEANT4 Simulated Spectra



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: All components in place. Illuminating entire acceptance. Passes through 5 μm Au foil. Added 0.05 MeV spread to thrown electron energy.

GEANT4 Comparison



- Blue: Vacuum
- Red: Passes through 5 μm Au foil.
- Black: Actual 1 μm Au data.
- Conclusions about shoulders:
  1. γ's in the detector are a large part.
  2. Radiative losses in window and scraping on collimator also contribute.
  3. More work is needed to describe the loss of physics asymmetry.

Table of Contents

Beam Dump Background

- 1.0" thick 8" diameter Al plate.
- Large amount (% varies with d and E) of backscatter from dump makes it into the detectors.
- Can't separate out using TDC cuts in typical running conditions, since bunches come every 2 ns.



ToF Selection











- Total rate from dump comparable to or greater than rate from target in thinner foils.
- Effects shoulder and lower elastic peak.
- Using new DAQ, can select for only in-time events with low rep rate.

Table of Contents

Rep-rate Issues



- Dump contributes as much as 8% of signal under elastic peak (2 σ) on 1 μm Au.
- When we run at high rep rate, can no longer remove background.
- **Proposed Solution**: switch to a low Z material in the beam dump.

Backscatter Solution: BeCu Dump-Plate



FIG. 8. Dependence of total backscattering coefficient $\eta(E_0, Z, \infty)$ for semi-infinite targets upon incident energy E_0.

Tabata predicts a factor of ≈ 10 reduction.



Using 0.25" Be backed by 0.75" Cu (red) we see a reduction by a factor of 4 over Al.

Future Plans

1. Use input from theorists to implement Mott physics with smallest uncertainties possible.
2. Transition from modelling detector response to modelling whole polarimeter $\rightarrow$ numerically predict $A(d)$.
3. Put new hardware (beam dump, target ladder ...) in place.
4. Ready to take beam whenever it comes back.

The End


VACUUM GENERATORS
RLHP206-24INCHES TRAVEL
VACUUM GENERATORS
RLHP206-24INCHES TRAVEL

Thermal model of Mott Dump



- $\frac{dE}{dx} = 1.6\ MeV\frac{cm^2}{g}$
- $I_{beam} = 10\mu A$
- No contact of Be disk back to Cu disk front
- Contact on Be disk side only

Electron-Nucleus Scattering

Electron moves in the nuclear Coulomb field, $\mathbf{E} = \frac{Ze}{r^3}\mathbf{r}$. Magnetic field induced in electron's frame, $\mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{Ze}{cr^3}\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v} = \frac{Ze}{mcr^3}\mathbf{L}$$

Magnetic field couples to the electron's spin $V_{so} = -\boldsymbol{\mu}_s \cdot \mathbf{B}$. Scattering potential :

$$V(r, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}) = V_C(r) + V_{so}(r, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}) = \frac{Ze}{r} + \frac{Ze^2}{2m^2c^2r^3}\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}.$$

Detailed Sherman Function

The single scattering cross-section for a point like nucleus is

$$\sigma(\theta) = I(\theta)\left[1 + S(\theta)\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{n}\right]$$

with $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{k}'}{|\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{k}'|}$. The spin-averaged cross section is

$$I(\theta) = \left(\frac{mc}{p}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{Ze^2}{mc\beta}\right)^2 (1-\beta^2)\frac{|f(\theta)|^2}{\sin^2(\theta/2)} + \frac{|g(\theta)|^2}{\cos^2(\theta/2)}\right]$$

and $S(\theta)$ is the Sherman Function,

$$S(\theta) = \frac{2}{I(\theta)}\left(\frac{mc}{p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{Ze^2}{mc\beta}\right)\frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}{\sin(\theta/2)}\left[f(\theta)g^*(\theta) + f^*(\theta)g(\theta)\right]$$