Concept of a polarized positron source at CEBAF

Sami Habet

IJCLab. Jefferson Laboratory.

July 2022





1/25

Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
- 3 Positron momentum collimation
- 4 Compression chicane
- 5 Conclusion & Questions







2 / 25

Sami Habet

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

Background

- 2016 Bachelor degree : Fondamental physics.
- 2018 Master degree : Theroretical physics.
 - Quantum field theory.
 - General relativity.
- 2020 Master degree : Particle accelerator.
 - Beam dynamics.
- 2020-2023 PHD : IJCLab / JLAB.









Jefferson Lab

My Thesis

Why positrons?

- JLab Users want beams of polarized (and unpolarized) positrons.
- JLab have a unique polarized source demonstrating the polarization transfer : **PEPPo**



J. Grames, E. Voutier et al. JLab Experiment E12-11-105 (2011)



My tasks

- Choosing of the target thickness.
- High duty cycle positron production.
- Positron Capture system
- Design the positron injector

• How?

- Theory and Calcultions.
- Simulation sofrware
- Analysis and coding



Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
 - 3 Positron momentum collimation
 - 4 Compression chicane
 - 5 Conclusion & Questions







5 / 25

Sami Habet

Image: A match a ma

Positron injector Concept



Figure: Conceptual layout of the positron injector for CEBAF.





Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

6/25

Jefferson Lab

• How we get polarized positrons ?





Sami Habet

July 2022

7 / 25

Jefferson Lab

Positron characteristics

- Efficiency : $\epsilon = \frac{N_{e^+}}{N_{e^-}} \longrightarrow$ Unpolarized mode.
- Figure-of-Merit FoM= $\epsilon P_{e^+}^2 \longrightarrow$ Polarized mode.



Figure: Positron production characteristics



Jefferson Lab

8/25

Target thickness optimization



Optimum thickness VS Collection system aperture



• The optimum thickness of the *e*⁺ production target is strongly sensitive to the angular acceptance of the collection system and depends on the operational mode of the source.



July 2022

10 / 25

Jefferson Lab

1 Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
- 3 Positron momentum collimation
 - 4 Compression chicane
 - 5 Conclusion & Questions







11/25

Sami Habet

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Beam size optimization



Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

Positron momentum collimation



1 Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
- 3 Positron momentum collimation
- 4 Compression chicane
 - 5 Conclusion & Questions







14 / 25

Sami Habet

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Longitudinal bunchlength

- Free dispersion chicane.
- Achromaticity condition.

$$M_{Exit \ chicane} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_{12} & R_{13} & R_{14} & R_{15} & 0 \\ R_{21} & 1 & R_{23} & R_{24} & R_{25} & 0 \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & 1 & R_{24} & R_{25} & 0 \\ R_{41} & R_{42} & R_{43} & 1 & R_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & R_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \\ y_0 \\ y'_0 \\ z_0 \\ \delta_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$z_{Exit\,chicane} = R_{55}z_0 + R_{56}\delta_0$$

 $\Delta z = R_{56}\delta_0$



Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

→ ∃ →

Longitudinal beam chirp

• Compression factor =
$$\frac{Bunchlength_{z0}}{Bunchlength_{zf}}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{1 + [R_{56} \times \kappa]}$$

• Using z &
$$\frac{\delta P}{P}$$
 space, we have:

$$\kappa = \frac{d\delta_p}{dz} = \frac{-keV_0}{E0 + eV0\cos\phi}\sin\phi$$

•
$$k = 2\pi \frac{f}{c} [m^{-1}]$$

- f is the cavity frequency
- eV₀ Cavity acceleration [MeV]
- E₀ Central energy [MeV]
- ϕ Cavity phase advance.





$$\longrightarrow C = \frac{1}{1 + \left[R_{56} \times \frac{-keV_0}{E0 + eV0\cos\phi} \sin\phi \right]}$$

July 2022



Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

16 / 25

Compression factor



- $R_{56} = -0.25 \text{ m}$
- Optimal chirp @ $\kappa = 3.81 \ m^{-1}$
- Optimal cavity phase advance $\phi_0 = -96.6^\circ$
- Cavity frequency f = 1500 Mhz



Longitudinal compression

- $R_{56} = -25 \ cm$
- Chirp : $\kappa = 3.81 \ m^{-1}$

• Full compresion factor :
$$C = \frac{1}{1+\kappa \times R_{56}} = 23.3$$



1) Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
- 3 Positron momentum collimation
- 4 Compression chicane
- 5 Conclusion & Questions

6 Backup slides





19/25

Sami Habet

(日)

- A new injector is under study for possible assembly at the LERF.
- The latest positron injector layout is going to evolve (Collection system, RF cavities...).
- Among potential options to consider for future studies is the possibility of further compressing the beam using the CEBAF arcs together with an appropriate chirp in the linacs.
- Mathematic calculations helps a lot for the software optimization.
- To be continued ...



1) Who I am ?

- 2 Positron injector Concept
- 3 Positron momentum collimation
- 4 Compression chicane
- 5 Conclusion & Questions







21 / 25

(日)

Beam size along the chicane

- How to reduce the beam size along the chicane?
- Answer : FODO
- Motivation: $\frac{\Delta P}{P_0} = \pm 10\%$
- Focusing quadrupole =

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\sqrt{K}L_q & \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\sin\sqrt{K}L_q \\ -\sqrt{K}\sin\sqrt{K}L_q & \cos\sqrt{K}L_q \end{bmatrix}$$

• Defocusing quadrupole =

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cosh \sqrt{K}L_q & \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sinh \sqrt{K}L_q \\ -\sqrt{K} \sinh \sqrt{K}L_q & \cosh \sqrt{K}L_q \end{bmatrix}$$





22 / 25

Linear beam optics

• Initial FODO parameters

- Focusing Quadrupole strength $K_{QF} = 0.6 \ m^{-2}$
- Quadrupole length $L_Q = 0.2 m$
- Defocusing quadrupole strength $K_{QDF} = ?$

Drift parameter:

- Drift length $L_{drift} = 5.6m$
- Motivation Apply the periodicity condition on the FODO lattice to

 $get: \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{exit} \\ \alpha_{exit} \\ \gamma_{exit} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{entrance} \\ \alpha_{entrance} \\ \gamma_{entrance} \end{bmatrix}$

- $\beta~\alpha$ and γ are the twiss parameters of the beam wich describes the behaviour of the optics along the lattice.
- In periodic system, for stability of the equation of the motion we have :

Laboratoire de Physique des 2 infinis |trace(M)| < 2



23 / 25

Linear beam optics

• If the FODO matrix is given by :

$$M(s_1s_2) = \begin{bmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{bmatrix}$$

• The transformation matrix from point s₁ to s₂ in the lattice is given by :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{s2} \\ \alpha_{s2} \\ \gamma_{s2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C^2 & -2SC & S^2 \\ -CC' & SC' + S'C & -SS' \\ C'^2 & -2S'C' & S'^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{s1} \\ \alpha_{s1} \\ \gamma_{s1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• From the stability condition:

$$|trace M(s_1s_2)| = C + S' < 2$$

We get :

$$K_{QDF} = -1.096 \ m^{-2}$$

Sami Habet

(IJCLAB & JLab)

Linear beam optics

The FODO matrix become :

$$M_{FODO} = egin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 6.59 \ -0.014 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$

• With $\alpha = 0$ then we have $\beta = \beta_0$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{\beta_0}$, then Using the transformation matrix:

$$\beta_0 = 13.6 m$$

• We define the phase advance matrix per cell:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi + \alpha \sin \phi & \beta \sin \phi \\ -\gamma \sin \phi & \cos \phi - \alpha \sin \phi \end{bmatrix}$$

• We can immediately get the phase advance :

July 2022

25 / 25



Sami Habet