Time-like Compton Scattering with Compact Photon Source

V.Tadevosyan (*AANSL*) With help from R.Ent, M.Boër

NPS/CPS Collaboration Meeting JLab, 1/23/2018 Physics case and motivation Experimental setup Summary and Outlooks

Physics case: general considerations









- **BH produces same final state** as TCS.
- At Jlab energies $\sigma_{BH} >> \sigma_{TCS}$ (10--100 times).
- But, TCS interferes with BH: $d^{4}\sigma = |T^{BH}|^{2} + |T^{TCS}|^{2} + (T^{BH} \cdot T^{TCS})$
- TCS signal magnify in interference with BH.
- TCS signal can be detected in BSA and/or TSA.

Physics Case: TSA





Transverse TSA significant, sensitive to GPDs.

Physics Case: CFF model extractions



Hall B CLAS 6 GeV, exploratory measurements in 2012

- Quasi-real photons from e- beam on unpolarized target
- Quasi-real photo-production of e-e+ pairs
- Cross section, $\cos \varphi$ moments
- Data taken in 2012, analyzed.

Hall B CLAS12 E12-12-001

- Quasi-real photons from longitudinally polarized e- beam on unpolarized target
- Unpolarized cross section and BSA
- Sensitive to Amplitude, Re(H), Im(H), $Im(\widetilde{H})$
- Approved, part of Run Group A, data taking in 2018
- Hall A SoLID E12-12-006A
- Complementary to CLAS12: same observables, higher luminosity, different acceptance
- Approved to run with E12-12-006 (SoLID $^{J}/_{\psi}$)

Hall C LOI 12-15-007

- Transversely polarized target, (circularly polarized) untagged photon beam
- Cross section, TSA, (DSA)
- With CPS, high statistics with photon beam on polarized target!
- Sensitive to Im(H), $Im(\widetilde{H})$, Im(E) (and Re(H))
- Universality checks of DVCS and TCS possible with Im(H) and $Im(\widetilde{H})$.
- Similar (or even improved!) sensitivity to Im(E) as trans. pol. target DVCS.

Experimental Setup



- Compact Photon Source to deliver beam of untagged photons
- UVA NH₃ transversely polarized target
- Beam pipe of large critical angle
- Detector package of trackers, hodoscopes and calorimeters

Experimental Setup



FOM

Figure of Merit for asymmetry measurements with polarized target:

$$FOM = R_A \cdot D_f^2 \cdot P_t^2$$

 R_A -- event rate in acceptance,

 D_f -- dilution factor of target,

 P_t -- target polarization.

Hall B DVCS (PR12-12-10):

- Event rate: 0.36 Hz total (1M in 100 days \rightarrow 0.12 Hz useful event rate; ~1/3 dilution factor).
- Dilution factor: ~1/3.
- Target polarization: 60%.
- FOM = 0.014 Hz.

Hall C TCS:

- Event rate: 0.72 Hz (simulation with 1.5· 10¹²/s photon flux (WACS proposal), 3 cm UVA target with 0.6 packing fraction);
- Dilution factor: 0.154 (naïve estimate; depends on kinematics, ranges from 0.05 to 0.2).
- Target polarization: 90% (CUA HIPS workshop).
- FOM = 0.014 Hz.

Hall C TCS project is compatible!

Setup: UVA polarized target



UVA target, nominal configuration

Target material: ammonia (¹⁵NH₃), in LHe.
5T (uniform to 10⁻⁴) mag. field generated by superconducting Helmhotz coils.
DNP Polarization by 140 GHz, 20 W RF field.
Target polarization monitored via NMR Q-meter.



UVA target, TCS configuration

OVC and magnet rotated by 90° around vertical axis.

Sideways magnetic field and polarization. Angular acceptance $\pm 17^{\circ}$ horizontally, from $\pm 6^{\circ}$ to $\pm 21.7^{\circ}$ vertically.

Setup: Trackers

- Trackers will be used for reconstruction of trajectories and as a start-time for TOF.
- Construction analogous to Scintillating Fibre Tracker (SFT) in HERMES Recoil Detector.
- Can be constructed from 1mm Kuraray SCSF-78 fibers with rad. resistance ~100 Gy/yr.
- X and Y planes of ~ 15×33 cm² area. ~150 and 330 fibers per plane. Accuracy ~ 0.9 mm.
- Multi-anode phototubes (64 channel Hamamatsu) for read-out of fibers.
- High magnetic field at Trackers, ~1.5 kG. Light from both sides transported to PMTs by ~2.5 m long Wave-Length-Shifters, to where field is below ~100 G (like in SANE).

GEM trackers as alternative

- Sub-mm position accuracy
- Single electron sensitivity
- Long-term stability and reliability
- ➢ High rate capability
- Magnetic field tolerance up to 1.4 T
- Good radiation resistance

F.Sauli, NIMA 805 (2016) 12-24

Use at Jlab: SBS, SoLID DDVCS, Prad, SHMS GEM Tracker





Fig. 3. Schematics of single GEM detector with Cartesian two-dimensional strip readout.

- Hodoscopes for reconstruction of recoil proton (P_p , ϑ_p , ϕ_p). Crucial for determining -t.
- **Proton identification** with *TOF* and dE/dx. Expected time **resolution** ~200 ps.
- X and Y planes from **1 cm thick scintillator**.
- Eff. area ~ $108 \times 48 \text{ cm}^2$ (150 cm from target) to cover $\pm 20^\circ$ horizontally, 6° -- 22° vertically.



Alternative: Micro-Pattern Gaseous Detectors MPGDs (GEM, THGEM).

- Detect and identify leptons, measure energy and X and Y coordinates. Define Q'^2 , ξ and τ .
- A pair of **similar to the NPS** PbWO calorimeters*.
- Angular acceptance ±18° horizontally, 6°-21.7° vertically
 - 98×47 cm² active area at 150 cm from target;
 - 50 ×23 = 1,150 blocks total for each calorimeter (~NPS size).



Progress in NPS construction

- 360 PbWO crystals from SICCAS obtained, under evaluation at Jlab, CUA
- 100 R4125 Hamamatsu PMTs obtained
- PMT base prototyped and tested, design construction chosen
- Design of support structures, enclosure underway



^{*}Alternative: combine PbWO calorimeter with PbF₂ calorimeter.

Kinematic coverage



JLab 1/23/2018

TSA measurement with transversely oriented target spin is a *must* for access of imaginary part of GPD *E*.

Adding data from TCS with transversely oriented target spin to the data bank from other TCS and DVCS experiments constrains Im(E), Im(H), $Im(\tilde{H})$. This renders an opportunity to probe the universality of GPDs, and access OAM of partons.

With Compact Photon Source, and modified UVA target, Hall C TCS becomes a competitive project, complementary to other TCS and DVCS measurements.

The proposed modifications of the detector setup may enhance further merits of the project.

Work is underway to compose a **Run Group proposal** of the Hall C TCS and measurements such as SSA in quasi-real photon production off transversely polarized target and exclusive pion production.

Backup slides

Phase space division



 Q^2 3 -t0.05 - 0.350.10 - 0.154 - 6 0.15 - 0.204 - 60.05 - 0.354 - 60.15 - 0.200.35 - 1.000.20 - 0.404 - 6 0.05 - 0.350.20 - 0.404 - 6 0.35 - 1.006 – 9 0.15 - 0.400.05 - 0.350.15 - 0.406 – 9 0.35 - 1.00

Example phase space division for the TCS analysis. May be optimized based on analysis cuts.

Expected statistics



Expected statistics for 1 month of beam on target, **above required 5% stat. error limit**. $12 - 20 \varphi_{CM}$ bins sufficient for data analysis.

The binning may be optimized based on phase space division, analysis cuts.

Physics Case: CFF model extractions



From M.Boer's explorative studies

Kinematic coverage



CPS concept



Stage-2 modeling, October 2017, B.Wojtsekhowski

- Up to 2.7 μA, 11 GeV e- beam incident
- 10% radiator to produce (untagged) γ beam
- 3.2 T, 40 cm magnet to bend residual e^-
- Magnet serves as a beam dump
- High Z shielding to minimize prompt radiation and residual activation

- 2x2 mm² rasterized photon beam
- Water cooled Cu heat absorber (30 kW)
- W powder external shield (16 $g/_{cm^3}$)
- Segmented, flared beam line to reduce radiation leak
- Radiation from source few times less than from γ beam interaction with target

Pure photon beam on solid polarized target versus mixed e^-/γ beam:

- increase of useful photon flux by 18 times (~ $10^{12} \gamma/s$);
- less heat load, increase of max. polarization from 90% to 95%;
- less rad. damage to target material, less depolarization -> increase of average polarization from 70% to 90%.

Overall increase of FOM 30 times!

Physics case: TCS -- DVCS relations







DVCS and TCS, limiting cases of double DVCS (DDVCS)

$$\gamma^*(q) + P(p) = \gamma^*(q') + P(p').$$

 \Box At leading order of α_S and leading twist **CFFs are complex conjugate**.

□ NLO and HT effects different in space-like and time-like, can be evaluated from TCS/DVCS.

- □ Comparison of DVCS and TCS, test for universality of GPDs.
- **Complementarity of observables** sensitive to different CFFs.
- □ Combine DVCS and TCS data → reduce uncertainties of the CFF fits over DVCS only.

See M.Boer, GPD studies with exclusive dileptons photo- and electro-production, INT Workshop, University of Washington, 08/28-09/01, 2017.

TCS Analysis Options



Deflections of accepted tracks in the target magnetic field (BdL~0.7 Tm) relative to directions at target (from simulations).

JLab 1/23/2018

Phase Space Binning (LOI)



Ν	ξ limits	Q'^2 limits (GeV ²)	-t limits (GeV ²)
1.0	0 0.10, 0.15	4, 6	0.1, 0.35
2.0	0 0.15, 0.20	4, 6	0.1, 0.35
2.1	1 0.15, 0.20	4, 6	0.35, 1
3.0	$0\ 0.20,\ 0.30$	4, 6	0.1, 0.35
3.	1 0.20, 0.30	4, 6	0.35, 1
4.0	0 0.15, 0.30	6, 9	0.1, 0.35
4.	1 0.15, 0.30	6, 9	0.35, 1

Phase space division. Will study Q^2 , ξ and t dependences.



Preliminary result from G4 simulation

<u>Beam mode</u>



11 GeV photon beam



Significantly lower background hit load from photon beam than from electron beam.

Preliminary result from G4 simulation

TCS mode



Energy depositions

TCS kinematics and cuts



 $\sigma_{TCS} = F(Q'^2, t, \theta_{CM}, \phi_{CM})$

Analysis cuts:

To have GPD interpretation of TCS:

$$Q'^{2} \gg m_{N}^{2}$$

$$\frac{|t|}{Q'^{2}} \ll 1$$
From DVCS and DIS:

$$Q'^{2} > 2 \ GeV^{2} \quad (keeps \ di-lepton \ system \ out \ of \ resonances)$$

$$-t < 1 \ GeV^{2} \ (or \ \frac{-t}{Q'^{2}} < 30\%)$$