

# **PbWO4 Studies in 2014/2015 and Plan for 2015/2016**

## **Summary of studies in 2014-2015**

- **15 crystals were delivered from SIC in 2014 (#1-10 in spring & #11-15 in December)**
  - The measured dimensions of crystals are  $20 \pm 0.15$  mm  $\times$   $20 \pm 0.15$  mm  $\times$   $200 \pm 0.15$  mm.
  - Visual inspections show that most of crystals were good quality, but some of them have small scratched on side or front surface.
- **Optical properties of crystals**
  - At **JLab** the longitudinal and transverse transmittances for 15 crystals have been measured. The reproducibility of the measurements is on the order of a few percent, main uncertainty is crystal orientation. For most of crystals transmittance starts around 350 nm and reaches to ~90% at  $\lambda > 500$  nm. The longitudinal transmittance (20 cm thickness) varies between 60% and 70% for most crystals at a wavelength 420 nm. One of the crystals (#14) shown a completely different behavior above 480 nm. The transmittance in the transverse direction (2 cm thickness) was measured at several distances ranging between 5 and 55 mm from the face of the crystal. The crystal-to-crystal variation of the transverse transmittance seems to be much less than what was observed for the longitudinal transmission. However, the transmittance of one of the crystals (#9) is significantly lower than that of the other crystals.
  - **Giessen** studies show that longitudinal transmittance of blocks #2, 3, 6, 8 and #9 on average are lower by 10-15% than data measured at JLab. While, for transverse transmittance there are only small difference between Giessen and JLab data.
  - **BNL** data show that longitudinal transmittance of blocks #7 and #15 are lower than data measured at JLab.
  - **Caltech** studies show that sample #11 has significant scattering centers. Sample #5 shows better LT than sample #11 because of less scattering centers.
  - A comparison **Caltech** and **JLab** data for the crystal (#5) shows that transmittance measured at Caltech with integrating sphere is higher than JLab.
  - It is interesting to note that there seems to be a significant difference in the shape of the distributions between the **JLab** and **Giessen** in the region around 400 nm.
- **Light yield**
  - At **Giessen** the light yield for a subset of 15 crystals (#2, 3, 6, 8 and #9) at room temperature (+18°C) measured and found on the order of 15-16 pe/MeV though some of crystals show a lower yield of only 12 pe/MeV.
  - **Giessen** data also show that the light yield increases by a factor of about three due to cooling to -25 °C.
  - At **CUA** setup based on radioactive source  $^{22}\text{Na}$  (511 keV photons) have been used to study temperature dependence of the light yield. Data show that LY increases with temperature by ~2.4% per 1°C which is consistent with CMS and Giessen data.
  - **Caltech** studies show that light output of crystals #5 (15.6 p.e./MeV) and #11 (12.4 p.e./MeV) are better than CMS SIC (11.4 p.e./MeV).

- **Timing**
  - **Caltech** studies show that two crystals (#5 and #11) are faster than CMS PWO crystals. Fraction of Light Output (LO) in 50/100 ns for sample #5 and #11 is 91/99%, while for CMS crystals is 84/96%.
- **Radiation hardness**
  - At **JLab** irradiation using  $\gamma$ -source (single source  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ) with a dose rate of  $\sim 260$  rad/h. No sign of radiation damage was observed up to  $\sim 300$  krad
  - At **Idaho** irradiation with 20 MeV electron beam at dose rate 1.3 Mrad/h show high resistance of the SIC crystals. The transmittance of some of crystals (#2, 4 and #8) changed  $\sim 15\%$  after accumulated dose 432 krad (at dose rate of 1.3 Mrad/h), while others (#3, 5 and #6) do not seem to show any effects of radiation damage. To minimize spontaneous recovery effect all transmittance measurements were carried out 10 minutes after exposures. For irradiation at dose rate 1.3 Mrad/h for 20 min exposure the temperature near the crystal front increased from  $\sim 74^\circ\text{F}$  to  $\sim 98^\circ\text{F}$ . For similar exposure time at dose rate 2.6 Mrad/h the temperature increases from  $76^\circ\text{F}$  to  $115^\circ\text{F}$  resulting in crystal damage.
  - At **Giessen** the longitudinal transmittance for subset of crystals (#2, 3, 6, 8 and #9) was determined before and after gamma irradiation (5 radioactive sources  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ) with an integral dose of 30 Gy within 15 minutes. The impact of radiation effects were quantified in terms of the change in the absorption coefficient  $k$ , and found to be from  $dk \sim 0.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$  (crystal #03) to  $\sim 1.3 \text{ m}^{-1}$  (crystal #02), which is better than CMS quality requirement ( $\sim 1.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ).
- **Curing**
  - IR curing with 4 LEDs (950 nm) at current 75 mA and distance 1 cm for 12 hrs
  - Blue light curing with 4 LEDs (360 nm) at current 50 mA for 3 hrs
  - Curing strategy does not seem to recover the optical quality of the crystal #2 irradiated at  $\sim 2$  Mrad radiation dose.
  - Thermal annealing for 10 hrs at  $200^\circ\text{C}$  (with ramp up-down rate  $20^\circ\text{C/h}$ ) have been performed for all 15 crystals.
- **Summary of 2014/2015 studies**
  - **Our studies of a set of fifteen crystals produced by SIC in 2014 seem to indicate that the overall quality mostly conform to CMS/PANDA requirements.**
  - **The optical transmittance seems consistent with CMS quality** and relatively uniform along the crystals. However, there is a global variation from crystal to crystal on the order of 20%.
  - **The light yield of the crystals also seems to be consistent with CMS quality standards (12-16 p.e./MeV).**
  - **However, understanding the effect of systematics on the optical measurements is important for the interpretation of crystal quality.**
  - **Results from Caltech suggest that the measured values of the transmittance are higher than those for JLab measurement.**
  - **Results from measurements at Giessen and BNL show similar features in that the measured values of the transmittance are lower than those for the JLab measurement.**
  - **A quantitative analysis of the homogeneity of the crystals must be done to fully characterize the crystal quality achievable.**

## **Plan for 2015/2016**

*Note, 30 more crystals were ordered from SIC in spring 2015*

- ***Need full information about Composition of the crystals***
  - Chemical composition of raw materials
  - Details of growing process. (Are all crystals made from the same raw material?)
- ***Check Geometrical accuracy and surface quality of the crystals***
  - Visual inspection, cracks
  - Tolerance in dimensions
  - Surface quality (roughness)
- ***Check crystals intrinsic radioactivity***
  - Activity of the crystals and decay products (in a good shielded low background box)
- ***Light yield measurements***
  - Photon per 1 MeV
  - Temperature dependence
- ***Timing quality***
  - LY(100 ns)/LY(1  $\mu$ s)
- ***Optical Transmission***
  - Study accuracy of the measurements
  - Longitudinal transmission
  - Transverse transmission (at 5-6 positions along the crystal)
- ***Radiation hardness***
  - Irradiation with  $\gamma$ -source
  - Irradiation with electron beam
  - Transmission measurements before and after irradiation
- ***Spontaneous recovery of radiation damage and curing***
  - Spontaneous recovery of the radiation induced damage (dk)
  - Blue and Infra-red curing
- ***Photo-sensors for NPS (selection of option)***
  - Phototube: geometric efficiency, QE, Gain, dark current, rate/timing, price/channel
  - LAAPD: geometric efficiency, QE, Gain, dark current, rate/timing, price/channel
- ***NPS prototype tests***
  - Improve/Modify existing 3 $\times$ 3 prototype or build new 5 $\times$ 5 prototype
  - Study energy resolution
  - Calibration and monitoring
  - Temperature-controlled frame

### **PbWO<sub>4</sub> crystal quality specifications for mass production order**

Parameter	Unit	NPS	NPS	CMS	PANDA
-----------	------	-----	-----	-----	-------

		Required	Acceptable	Limit	Limit
Light Yield (LY) at RT (for all sides polished crystals)	pe/MeV	$\geq 15$	$\geq 10$	$\geq 8$	$\geq 16$
LY uniformity between blocks	%	10%	20%		
LY(100ns)/LY(1 $\mu$ s)	%	>95	>90	>90	>90
Longitudinal Transmission at $\lambda=360$ nm	%			$\geq 25$	$\geq 35$
at $\lambda=420$ nm	%			$\geq 55$	$\geq 60$
at $\lambda=620$ nm	%			$\geq 65$	$\geq 70$
Transverse Transmission and LY uniformity along crystal	%	10	15		
Inhomogeneity of Transverse Transmission $\Delta\lambda$ at T=50%	nm	$\leq 5$	$\leq 10$	$\leq 3$	$\leq 3$
Induced radiation absorption coefficient $\Delta k$ at $\lambda=420$ nm and RT, for integral dose >100 Gy	m <sup>-1</sup>	<1.0	<1.5	$\leq 1.6$	$\leq 1.1$
Mean value of dk	m <sup>-1</sup>	$\leq 0.75$	$\leq 1.0$	$\leq 1.5$	$\leq 0.75$
Tolerance in Length	$\mu$ m	$\leq \pm 100$	$\leq \pm 150$	+0., -100	$\pm 50$
Tolerance in sides	$\mu$ m	$\leq \pm 50$	$\leq \pm 100$	$\leq \pm 50$	$\pm 50$
Surface polished, roughness Ra	$\mu$ m	$\leq 0.02$	$\leq 0.05$	$\leq 0.02$	
Rectangularity (90°)	degree				<0.01
Purity specific. (raw material)				5N–6N	
Mo contamination	ppm	<10		<10	<1
La, Y, Nb, Lu contamination	ppm	?		$\leq 100$	$\leq 40$