Physics Perspectives for Future K-Long Facility

Igor Strakovsky[*]

The George Washington University

(for GlueX Collaboration)

- Thermodynamics at freeze-out.
- Spectroscopy of hyperons.
- $K_L p$ data.
- Opportunity with K_L beam.
- Neutron background.
- Expected $K_L p$ data.
- Summary.

[*] Supported by DE-SC0016583

History of the Universe



- There is Influence of possible "**missing**" hyperons on QCD thermodynamics, on **freeze-out** In heavy ion & hadon collisions & in **early Universe**, & in spectroscopy.
- Advance our understanding of **formation** of **baryons** from **quarks** & **gluons** **microseconds** after **Big Bang** & in today's experiments, & connection these developments to experimental searches for direct, spectroscopic, evidence for these "**missing**" resonances.

Thermodynamics at Freeze-Out

- Recent studies that compare **LQCD** calculations of thermodynamic , statistical **Hadron Resonance Gas** models, & ratios between measured yields of different hadron species in heavy ion collisions provide indirect evidence for the presence of "missing" resonances in all of these contexts.



A. Bazavov *et al* Phys Rev Lett **113**, 072001 (2014)

- Partial **pressure** of strange **mesons**

$$M_1^S = \chi_2^S - \chi_{22}^{BS},$$

$$M_2^S = \frac{1}{12}(\chi_4^S + 11\chi_2^S) + \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{11}^{BS} + \chi_{13}^{BS}),$$

- Partial **pressure** of strange **baryons**

$$B_1^S = -\frac{1}{6}(11\chi_{11}^{BS} + 6\chi_{22}^{BS} + \chi_{13}^{BS}),$$

$$B_2^S = \frac{1}{12}(\chi_4^S - \chi_2^S) - \frac{1}{3}(4\chi_{11}^{BS} - \chi_{13}^{BS}).$$

- **+** "**Missing**" **Resonances** (**QM** calculations).
- Contribution from **observed Resonances.** PDG

- Three independent **ratios** start to coincide in crossover region giving identical results only below **chiral crossover temperature** at physical values of quark masses **T_c = 154 ± 9** MeV.

Baryon Sector at PDG16



GW Contribution

C. Patrignani *et al* Chin Phys C **40**, 090001 (2016)

p	$1/2^+$	****
n	$1/2^+$	****
$N(1440)$	$1/2^+$	****
$N(1520)$	$3/2^-$	****
$N(1535)$	$1/2^-$	****
$N(1650)$	$1/2^-$	****
$N(1675)$	$5/2^-$	****
$N(1680)$	$5/2^+$	****
$N(1685)$		*
$N(1700)$	$3/2^-$	***
$N(1710)$	$1/2^+$	***
$N(17$[illegible]$)$	$3/2^+$	[illegible]
$N(18$[illegible]$)$	$5/2^+$	[illegible]
$N(1$[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	***
$N($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	**
$N($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	**
$N($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	***
$N(1990)$	[illegible]	**
$N(2000)$	$5/2^+$	**
$N(2040)$	$3/2^+$	*
$N(2060)$	$5/2^-$	**
$N(2100)$	$1/2^+$	*
$N(2120)$	$3/2^-$	**
$N(2190)$	$7/2^-$	****
$N(2220)$	$9/2^+$	****
$N(2250)$	$9/2^-$	****
$N(2600)$	$11/2^-$	***
$N(2700)$	$13/2^+$	**

$\Delta(1232)$	$3/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1600)$	$3/2^+$	***
$\Delta(1620)$	$1/2^-$	****
$\Delta(1700)$	$3/2^-$	****
$\Delta(1750)$	$1/2^+$	*
$\Delta(1900)$	$1/2^-$	**
$\Delta(1905)$	$5/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1910)$	$1/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1920)$	$3/2^+$	[illegible]
$\Delta(1930)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Delta(1940)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Delta(1950)$	$7/2^+$	[illegible]
$\Delta(2000)$	$5/2^+$	[illegible]
$\Delta(21$[illegible]$)$	$1/2^-$	[illegible]
$\Delta($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Delta(2300)$	$9/2^+$	**
$\Delta(2350)$	$5/2^-$	*
$\Delta(2390)$	$7/2^+$	*
$\Delta(2400)$	$9/2^-$	**
$\Delta(2420)$	$11/2^+$	****
$\Delta(2750)$	$13/2^-$	**
$\Delta(2950)$	$15/2^+$	**
Λ	$1/2^+$	****
$\Lambda(1405)$	$1/2^-$	****
$\Lambda(1520)$	$3/2^-$	****
$\Lambda(1600)$	$1/2^+$	***
$\Lambda(1670)$	$1/2^-$	****
$\Lambda(1690)$	$3/2^-$	[illegible]
$\Lambda(1800)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Lambda(1810)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Lambda(1820)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Lambda(1830)$	$5/2^-$	[illegible]
$\Lambda(1890)$	$3/2^+$	[illegible]
$\Lambda($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Lambda(2020)$	$7/2^+$	*
$\Lambda(2100)$	$7/2^-$	****
$\Lambda(2110)$	$5/2^+$	***
$\Lambda(2325)$	$3/2^-$	*
$\Lambda(2350)$	$9/2^+$	***
$\Lambda(2585)$		**

Σ^+	$1/2^+$	****
Σ^0	$1/2^+$	****
Σ^-	$1/2^+$	****
$\Sigma(1385)$	$3/2^+$	****
$\Sigma(1480)$		*
$\Sigma(1560)$		**
$\Sigma(1580)$	$3/2^-$	*
$\Sigma(1620)$	$1/2^-$	**
$\Sigma(1660)$	$1/2^+$	***
$\Sigma(1670)$	[illegible]	****
$\Sigma(1690)$	[illegible]	**
$\Sigma(1750)$	[illegible]	***
$\Sigma(1770)$	[illegible]	*
$\Sigma(1775)$	[illegible]	****
$\Sigma(1840)$	$3/2^+$	*
$\Sigma(1$[illegible]$)$	$1/2^+$	**
$\Sigma($[illegible]$)$	[illegible]	****
$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^-$	***
$\Sigma(2000)$	$1/2^-$	*
$\Sigma(2030)$	$7/2^+$	****
$\Sigma(2070)$	$5/2^+$	*
$\Sigma(2080)$	$3/2^+$	**
$\Sigma(2100)$	$7/2^-$	*
$\Sigma(2250)$		***
$\Sigma(2455)$		**
$\Sigma(2620)$		**
$\Sigma(3000)$		*
$\Sigma(3170)$		*

Ξ^0	$1/2^+$	****
Ξ^-	$1/2^+$	****
$\Xi(1530)$	[illegible]	[illegible]
$\Xi(162$[illegible]$)$		*
$\Xi(1690)$		***
$\Xi(1820)$	[illegible]	***
$\Xi(195$[illegible]$)$		***
$\Xi(2030)$	$\geq$ [illegible]	***
$\Xi($[illegible]$)$		*
$\Xi(2250)$		**
$\Xi(2370)$		**
$\Xi(2500)$		*
Ω^-	$3/2^+$	[illegible]
$\Omega($[illegible]$)^-$		[illegible]
$\Omega($[illegible]$)^-$		[illegible]
$\Omega($[illegible]$)^-$		[illegible]

Λ_c^+	$1/2^+$	****
$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$	$1/2^-$	***
$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$	$3/2^-$	***
$\Lambda_c(2765)^+$		*
$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$	$5/2^+$	***
$\Lambda_c(2940)^+$		***
$\Sigma_c(2455)$	$1/2^+$	****
$\Sigma_c(2520)$	$3/2^+$	***
$\Sigma_c(2800)$		***
Ξ_c^+	$1/2^+$	***
Ξ_c^0	$1/2^+$	***
$\Xi_c'^+$	$1/2^+$	***
$\Xi_c'^0$	$1/2^+$	***
$\Xi_c(2645)$	$3/2^+$	***
$\Xi_c(2790)$	$1/2^-$	***
$\Xi_c(2815)$	$3/2^-$	***
$\Xi_c(2930)$		*
$\Xi_c(2980)$		***
$\Xi_c(3055)$		**
$\Xi_c(3080)$		***
$\Xi_c(3123)$		*
Ω_c^0	$1/2^+$	***
$\Omega_c(2770)^0$	$3/2^+$	***
Ξ_{cc}^+		*
Λ_b^0	$1/2^+$	***
Σ_b	$1/2^+$	***
Σ_b^*	$3/2^+$	***
Ξ_b^0, Ξ_b^-	$1/2^+$	***
Ω_b^-	$1/2^+$	***

N Δ Σ Ξ Ω Λ

- **Pole** position in complex energy plane for **hyperons** has began to be studied only recently (2010), **first of all** for **Λ(1520)**.

Y. Qung *et al* Phys Lett B **694**, 123 (2010)

- **PDG16** has **109 Baryon Resonances** (**58** of them are **4*** & **3***).
- In case of **SU(6)** x **O(3)**, it would be required **434** baryons, if all revealed multiplets were completed (**three 70** & **four 56**).

Baryon Resonances

- **Three light quarks** can be arranged in **6** baryonic **families**, **N***, **Δ***, **Λ***, **Σ***, **Ξ***, & **Ω***.
- **Number of members** in **family** that can exist **is not arbitrary**.
- If **$SU(3)_F$** symmetry of **QCD** is controlling, then:

Octet: **N***, **Λ***, **Σ***, **Ξ***
Decuplet: **Δ***, **Σ***, **Ξ***, & **Ω***



- Number of experimentally identified resonances of each baryon **family** in PDG summary tables is **16 N***, **10 Δ***, **14 Λ***, **10 Σ***, **6 Ξ***, & **2 Ω***.
- **Constituent Quark** models, for instance, predict existence of no less than **64 N***, **22 Δ*** states with **mass <** **3** GeV.

- Seriousness of "**missing-states**" problem is obvious from these numbers.

- To complete **$SU(3)_F$** multiplets, one needs no less than **17 Λ***, **43 Σ***, **42 Ξ***, & **24 Ω***.

B.M.K. Nefkens, πN Newsletter, **14**, 150 (1997)

Very Strange Resonances & Problem of "Missing" States

- Experimental knowledge of hadron spectrum is **incomplete**: more excited states are expected to exist.


R. Konjuk and N. Isgur, Phys Rev Lett **44**, 845 (1980)

- Ω baryon spectrum in **QM**.



U. Löring *et al* Eur Phys J A **10**, 447 (2001)

- Ω baryon spectrum in **LQCD**.



R.G. Edwards *et al* Phys Rev D **87**, 054506 (2013)

Thick frame: Hybrid states

World K–long Data – Ground for Hyperon Phenomenology

— Data Analysis Center —
Institute for Nuclear Studies
THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON, DC

W = 1.45 – 5.05 GeV

SAID: http://gwdac.phys.gwu.edu/

- Limited number of K_L induced measurements (**1961 – 1982**) **2426 dσ/dΩ, 348 σ^{tot}, & 115 P** observables do not allow today to **feel comfortable** with **Hyperon Spectroscopy** results.



- Most of **data** were obtained from **old** low statistics **measurements** with hydrogen **Bubble Chambers**.
- **Overall systematics** of previous experiments varies between **15%** & **35%**. **Energy binning** is much broader than hyperon widths.
- There were no measurements using **polarized target**. It means that there are no **double polarized** observables which are critical for **complete experiment** program.
- We are not aware of any data on **neutron** target.

Data for $K_L p \rightarrow K_S p$

- No **dσ/dΩ** data are available for **$K_L p \rightarrow K_L p$** below W = **3** GeV.



- **PWA** (**KSU**&**GW**) predictions at lower & higher energies tend to agree worse with data than in non-strange case.

Courtesy of Mark Manley, KL2016

Data for $K_L p \rightarrow \pi^+ \Lambda$ & $K^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \Lambda$



- $K^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \Lambda$ & $K_L p \rightarrow \pi^+ \Lambda$ amplitudes imply that their observables measured at same energy should be identical except for small differences due to isospin-violating mass differences in hadrons.

- Polarized measurements are **tolerable** for **any PWA** solutions.

Courtesy of Mark Manley, KL2016

Data for $K_L p \to \pi^+ \Sigma^0$ & $K^- p \to \pi \Sigma$



- Reactions $K_L p \to \pi^+ \Sigma^0$ & $K_L p \to \pi^0 \Sigma^+$ are Isospin selective (only **I = 1** amplitudes are involved) & $K^- p \to \pi^0 \Sigma^0$ s isospin selective for **I = 0** whereas reactions $K^- p \to \pi^- \Sigma^+$ & $K^- p \to \pi^+ \Sigma^-$ involve both **I = 0** & **I = 1** amplitudes.

- New measurements with **K_L-beam** would lead to better understanding of Σ^* states & help constrain **amplitudes** for $K^- p \to \pi \Sigma$ reactions.

- Quality of $K_L p$ data is comparable to that for $K^- p$ data. It would be advantageous to combine $K_L p$ data in a new coupled-channel **PWA** with available $K^- p$ measurements.

- PDG lists only **two** results on **BR** to **$K\Sigma$** $\Lambda(2100)7/2^-$ (BR < **3%**) $\Sigma(2030)7/2^+$ (BR < **2%**).

Courtesy of Mark Manley, KL2016

How to Search for Missing Hyperons

- New data for inelastic $K_L^0 p$ scattering would significantly improve our knowledge of Σ^* Resonances
- Very few polarization data are available for any $K_L^0 p$ reactions but are needed to help remove ambiguities in PWAs
- To search for missing hyperon resonances, we need measurements of production reactions:

$$\Sigma^*: \quad K_L^0 p \to \pi\Sigma^* \to \pi\pi\Lambda$$
$$\Lambda^*: \quad K_L^0 p \to \pi\Lambda^* \to \pi\pi\Sigma$$
$$\Xi^*: \quad K_L^0 p \to K\Xi^*, \pi K\Xi^*$$
$$\Omega^*: \quad K_L^0 p \to K^+K^+\Omega^*$$

- If such measurements can be performed with good energy & angle coverage & good statistics, then it is very likely that measurements with K_L^0 beams would find several missing hyperon resonances.

Courtesy of Mark Manley, YSTAR2016

Outlook at GlueX for Λ(1405) Line-Shape Measurement





- Measurement may be feasible

$$K_L^0 p \to \Lambda(1405)\pi^+ \to \Sigma^{+0-}\pi^{-0+}\pi^+$$

Courtesy of Reinhard Schumacher, KL2016

A bit of History

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 138, NUMBER 5B 7 JUNE 1965

First paper on subject

CP-violation (1964)
Hot topic!

Photoproduction of Neutral K Mesons*

S. D. DRELL AND M. JACOB†
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California

(Received 6 January 1965)

Photoproduction of a neutral K-meson beam at high energies from hydrogen is computed in terms of a K^* vector-meson exchange mechanism corrected for final-state interactions. The results are very encouraging for the intensity of high-energy K_2 beams at high-energy electron accelerators. A typical magnitude is 20 μb/sr for a lower limit of the K^0 photoproduction differential cross section, at a laboratory peak angle of 2°, for 15-BeV incident photons.



FIG. 1. K^* exchange in photoproduction.

[Not dominant]

50 μb/sr



FIG. 3. Center-of-mass differential cross section at 10 BeV. Curve (1) gives the Born approximation. Curve (2) is obtained after subtraction of the $j=\frac{1}{2}$ partial wave. Curves (3) and (4) respectively obtained after the $j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\frac{5}{2},\frac{7}{2}$, and all partial w[...] have been corrected for absorption in final state. The result[...] shown as directly obtained from and drawn by the computer.

Our motivation in carrying out this calculation is to emphasize the strong suggestion that an intense "healthy" K_2 beam will emerge from high-energy electron accelerators (SLAC in particular) and will be available for detailed experimental studies.

Courtesy of Mike Albrow, KL2016

A bit of History

The possibility that useful K_L beam could be made at electron synchrotron by photoproduction was being considered, & 1965 prediction for SLAC by Drell & Jacob was optimistic.

8.B.5
8.B.6
Nuclear Physics B2? (1970) 509-524. North-Holland Publishing Company

PHOTOPRODUCTION OF K^0 MESONS FROM PROTONS AND FROM COMPLEX NUCLEI

M. G. ALBROW ‡, D. ASTON, D. P. BARBER, L. BIRD ‡‡, R. J. ELLISON, C. HALLIWELL, A. E. HARCKHAM ‡‡‡, F. K. LOEBINGER, P. G. MURPHY, J. WALTERS ‡‡ and A. J. WYNROE
Schuster Laboratories, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL

R. F. TEMPLEMAN
Daresbury Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Daresbury, Near Warrington, Lancs.

Received 16 July 1970

Sci-Tech DARESBURY

"We were at Manchester Univ. close to Daresbury 5 GeV e-synchrotron."

CP-violation

PHYSICAL REVIEW D VOLUME 7, NUMBER 3 1 FEBRUARY 1973

Production of K_L^0 Mesons and Neutrons from Electrons on Beryllium Above 10 GeV*

G. W. Brandenburg, A. D. Brody, W. B. Johnson, D. W. G. S. Leith, J. S. Loos,† G. J. Luste,‡ J. A. J. Matthews, K. Moriyasu,§ B. C. Shen,‖ W. M. Smart,** F. C. Winkelmann, and R. J. Yamartino
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

(Received 14 August 1972)

SLAC NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

Systematics of particle anti-particle processes through intrinsic property of K-longs

JLab LoI12-15-001

A Letter of Intent to Jefferson Lab PAC-43.

Jefferson Lab
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

GlueX

Physics Opportunities with a Secondary K_L^0 Beam at JLab.

Moskov J. Amaryan (spokesperson),[1,*] Yakov I. Azimov,[2] William J. Briscoe,[3] Eugene Chudakov,[4] Pavel Degtyarenko,[4] Gail Dodge,[1] Michael Döring,[3] Helmut Haberzettl,[3] Charles E. Hyde,[1] Benjamin C. Jackson,[5] Christopher D. Keith,[4] Ilya Larin,[1] Dave J. Mack,[4] D. Mark Manley,[6] Kanzo Nakayama,[5] Yongseok Oh,[7] Emilie Passemar,[8] Diane Schott,[3] Alexander Somov,[4] Igor Strakovsky,[3] and Ronald Workman[3]

[1] *Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529*
[2] *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia*
[3] *The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052*
[4] *Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606*
[5] *The University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602*
[6] *Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242*
[7] *Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea*
[8] *Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405*

(Dated: May 14, 2015)

Hyperon Spectroscopy

- We plan to submit a full **Proposal** for **JLab PAC45** in **2017**.

CEBAF Upgrade to 12 GeV





Courtesy of Eugene Chudakov, KL2016

Upgrade Goals

- Accelerator: 6 GeV $\Rightarrow$ 12 GeV
- Halls A,B,C: e^- <11 GeV, $< 100\ \mu$A
- Hall D: e^- 12 GeV $\Rightarrow$ γ-beam

Upgrade Status

- Reached 12 GeV in Dec 2015
- Halls A,D: finished
- Halls B,C: about a year to go

E.Chudakov KL2016. Feb 2016 Overview of *Hall D* 3 / 32

Hall D Beam Line Set up for K-longs



I_e = **5** μA
W-radiator = **0.1** R.L.
Be-target = **1.7** R.L.

- **Electrons** are hitting **W**-radiator.
- **Photons** are hitting **Be**-target.
- **K_Ls** are hitting the **LH_2**-target within **GLueX** setting.

Hall D/GlueX



Hall D/GlueX Spectrometer and DAQ

GlueX



Resolutions

$h^{\pm}$: $\sigma_p/p \sim 1-3\%$

γ: $\sigma_E/E \sim 6\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 2\%$

Acceptance $1^\circ < \theta < 120^\circ$

Detectors

- CDC, FDC
- BCAL, FCAL
- TOF, ST

Plans to add

- 2017 L3
- 2018 DIRC

Photoproduction γp 1.5 kHz for a 10 MHz beam; Trigger $\sum E_{CAL} > X$

GlueX-I 10 MHz/peak: trigger 20 kHz $\Rightarrow$ DAQ $\Rightarrow$ tape 30 kHz spring 2016

GlueX-II 50 MHz/peak: trigger 100 kHz $\Rightarrow$ DAQ $\Rightarrow$ L3 farm $\sim$ 20 kHz $\Rightarrow$ tape

Courtesy of Eugene Chudakov, YSTAR2016

Expected Neutron Background

- Most **important** & **unpleasant background** for K_L comes from **neutrons**.





99% of neutrons associated with **T** < **90** MeV while **0.6%** of them are for **T** > **125** MeV.



- For **neutron** calculations, we use **MCNP6** transport code.

K-long & Neutron Rate on GlueX LH_2-target

MC @ 12 GeV



Data @ 16 GeV



FIG. 2. Comparison of the neutron and $K_2{}^0$ fluxes at the hydrogen bubble chamber for 2° production with 16-GeV electrons.

A.D. Brody *et al* Phys Rev Lett **22**, 966 (1969)



Flux ratio **n/K_L**

- Delivered with **60** ns bunch spacing avoids overlap in range of **p** = **0.35** – **10.0** GeV/c.

- With **proton** beam, ratio **n/K_L** = **10^3–10^4.**

Expected Energy-Resolution

- Mean lifetime of **K^-** is **12.38** ns ($c\tau$ = **3.7** m) whereas mean lifetime of **K_L** is **51.16** ns ($c\tau$ = **15.3** m).
  Thus, it is possible to perform measurements of **K_Lp** scattering at **lower energies** than **K^-p** scattering due to higher beam flux.
- Momentum measured with **TOF** between **SC** (surrounded **LH_2**) & **RF** from **CEBAF**.





- Momentum resolution **$\Delta p/p$** is growing with momentum: for **1** GeV/c is **1.7**%, for **2** GeV/c is **6**%.

- For W < **2** GeV, **ΔW** < **20** MeV which is suitable to study **Hyperons** with Γ = **30** – **50** MeV.

Courtesy of Ilya Larin, KL2016

Expected Particle Identification



- **dE/dx** for **pK$_S$**.
- Time difference at primary ``vertex" for proton hypothesis for **pK$_S$** using **TOF.**





- **dE/dx** for **K$^+$Ξ^0**.



Courtesy of Simon Taylor, KL2016

Expected Cross Sections vs Bubble Chamber Data

- **GlueX** measurements will span **cosθ** from **–0.95** to **0.95** in c.m. above **W** = **1490** MeV.

Courtesy of
Simon Taylor, KL2016
Mark Manley, KL2016

- K_L rate is **10^5** K_L/s.
- Uncertainties (statistics only) correspond to **100** days of running time for:

$K_Lp \to K_Sp$ | $K_Lp \to \pi^+\Lambda$





Expected GlueX Data





BC Data

JLab E-03-105

- Prove motivation of **JLab** Proposal *JLab E-03-105* *Pion Photoproduction from Polarized Target* for **FROST** Project.

Transverse Polarization **Longitudinal Polarization**



Average ratio of uncertainties of amplitudes **w/o** expected **FROST** data.

- Greatest effect naturally requires measurement of all possible quantities as accomplished by **FROST**.

ηp E: I. Senderovich *et al* Phys Lett B **755**, 64 (2016)
π^+n E: S. Strauch *et al* Phys Lett B **750**, 53 (2015)
More results are coming…

- **KSU&GW** is doing **PWA** including available **K_Lp** & **K^-p** data plus expected **GlueX** data to show potential impact of new **Hall D** measurements.

Summary

- Here we reviewed what can be learned by studying **K_Lp** scattering leading to **two-body** final states (1st stage).
  At later stages, we plan to do **K_Ln** on **LD_2** &
  K_LN on aka **FROST** with hydrogen & deuterium.

- **JLab K-long Facility** would advance **Hyperon Spectroscopy** & study of **strangeness** in nuclear & hadronic physics.
  It may extract very many missing strange states.
  To complete **$SU(3)_F$** multiplets, one needs no less than
  17 Λ*, 43 Σ*, 42 Ξ*, & 24 Ω*.

- **Discovering** of missing **low-lying hypiron states** would assist in advance our understanding of **formation** of **baryons** from **quarks** & **gluons** **microseconds** after **Big Bang**.

- Full Proposal is coming for **PAC45** in **2017**, **WELCOME** to **JOIN US**.

Project X: *Physics Opportunities*

Table 1: Comparison of the K_L production yield. The BNL AGS kaon and neutron yields are taken from RSVP reviews in 2004 and 2005. The Project X yields are for a thick target, fully simulated with LAQGSM/MARS15 into the KOPIO beam solid angle and momentum acceptance [68].

Project	Beam energy (GeV)	Target (λ_I)	$p(K_L)$ (MeV/c)	K_L/s (into 500 μsr)	n/K_L (E_n > 10 MeV)
BNL AGS	24	1.1 Pt	300–1200	60×10^6	~1:1000
Project X	3	1.0 C	300–1200	450×10^6	~1:2700

arXiv:1306.5022, arXiv:1306.5009, arXiv:1306.5024

- **First stage** of **Project X** aims for **neutrinos**.
- Proposed **K_L beam** can be used to study **rare decays** & **CP-violation**.
- It may be impossible to use it for Hyperon Spectroscopy because of **momentum range** & **n/K_L** ratio.

J-PARC

Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex





Two beam lines are under operation

K1.1 & High-p beam lines are under construction

Courtesy of Hiroaki Onishi, KL2016

J-PARC Hadron hall extension



Most aggressive scenario:
we expected to have first beam in 2021/2022

Courtesy of Hiroaki Onishi, YSTAR2016



2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026+
PANDA Phase 0
Pre-Commissioning & First Physics
PANDA Start Setup
Design
Construction
Installation
Commissioning
PANDA Phase 1
PANDA Hall available
Physics
PANDA Full Setup
Design
Construction
Installation
PANDA Phase 2
Physics
PANDA Phase 3 RESR
Courtesy of James Ritman, YSTAR2016

Speakers:

Chudakov
Albrow
Richards
Amaryan
Ramos
Zou
Manley
Schumacher
Filippi
Oset
Myhrer
Montgomery
Kamano
Degtyarenko
Santopinto
Nakayama
Szczepaniak
Ohnishi
Mathieu
Goity
Passemar
Mai
Taylor
Ziegler
Oh
Noumi
Pennington
Keith
Kohl
Larin

61 people
& 30 talks

https://www.jlab.org/conferences/kl2016/

Speakers: Mai, Chudakov, Garcilazo, Amaryan, Begun, Noronha-Hostler, Myhrer, Ohnishi, Ritman, Capstick, Noumi, Bellwied, Ratti, Tang, Stroth, Guo, Alba, Crede, Manley, Montgomery, Goity, Xie, Edwards, Arriola, Tsuchikawa, Huovinen, Doenigus



72 people
& **28** talks

https://www.jlab.org/conferences/YSTAR2016/

Thank You






Moskov Amaryan
Yakov Azimov
William Briscoe
Eugene Chudakov
Pavel Degtyarenko
Michael Döring
Alexander Laptev
Ilya Larin
Maxim Mai
Mark Manley
James Ritman
Simon Taylor






igor@gwu.edu