

Simulations and software development

Ho San KO


Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie d'Orsay (IJCLab)

NPS Collaboration Meeting 2020

Outline

- Calorimeter simulations
 - Background source by particle ID
 - Beam-pipe and calorimeter magnetic field shieldings
 - Requirements on the magnetic field strength
- Calorimeter software
 - Photon reconstruction
 - Position and angular resolution

Background simulation geometry



Low- x_B setting : $x_B = 0.2$, $Q^2 = 3.0 \text{ GeV}^2$. Beam-time : 1 day with 11uA


Calorimeter : 6 m from the target, 6.3 deg from the beam-line axis

Sweeper-Magnet Center : 1.6 m (SHMS-right), 2.3 deg from the beam-line


Background energy and dose distribution

Magnetic field ON

Energy distribution of background in NPS.



Dose distribution



Right plot was obtained by weighting each particle by its energy.

The numbers (~88%, ~20%, etc.) were calculated by integrating the ranges of interests ([0 MeV, 10 MeV] or [10 MeV, 11 GeV]) of the plots above.


~88% of background is from particles with $E < 10$ MeV.

However, the total energy from particles with $E < 10$ MeV is ~20% of the total energy deposited in the detector


- Dose is mostly coming from $E > 10$ MeV particles.
- Dose is dominated by gamma and e^-/e^+

Background source tracking


Particles' vertex position (aerial view) that hit calorimeter



Background source tracking



Beam-pipe magnetic field shieldings




Calorimeter : 4 m from the target, 8.5 deg from the beam-line axis

Sweeper-Magnet Center : 1.6 m (SHMS-right), 2.3 deg from the beam-line


- Results may differ in other settings (eg. calorimeter 3 m @ 8.5 deg or 4 m @ 6 deg)

Background dose with magnetic field shieldings

No beam-pipe magnetic field shielding



Beam-pipe magnetic field shielding + mu-metal and soft iron around calo.






No significant difference between the previous magnetic field map (no shieldings) and the new magnetic field map (beam-pipe and calorimeter magnetic field shielding)

Results may differ in other settings

Magnetic field strength required


w/o magnetic field shielding




Maximum dose in each column of crystals.
Not necessarily comparing the same crystals.(stars)

Sweeping magnet's magnetic field ~ 0.3 Tm is sufficient to reduce background

Magnetic field strength required




Calo. @ 3 m, 8.5 deg



Calo. @ 4 m, 6 deg

w/o magnetic field shielding



Sweeping magnet's magnetic field ~ 0.3 Tm is insufficient to reduce background


Calorimeter simulations summary

- The total energy from particles with $E < 10$ MeV is $\sim 20\%$ of the total energy deposited in the detector.
 - Most of the background is gamma.
- Magnetic field shieldings on beam-pipe and calorimeter does not decrease the background dose on the calorimeter significantly.
- Magnetic field strength of 0.3 Tm is probably enough for the “approved” kinematic settings.


Photon reconstruction software

- Adapted Hall A DVCS software to NPS geometry
 1. Check if the energy deposited to the crystals (2X2) is above a given threshold. (clustering threshold)
 1. If above, keep those 2X2 crystals. If below, discard them for the next step.
 2. Make clusters(i.e. photons) out of the crystals.
 3. Get position and momentum of the cluster(s)

Clustering threshold : 1.10 GeV




Energy resolution of the calorimeter



0.5 mm thick, 2cm long

Updated
calorimeter's
design


→ Iron and mu-metal shielding have little effect on energy resolution




Position and angular resolution

Clustering threshold : 1.10 GeV


Reconstructed angle – generated angle (vertical)




Reconstructed angle – generated angle (horizontal)



Reconstructed x – generated x





Reconstructed y – generated y



Photon beam energy
10 GeV
7.75 GeV
5.5 GeV
3.25 GeV

Position and angular resolution calculation results and summary



Clustering threshold : 1.10 GeV
Miscalibration : 1%

- Position resolution : ~ 1.5 mm
- Angular resolution : < 0.03 deg

→ Iron and mu-metal shielding has also a small impact on position resolution

Photon reconstruction software is ready for off-line analysis.



Conclusion

- Most of the background on the calorimeter is gamma.
- Beam-pipe and calorimeter's magnetic field shielding have no significant effect on the background dose.
- For “approved” kinematic settings, magnetic field strength can probably be reduced to 0.3 Tm.
 - Some extreme configurations (calo. 3 m @ 8.5 deg & 4 m @ 6 deg) may need the full 0.6 Tm.
- Photon reconstruction software for off-line analysis is ready.
 - Energy resolution of the calorimeter is < 1.2 % at ~ 7 GeV.
 - Position resolution of the calorimeter is ~ 1.5 mm at ~ 7 GeV.

Backups



	Energy Dependence at fixed (Q^2 , x_B)									Low- x_B			High- Q^2		
x_B	0.36			0.50			0.60			0.2			0.36	0.50	0.60
Q^2 (GeV) 2	3.0	4.0	3.4	4.8	5.1	6.0	2.0	3.0	5.5	8.1	10				
k (GeV)	6.6*	8.8	11	8.8*	11	8.8	11	11	6.6	8.8*	11	11	6.6	8.8	11
k' (GeV)	2.2	4.4	6.6	2.9	5.1	5.2	7.4	5.9	2.1	4.3	6.5	5.7	1.3	3.5	5.7
θ_{Calo} (deg)	11.7	14.7	16.2	10.3	12.4	20.2	21.7	16.6	13.8	17.8	19.8	17.2	6.3	9.2	10.6
D_{Calo} (m)	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	4	4
I_{beam} (μA)	28	28	28	50	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	11	5	50	50
N_{evt} (10^5)	1.5	8.8	8.2	2.1	7.9	7.3	11	5.1	0.2	0.2	2.7	2.6	3.5	3.6	64
$\sigma_{M_X^2}$ (GeV 2)	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.17	0.17	0.17
Days	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	5	5	1	5	10	1	1	1
													1	5	5
															12

Longitudinal energy deposition on the calorimeter



1mm air gap : ~100% energy deposition
1mm carbon gap : >95% energy deposition

Lateral energy deposition on the calorimeter



Energy deposition in 2 Molière radii


- 1mm air gap : >95% energy deposition
- 1mm carbon gap : ~93% energy deposition

Background energy and dose distribution


Low-xB setting : Calorimeter 6m from the target, 6.3 deg from the beam-line axis

Magnetic field OFF

Energy distribution of background in NPS.



Dose distribution



Right plot was obtained by weighting each particle by its energy.

The numbers (~55%, ~5%, etc.) were calculated by integrating the ranges of interests ([0 MeV, 10 MeV] or [10 MeV, 11 GeV]) of the plots above.

~55% of background is from particles with $E < 10$ MeV.

However, the total energy from particles with $E < 10$ MeV is ~5% of the total energy deposited in the detector