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Photon Source Options...

- Natural sources (radioisotopes, cosmic)
- Low intensity options (inverse CS, taggers)
- Bremsstrahlung sources
  - synchrotrons
  - pure (~10^{10} \gamma/s)
  - mixed beam (~10^{13} \gamma/s)

Q: Can one improve on the above?
**Hermetic Compact Photon Source Idea**

- Incident beam has small transverse size
- Outgoing photon beam has m/E angular size

- Source could be hermetic!!!

- However, where to send the used electron beam?
- Traditional approach is based on using magnets to get e to a beam dump =>
  - large openings => no hermeticity and large radiator-target distance
- Our new approach is using the magnet as a dump => The problem is solved!
For the typical JLab application…

- Distance to target ~2 m
- Photon beam diameter on the target ~ 0.9 mm!

Novel concept allows high photon intensity and low radiation

Photon flux: $10^{11} - 10^{12}$ γ/s (for JLab energies)
HCPS Details (I)

- In the beginning…
- There was a TOSCA Model (BW).
HCPS Details (II)

With projections and everything else…

$X$ projection
HCPS Details (III)

With projections and everything else...

Y projection

Z projection
…and the Model was good!

It also provided a field map to be used in further demiurgic endeavors.

\[
x \ y \ z \ B_x \ B_y \ B_z
\]

(lots of ….)

-16.00 10.00 -28.00 -20207.0815848 -2891.28109803 2077.22205257
-16.00 10.00 -26.00 -20112.0456011 -3001.31434030 1871.32171785
-16.00 10.00 -24.00 -20016.6541207 -3163.17100252 1732.77015978
-16.00 10.00 -22.00 -20003.6508044 -2938.39724943 1467.86133775
-16.00 10.00 -20.00 -19919.7691780 -3035.32479900 1475.28504489
-16.00 10.00 -18.00 -19859.7660622 -3006.62291382 1328.28274744
-16.00 10.00 -16.00 -19808.5031789 -2947.03168534 1028.36804096
-16.00 10.00 -14.00 -19791.9788166 -3032.54759551 837.07283370
-16.00 10.00 -12.00 -19786.7264040 -2913.09107628 644.99294158
-16.00 10.00 -10.00 -19743.627821 -3059.58897082 739.64198274
-16.00 10.00 -8.00 -19742.4858056 -2913.09107628 644.99294158

(ditto ….)

No, we do not expect the umpthieth decimal figure to be significant!
Next logical step was to simulate the whole thing in GEANT 4 (G4).

FTPQ Bert, GDML, multi-core, ROOT, openGL

The white “lines” are actually scoring volumes used for dose-rate calculation (more on that later)

They are 15 m from the target
HCPS Details (VI)

- Here is just the HCPS itself
- Outer/Extra Shielding (Fe and concrete…)
- Note the small opening allowing the electron beam in
- A matching hole on the other side lets the photon beam out
- The whole thing is less than 27 m³
- Estimated weight ~ 70 tons
HCPS Details (VII)

- Removing some of the outer shielding
- Each “block” should be movable by the Hall C crane (or hire contractor)
- The magnet itself starts to show (blue)
HCPS Details (VIII)

- Inner HCPS structure
- Magnet, inserts, central part
- Radiator is also shown

- NOTE: poles are hidden by the Cu inserts (orange and white blocks)

- OBS: To achieve the hermeticity we aim for we need to fill all the inner part of the magnet with high Z, non-magnetic material.
HCPS Details (IX)

- Side view to see the radiator position
HCPS Details (X)

- Return iron removed one can see the (backside of the) pole
- Coils (red) and
- Inserts (orange and white) as well
HCPS Details (XI)

- Just the inserts and the central piece left
- Yes, there is a small gap in the central region (see next slides)
HCPS Details (XII)

- do you really want me to continue numbering these in latin?

- Head-on view of the magnet
- Note the central region (we’ll zoom on it next)
HCPS Details (XIII)

- HCPS central piece
- “heavy metal” W-Cu alloy
- Cooled. Identified vendor for suitable bellows.
- Thin (2mm) slits that help define the photon beam
- Space (top/bottom) allows it to act as “mechanical raster” (~60 Hz)
HCPS Performance (I)

- Main reason for having a G4 model is to study/optimize design
- “…needs more shielding.” (note date!)
Much better! (note date!)
Now one can ask important questions about safety (of humans as well as devices)…
HCPS Validation (I)

- To validate the event generation algorithm..
- Strip down geometry to bare minimum (gdml…)
- Generate lots (~few x 10^9) of events compare with expectations
- e^- + C \rightarrow ...
HCPS Validation (II)

- Similar distributions for photons, positrons, $pi^+$, $pi^-$, and neutrons
- All checked against accepted standards (PD)

Gabriel Niculescu – High Intensity Photon Sources, CUA, Feb. 2017
HCPS Validation (III)

\[ e^- + C \rightarrow n + X \]

- \(0^\circ < \theta < 5^\circ\)
- \(5^\circ < \theta < 10^\circ\)
- \(10^\circ < \theta < 20^\circ\)
- \(20^\circ < \theta < 40^\circ\)
- \(40^\circ < \theta < 60^\circ\)
- \(60^\circ < \theta < 90^\circ\)
- \(90^\circ < \theta < 130^\circ\)
- \(130^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ\)

- Multicore…
HCPS Validation (IIIb)

$e^- + C \rightarrow n + X$

So we do thrust the event generator!
Q: How much radiation is there next to the magnet?
A: Define “ghost volumes”, track radiation through them…
HCPS Performance (IV)

Q: How about further away?
A: redefine/reuse volumes…
Q: How about on the coils? (so one can identify the epoxies that might be used when building the coils!)

Q: How much radiation in the area where the target more sensitive components might be?

Speaking of coils...
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➢ Identifying radiation-hard materials for coil building...

**fiberglass and epoxy mat.**

![Graph showing relative change in modulus of elasticity vs the dose.](image)

**Fig. 2.** Relative change in modulus of elasticity vs the dose.

![Graph showing breakdown voltage of Mylar and Lavsan vs absorbed dose.](image)

**Fig. 7.** The breakdown voltage of Mylar and Lavsan vs absorbed dose (average value of 12 samples).

![Graph showing tensile strength of Mylar and Lavsan vs absorbed dose.](image)

**Fig. 8.** Tensile strength of Mylar and Lavsan vs absorbed dose.
So based on the G4 simulation and a conservative (!) dose of 2 MGy before breakdown (also 1 rad = 0.01 Gy)...
Q: How about far (15m) from the target?*
Very good question!
HCPS Performance (Vb)

- **NH3**
  - Electron beam: 9 mrem/h @15 m
  - 3.9 rad/h
  - 27 mrem/h outside 0.5 degree cone

- **SBS**
  - Photons: 20 mrem/h @15 m
  - 2-3 rad/h

- **NPS**
  - Protons: 20 mrem/h @15 m
  - 2-3 rad/h

- **Outlook**
Radiation summary

- For the beam of 1.2 μA x 8.8 GeV:

- Just outside HCPS:
  - Forward: 7.5 Rad/h
  - Bwd: 14 Rad/h
  - Sides: 3.9 Rad/h

- @15 m (back of the Hall):
  - Forward: 27 mrem/h (outside 0.5 deg cone)
  - Bwd: 9 mrem/h
  - Sides: 15-20 mrem/h

- Other:
  - Target solenoid: 3.9 Rad/h (~1.8 m)
  - SBS/NPS: 2-3 Rad/h (~4-5 m)
  - Dipole Coils: 80 kRad/h (~0.5 m, inside HCPS)
HCPS Performance (VI)

Photon Energy Density vs radius @15m

![Graph showing photon energy density versus radius at 15m](image-url)
HCPS Performance (VI)

Photon Energy Density [MeV/cm²/electron] @15m

- The beam spot size is indeed ~0.9mm!!!
HCPS Performance (VII)

...and the beam is very well focused!

Photons, zoomed.

Photons, zoomed, exclude 0.05 deg.

9 out of 920 Watt
Quo Vadis?

- Novel Hermetic Compact Photon Source capable of delivering a clean, narrow photon beam ~$10^{11}$ γ/s (or more). Not a CONCEPT, a PROJECT!
- Uses existing technology (~250k$). Many fabrication details (technology, materials, industry partners) identified/prototyped.
- Ideally suited (100x better than current or proposed technology) for polarized target experiments (WACS, π production, time-like DVCS, etc.)
- Concept easily scalable and adaptable to higher/lower energies, potentially appealing to other fields (materials, medical fields, defense).
- Looking forward to help build (at least) one!

Thank you!