

# CALOR 2024

## Tsukuba

The 20th International Conference on Calorimetry in Particle Physics

## Beam Test Results of the Calorimeter Prototype Based on Lead Tungstate Crystal with SiPM Readout

Vladislav Kuskov

CALOR 2024


Tsukuba



Tsukuba, Japan


2024

# Introduction



CALOR 2024  
Tsukuba


- Good time resolution in calorimetry can provide strong capability to neutral particles identification
  - Hadron PID (neutron/antineutrons, protons/antiprotons etc.)
  - Photon/electron PID
- Lead tungstate crystal ( $\text{PbWO}_4$ ) has demonstrated excellent performance in the experiments in high-energy physics
- Silicon photomultipliers (SiPM) with short rising time of an output signal are capable to provide good time resolution




**CALOR 2024**  
**Tsukuba**

**BEAM TEST CAMPAIGN 2014**  
**PS T10**


# Experimental layout



CALOR 2024  
Tsukuba




Prototype is build of 2x2 crystals matrix:




**Readout:**




- MPPC S10362-33-025C
- MPPC S10362-33-050C
- MPPC S10362-33-100C
- R7400 PMT



# Time Resolution




SiPM/PMT readout at front and rear sides:



Possible explanation (see [ref](#)):

- high reflecting index ( $n = 2.2$ )  $\rightarrow$  low speed of the light propagation
- Simple model shows that the front side is more sensitive to longitudinal shower fluctuations


Similar results with Calvision: [Bob Hirosky](#)



**CALOR 2024**  
**Tsukuba**

**BEAM TEST CAMPAIGNS 2023, 2024**  
**PS T09, SPS H2**

# Prototype Design



- The calorimeter prototype is build of  $\text{PbWO}_4$  crystals size of  $22 \times 22 \times 180 \text{ mm}^3$ :
  - homogeneous  $\text{PbWO}_4$  crystal is served both as scintillator and absorber

Density, $\text{g/cm}^3$	Radiation length, cm	Light yield, % of NaI:Tl	Molière radius, cm	Decay time, ns
8.28	0.89	0.5%	2.2	5-15



- The readout channels consists of Hamamatsu MPPC S14160-6015PS and S14160-6010PS photodetectors:
  - Hybrid SiPM connection: signal in serial, voltage in parallel

## 1×S14160-6010PS:


- 10  $\mu\text{m}$  pixel pitch
- gain  $1.8 \cdot 10^{15}$  (low gain, **LG**)
- for high energy measurements ( $E > 10 \text{ GeV}$ )

## 3×S14160-6015PS:



- 15  $\mu\text{m}$  pixel pitch
- gain  $3.6 \cdot 10^{15}$  (high gain, **HG**)
- for low energy measurements ( $0.5 \text{ GeV} < E < 10 \text{ GeV}$ )



# Prototype Design




Cluster of 3×3 crystals was equipped with SiPMs



- LG – Low Gain channel for high energy measurements (13-24)
- HG – High Gain channel for low energy measurements (1-12)




# Temperature Regime




The light yield (LY) of  $\text{PbWO}_4$  crystals significantly depend on the operating temperature ( $-2\% \text{LY}/^\circ\text{C}$ ):

- During the tests, the prototype was thermalized by high-precision cooling plant
- Operating temperature has been set to  $-24.13^\circ\text{C}$
- The thermal stability of the prototype is essential during the data-taking period


Temperature distribution  
over the whole data-taking period



Temperature distribution per day



# Experimental Setup




CA-LOR 2024  
Tsukuba

Configuration of the T9 secondary beam at Proton Synchrotron in CERN:


- Primary proton beam of momentum 24 GeV/c + production angle 30 mrad
- Hadron target Be+W (200mm+3mm) for the secondary beam production
- Additional Pb foil converter of 4 mm for  $e^-/e^+$  pair production of momentum  $p < 5$  GeV/c

The experimental layout:



- Cherenkov detector XSET048:  
CO<sub>2</sub> gas pressure variation → variation of electron signal purity
- Scintillator A (100×5×5 mm<sup>3</sup>) and scintillator B are used for the trigger system in coincidence connection
- The prototype itself is placed on the DESY table that provide the prototype fine positioning
- Cooling system for the prototype cooling

# Experimental Setup





CA-LOR 2024  
Tsukuba

The same layout at H2 at SPS:



# Trigger System




- All the signals from scintillator A and scintillator B are connected in the coincidence circuit (CC)
- for measuring of electrons  $p > 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ , signal from Cherenkov detector is added to the CC
- DSn is a clear busy signal from the frontend electronics
- for the time measurements, the reference signal for TDC is also produced by the trigger system










## VME frontend electronics:

- CAEN V785 ADC for SiPM amplitude measuring
- CAEN V792 QDC for signal measuring from scintillators
- CAEN V1290 TDC for SiPM time measuring
- CAEN V2718 – V2818 controllers for VME-PCI bridge. DSn is formed by V2718

# Energy Calibration





CALOR 2024  
Tsukuba



- Beam momentum  $p = 2 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Pedestals are subtracted
- Gauss fit of the maximum signal at the right tail of the distribution
- Mean value of Gauss = correspond beam energy at a given channel

→ energy scale for each ADC channel

# Energy Resolution







The gravity center of an event is  $x = \sum_{i=1}^9 x_i \cdot \frac{E_i}{E_{tot}}$


The range of  $x_i$  ( $y_i$ ) is 1,2,3 (according to chosen matrix 3x3)

To exclude asymmetric clusters the cut on the gravity center has been applied:  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$


Resulting resolutions are presented below:




# Energy Resolution




Combined energy resolution for PS and SPS energies:



Nonlinearity at SPS energies:





# EM Leakage Estimation



For the EM shower leakage estimation, an assembly of 3×3 PWO crystals has been installed in front of prototype




→ the prototype measures EM shower leakage from 3×3 absorber in front of it





# EM Leakage Estimation




For the EM shower leakage estimation, an assembly of 3×3 PWO crystals has been installed in front of prototype





→ the prototype measures EM shower leakage from 3×3 absorber in front of it

## Energy leakage at SPS energies:



# Time Resolution




A leading edge discriminator has been exploited for the time and energy measurements


→ The time-energy distribution has a characteristic nonlinear dependence caused by the discriminator threshold

Thus, to increase the accuracy of time resolution calculations, the slewing correction has been applied


A criterion on matching of output signals from edges of central scintillator in front of 3×3 matrix to select for central crystal selection:



# Time Resolution




Beam momentum  $p = 1 \text{ GeV}/c$ , SPS results




After applying criterion on central crystal selection, the time resolution reaches value of  $\sigma_t < 200 \text{ ps}$  for deposited energies  $E > 0.3 \text{ GeV}$

Beam momentum  $p = 100 \text{ GeV}/c$ , SPS results




During test beam at SPS, the threshold on discriminator was much higher than one for test beam at PS

# Summary



CALOR 2024  
Tsukuba

- The prototype has shown good results in terms of time and energy characteristics:
  - time resolution of  $\sigma_t < 200$  ps for deposited energies  $E > 0.3$  GeV has been achieved
  - nonlinearity of the prototype reach the value of 8% at electron momentum  $p = 150$  GeV/c, while EM shower leakage reaches level of 2.5%
  - good energy resolution
- Achieved time and energy resolution could be relevant for the photon physics at low energies and hadron PID (i.e. neutrons/antineutrons) for the future experiments in particle physics




# CALOR 2024

Tsukuba


THANK YOU!

# Backup slides

## Energy Calibration




- The peak in the plot below is taken during the beam stop
- The peak mean position at  $\approx 200$  MeV corresponds for the muon signals (which penetrate the beam stopper) Energy5mu




The peak position is close to the MIP signal which indicates fine energy calibration of the assembly

# Backup slides

## Electrical interferences




- During the beam tests, the ADC pedestal positions for each channel have been stable
- Only neglectable pedestal shifts in  $\pm 1$  ADC bit have been observed over the whole period of data taking




# Backup slides

## Nonlinearity at PS En










- Each dot in the plot below stand for one measurement at the given beam energy (x axis)
- The ration of deposited energy over beam energy (y axis) shows energy leakage form assembly (dots systematically lays under 1)






# Energy Resolution at PS energies



# Energy Resolution at SPS energies



CALOR 2024  
Tsukuba

