# Summary of action Items from June 3, 2010, tritium target review at JLab:

## Administrative

- (1) Appoint a lead person at JLab, a design authority, who will emphasize the engineering aspects of the target system and who will be responsible for the design, fabrication, procurement, installation and operation of the system
- (2) Establish an engineering team
- (3) Develop clear responsibilities for INL, JLab, and collaboration; and determine who will sign off on safety checkout plans
- (4) Administrative requirement that beam blow-up optics are used in experiment
- (5) Administrative limit on beam trip rate when cell is in the beam
- (6) Make a provision that if the H or He target fails, a failure mode determination is made before the experiment continues with tritium gas
- (7) Extensive review of final cell design and test results should be performed by JLab, Argonne and outside experts

## **Target cell**

- (8) Develop a modular target design
- (9) Use a 1000 Ci source
- (10) Determine maximum target window thickness based on physics requirements
- (11) Target cell should comply with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, sec VIII Div 2 2007
- (12) Cell should be filled offsite and be designed to survive transport
- (13) Cell should survive cyclic loading (beam trips)
- (14) W collimator should be better supported
- (15) Valves should have all metal wetted parts
- (16) Cell must sustain a full vacuum load
- (17) Target system should be designed to be cooled with 90K N2
- (18) Verify that Al 2219 is a suitable material for tritium gasDetermine strength of welded Al 2219
- (19) Weld coupons should be tensile and bend tested
- (20) Al -ss transition piece should be purchased and elbows should be used
- (21) An elastic plastic model of the cell (ASME D2 5.2.4) should be used
- (22) Proof tests on more than 3 endcaps and at least one complete cell should be performed
- (23) Heat cycling tests with a tritium loaded cell should be performed for a period of 6 months
- (24) Consult Wayne Kanady at INL and Walter Shmayda at University of Rochester regarding tritium diffusion
- (25) If insufficient information exists on beam shock wave tests, perform such tests at JLab
- (26) Cell must survive off-normal beam conditions for at least 3 times the amount of time that it takes for an interlock to turn the beam off
- (27) Determine DOT and DOE regulations for shipping filled target cells to JLab

#### Scattering chamber, ventilation, beam line

- (28) Secondary containment should be physically isolated from beamline
- (29) The scattering chamber pumps shall be vented through tritium stack
- (30) The scattering chamber should be monitored for high and low levels of tritium
- (31) A U getter bed should be attached to the scattering chamber
- (32) An additional long collimator should be placed upstream
- (33) Dedicated vent pumps/fans and lines should be installed over the scattering chamber and used for installation and removal procedures
- (34) Airborne radioactivity detectors interlocked with the vent/fan stack system should be used
- (35) Manual scram buttons in the hall and counting house for the ventilation
- (36) Additional beam raster detector and interlock system

#### ESH tasks

- (37) Establish baseline for detectable tritium at the JLab site
- (38) Develop algorithm for safety involving amount of tritium, beam current, beam time
- (39) Worst-case scenarios for worker exposure and all dose calculations should be analyzed or calculated by qualified personnel
- (40) A more detailed assessment of impact of target loss on Hall A should be performed by qualified personnel
- (41) Use a 15 m elevation and a vertical tritium stack as necessary for limiting site boundary doses
- (42) Use the ICRP-68 dose coefficient of 1.8E-11 Sv/Bq reference for exposure evaluations
- (43) Use 10 mrem as the maximum allowed site boundary dose
- (44) The risk analysis should follow tables 4.2-4.5 of JLab's FSAD, rev. 6 and use realistic target failure probabilities