KLong Facility beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024

KLF beam simulation detector hit rates with variable W-plug

Richard Jones, University of Connecticut



This work is supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under grant 2209480



Geant4 simulation of KLong beam

- new features in this update
 - 1. GlueX liquid hydrogen target filled
 - 2. start counter cone changed to cylindrical geometry, total length unchanged
- conditions unchanged from last simulation
 - 1. continuous variation in plug thickness in the range [10, 20] cm
 - 2. includes recording the z of particles in start counter, cdc straws
- same model of photon beam from CPS
 - 1. 5B bremsstrahlung photons from 12 GeV electrons
 - 2. only CPS flux in the range [2,12] GeV was included
 - 3. statistics equivalent to 1.2 ms of beamtime at 5uA
 - 4. with x1000 factor in phi(1020) photoproduction at KPT

Results from 1.2ms of beam

- total of 5B bremsstrahlung photons simulated in the range [2,12] GeV
 - 500k beam photons in range [2,12] GeV simulated per run
 - 10,000 runs, differ only in tungsten plug thickness, cavity around it
 - Wplug_length = (10 + n * 0.001) cm, n=range(1,10000)
- when appropriate, vertical axes scaled to 1.2ms per bin in Wplug_length



previous simulation —— (empty target, with cone)



this simulation _______, (full target, without cone)

R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024









neutron impact point at GlueX entrance plane





R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



start counte

tunasten plua thickness

R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



0 10 20 30 40 50 tof counter (laver 1 < 0, laver 2 > 0)

tunasten plua thickness (cm)

R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



Part II: Comparison with GlueX photon beam

- GlueX also has beam background from soft gammas
 - \circ bremsstrahlung includes incoherent component ~ $1/E_{\gamma}$
 - behavior of detectors in the GlueX beam is well-known empirically
 - what does the G4 simulation of the GlueX beam predict for inner detector rates?
- This comparison requires a different beam from what was used for KLF
 - 350nA electron beam at 12 GeV
 - 50um diamond radiator at the goniometer position
 - 5mm collimator in place of the KPT in the alcove
 - GlueX alcove shielding + pair spectrometer configuration
 - (2, 12) GeV copper spectrum replaced with (0.0001, 12) GeV diamond spectrum

GlueX photon flux at the primary collimator



GlueX photon flux at the primary collimator







R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



row

R.T. Jones, KLF beam working group, Oct. 17, 2024



Preliminary conclusions

- 1. Choice of Wplug_thickness = 14cm looks like it works.
 - a. Most of the inner detectors are close to GlueX Phase 2 background rates
 - b. CDC looks like the one exception, rate is of order x5 over GlueX II
 - c. BCal rates in GlueX Phase II simulation look too low, compared with reality
 - i. probably related to incomplete high-energy photonuclear physics in G4
 - ii. will also affect the high-energy tail of the neutron distribution in KLF
 - iii. should be investigated...
- 2. Muon pair conversion in the KPT is not currently included in G4
- 3. These conclusions should be revisited once these issues are resolved.