

Hall D K-Long Facility E12-19-001  
Experiment Readiness Review Phase I  
Jefferson Lab August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2023

Charge

1. Is there any R&D needed to be done prior to start the construction of the K-Long Facility? **No (unless beryllium cannot be fully canned in copper or similar).**
2. What is the status of the Compact Photon Source (CPS)? Specifically:
  - a) the conceptual design **Complete, but significant effort is need to complete final design.**
  - b) the evaluation of the produced radiation. In particular, the following points should be discussed:
    - A. the approximations made in the Monte Carlo simulations and which code has been used;
    - B. the energy deposition and the absorber temperature;
    - C. the prompt dose and activation around the CPS and the Tagger Hall;
    - D. the magnet performance and its coils lifetime;
    - E. the water-cooling system and possible contaminations.
3. Will civil constructions be needed to contain the radiation in the Tagger Hall? **No.**
4. What will the photon beam quality be?  
**The proposed CPS will provide an adequate beam quality at 16 MHz and 0.32 pC/bunch (5uA) beam. Beam size is shown to meet requirement of < 6 cm at the KPT.**
5. What is the status of the Kaon Production Target (KPT)? Specifically:
  - a) the conceptual design **Complete. Part of final design should include exploring thermal cycling impacts on beryllium (work hardening, fatigue). In addition, decommissioning plans need to be looked at prior to further design development to assure the final design can be decommissioned and disposed of.**
  - b) the evaluation of the produced radiation. In particular, the following points should be discussed:
    - A. the approximations made in the Monte Carlo simulations and which code has been used;
    - B. the energy deposition and the temperature in the KPT;
    - C. the prompt dose and activation around the KPT and the Cave;
    - D. the water cooling system and possible contaminations.
6. Will civil constructions be needed in the Cave to contain the radiation? **No**

7. What is the estimated annual boundary dose when running the E12-19-001 experiment? Surface dose is estimated at 0.2 mrem/hr, but the boundary dose was not presented. It is not expected to be an issue.
8. What is the status of the conceptual design of the Flux Monitor? If more than one option is considered, please discuss each of them. Baseline design is TOF+Tracking from in-kind contributions. The decision about inclusion of the (optional) MRI magnet depends on grant proposal in the UK. The outcome of that proposal should be known in 2-3 months. If funded, additional design effort will be needed for the MRI magnet installation.
9. What is the bunch space required to run the E12-19-001 experiment? 64 ns
10. What are the requirements of the electron beam on the CPS? 0.32 pC bunches at 15 MHz, 1 mm position tolerance, 1 mm round at face of CPS.
11. Would the existing lasers work to run the E12-19-001 experiment, and if not, what is the solution? No. Hall D laser will be upgraded to support low frequency operation.
12. What is the decommissioning plans for the K-Long Facility (CPS, KPT, ....) and the activated components? A brief outline is sufficient. The plan presented was to push equipment to the side indefinitely. See comments and recommendations.
13. What are the cost and schedule estimates for the construction of the K-Long Facility? Have the resources been identified? Capital cost of \$2.4M and 3 years. However, estimates are not current so it is anticipated the cost could be significantly higher due to atypical escalation of costs for many of the required materials. Labor plan assumes a modest increase in labor beyond what can be identified.

## Comments

- Where possible, reduce use of lead for both personnel safety and mixed-waste disposal.
- Consider value engineering options such as Fe-loaded concrete vs Ba-loaded concrete, segmented beryllium, W-Cu rather than pure tungsten plug. Consideration of life-cycle costs (including labor and decommissioning) should be part of this evaluation.
- If the MRI is an option, fringe fields must be evaluated and accounted for, e.g. in magnet supports and ferrous materials in the vicinity of the magnet.
- A fully encapsulated design for the beryllium target should be pursued.
- As preliminary and final designs are developed, ESH, personnel safety and ergonomics should be factored in design (e.g. lead handling).
- Following ALARA, consider alternative vacuum seals to reduce personnel exposure to decouple vacuum flanges to move the CPS and KPT out of the way to restore GlueX.
- Pressure drops in cooling circuits should be calculated to specify chiller (pump) requirements. Assure the dump chiller has sufficient performance parameters.

## Recommendations

1. Complete a bottom-up cost estimate (30% accuracy) and deliver to physics division management by the end of September 2023 – prior to awarding any major procurements.
2. Work with lab management, including radcon, to document requirements for decommissioning and disposal of the KLF apparatus and incorporate this information to develop designs that are compatible with required timelines for removal and disposal of equipment. Make all efforts to obtain this guidance from lab management by the end of September 2023.
3. Proceed with detailed engineering work.
4. A report of relevant beam studies results from the 2024 run period should be delivered to physics division management by June 2024 (compatibility with MOLLER).
5. Perform time-dependent and thermal cycling (e.g. from beam trips) simulations of targets (copper and beryllium) and blockers (tungsten) that receive high (kW) power deposition to assure that thermal and mechanical performance is adequately understood. Fatigue, cracking etc.
6. Include residual field from dipole in beam optics calculations and determine extent of degaussing that will be required to operate KLF.
7. Perform an FMEA including safety assessment of off-normal events, e.g, cooling system failures, power supply failures, beam excursions etc.
8. Within 2 months, assign a dedicated scientist or team to assess radiation tolerance of equipment, in the tagger hall in particular, and assess if any components will need to be shielded or potentially replaced to restore GlueX.