THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON, DC

$K_L + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + \Lambda$

MARSHALL B. C. SCOTT

HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/IN/MARSHALL-SCOTT-PH-D-17AB191B9





KL4 RXN AND GENERATING STEPS

- KI4 : K⁰_L + p $\rightarrow \pi^+$ + A $-\Lambda \rightarrow$ p + π^- (63.9%) ; Current priority $-\Lambda \rightarrow$ n + π^0 (35.8%)
- Backgrounds : (Primary) $K^0_L + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + \Sigma^0$, (Secondary) $K^0_L + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Xi^0$
- Generated histograms/root files (Monitoring Histograms, ReactionFilter, mcthrown_tree)
 - hd_root --nthreads=8 -PPLUGINS=PEVENTRFBUNCH:USE_TAG=KLong -PVERTEX:USEWEIGHTEDAVERAGE=1 -PPLUGINS=monitoring_hists foo_smeared.hddm
 - hd_root --nthreads=8 -PPLUGINS=PEVENTRFBUNCH:USE_TAG=KLong -PVERTEX:USEWEIGHTEDAVERAGE=1 -PPLUGINS=ReactionFilter –PReaction1=10_14__8_18 foo_smeared.hddm
 - hd_root --nthreads=8 -PPLUGINS=PEVENTRFBUNCH:USE_TAG=KLong PVERTEX:USEWEIGHTEDAVERAGE=1 -PPLUGINS=mcthrown_tree foo_smeared.hddm





IMPROVED FIT

- I altered the fit range I was using to improve the fit.
- The results below show that by decreasing the background range, the fit is improved.



CROSS SECTIONS FROM SLAC

- Cross sections were taken from the SLAC neutral kaon scattering paper : Yamartino *et al.*(https://journals.aps.org/prd/a bstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.9)
- The total cross sections, in mb, are plotted vs. K_L beam momentum.
- Fitted with Function = A + Be^{-x}









CROSS SECTIONS FROM SLAC : WEIGHT DISTRIBUTIONS

- The plots to the right depict the weight distributions using the SLAC CS weights and our data.
- Column 1 : binned weights
- Column 2 : binned weights with extractions
- Column 3 : weights from the fit function on the previous slide





CROSS SECTION WEIGHTED INVARIANT MASS DISTRIBUTIONS

- Plots to the right show the invariant mass distributions of the with and without the cross section weighting.
- The only significant difference between the unweighted vs weighted distributions beyond the integrals is the χ^2 improves by a factor of 1.45(1.2) for $\Lambda(\Sigma)$.







21973

1.118

0.008969

47.74/32

1005 ± 20.

1.116 ± 0.000

274.1 ± 15.6

1.117 ± 0.000

11804 1.118

0.00916

31 39 / 35

192.7 ± 5.3

116 + 0.00

53.18 ± 4.16

1 117 + 0 000

008375 = 0.00037

00762 + 0.0002

359 + 0.00005

6

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON, DC

Backup Slides

Fit Study

- All of the plots have a gaussian signal and a background of a gaussian or a polynomial
- Row 1 (Default) : S(1.105,1.125), B(1.080,1.160)
- Row 2 : S(1.107,1.125), B(1.104,1.128)
- Row 3 : S(1.104,1.128), B(1.101,1.131)



S(Gaus)B(Gaus) S(Gaus)B(pol2) S(Gaus)B(pol3) S(Gaus)B(pol4)



SIGNIFICANCE AND X² TESTING

- Tested the significance as a function of kin fit χ²
 Blue line : S/(S+B)^{0.5}
 - Red line : (2(S+B)ln(1+S/B)-2S)^{0.5}
- Maximum significance using the signal area
 - <u>-S1(23-25)</u>
 - <mark>S2</mark>(23)





9





Λ Vertexing

- Below are plots of the position vertex of the Λ for events within(outside) 3σ .
- No particular differences.



WASHINGTON, DC

KINEMATIC DIFFERENCES

- To the right are plots of the momentum, theta, and pseudorapidity for the Λ , proton, π^+ , and $\pi^$ for events within(outside) 3o.
- No particular differences.



Momentum : x

1000

4 Momentum [G

WASHINGTON, DC



KINEMATIC DIFFERENCES 2

- To the right are plots of the p_T, m_T², and E_T² for the Λ, proton, π⁺, and π⁻ for events within(outside) 3σ.
- No particular differences









