Reconstruction of $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s + p + ...$ generated with uniform profile of K_L beam momentum

within (0.1, 10) GeV/c.

04/28/2024

Vertex reconstruction of uniformly distributed over in the volume of the LH2 cylinder, Generated





Reconstruction of beam momentum.



- Why reconstructed beam momentum histogram is not flat?-
- Perhaps because at least one track is required to fill the Tree.

Reconstruction of simulated $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$ interactions **uniformly distributed** over in the volume of the LH2 cylinder,



Reconstruction of $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K_{s} (-> \pi^{-}\pi^{+}) + p + ...$



track_PiPiMass[0] {0.<track_PiPiMass[0]&&track_PiPiMass[0]<1.5&&num_proto==1}

Reconstruction of $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s (-> \pi^- \pi^+) + p!$



Reconstruction of $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K_{s} (-> \pi^{-}\pi^{+}) + ...$



• Reconstruction efficiency is higher due to other combos: $\varepsilon = \sim 75 \text{ K}/120\text{K} = \sim 60 \%$.

K_s (-> π - π +) + ... reconstruction efficiency due to other combos: ε=~75 K/120K =~60 %.



Reconstruction of $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s (-> \pi^- \pi^+) + p$.

Effective Mass of $\pi^{-}\pi^{+} = m_{K_{s}} \pm 0.05 \text{ GeV}$



Missing Mass of $\pi^-\pi^+$ in GeV



Missing Mass of $\pi^-\pi^+$ in GeV

Selection criterion for $K_s + p$ final state ! $\pi^-\pi^+$ Effective Mass = $m_{K_s} \pm 0.05$ GeV and $\pi^-\pi^+$ Missing Mass = $m_p \pm 0.05$ GeV





• (K_s+p) reconstruction efficiency $\mathcal{E}=3K/120K=\sim2.5\%$ (K_s& p selected!)

Reconstruction of
$$K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(->\pi^-\pi^+) + \dots$$

in the K_L momentum domain of 5q.

Reconstruction of $K_{L}+p \rightarrow K_{s}(->\pi^{-}\pi^{+}) + ...$ in the K_{L} momentum domain of 5q.

(1) K_L beam momentum = 0.45 ± 0.1 GeV/c. (2) Effective Mass of $\pi^-\pi^+ = m_{Ks} \pm 0.05$ GeV



Total # of K_s in all combos is ~1500 out of ~3000. => Reconst. efficiency $\varepsilon_{Ks} = ~50\%$.

Reconstruction of $K_L^+p \rightarrow K_s(\pi^-\pi^+) + p$ in the **domain of 5q**. (1) K_L^- beam mom. = 0.45 ± 0.1 GeV/c. (2) Eff. Mass of $\pi^-\pi^+ = m_{K_s}^- \pm 0.05$ GeV (3) # proton tracks>=1 (4) Miss. Mass of $\pi^-\pi^+ = m_n^- \pm 0.02$ GeV



• Reconstruction efficiency of $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s + p$ $\mathcal{E}_{Ks+p} = \sim 20 \%$ (~500/3000)









Where K^+ on the dE/dx .vs. momentum map?





K⁺ identification from generated particles using CDC and FDC.



- **Good K⁺ separation** in **CDC** at 0.25<p/GeV/c<0.55. Allows **K⁺-yield vs. momentum** measurement!
- **K⁺-yield** vs. momentum using **FDC** is possible only at **low background** of pions.

Reconstruction of $K_L + p \rightarrow K^+ + ...$ in 5q domain of K_L momenta. K⁺ identification via f(dEdx, p) = const. ×(dE/dx)^{0.25}× p^{0.25}



 $track_VBTPID[0]", "track_charge = 1& track_CDC hitused > 10& 0.25 < beam_pz < 0.52& track_Momentum[0] < 0.85& 0.05 < track_VBTPID[0] < 1.4 < track_VBTPID[0] < 0.4 < track_V$

• **K⁺ reconstruction efficiency** in 5q domain $\mathcal{E} = \sim 40\%$ (2500 K⁺/6300 K₁)

Reconstruction of $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K^{+} + n$ **in 5q region of beam momentum.**



- track_CDChitused[0]>10&0.35<beam_pz<0.52&track_Momentum[0]<0.55&1.05<track_VBTPID[0]<1.4
- Reconstruction efficiency of neutron, $\varepsilon_n = \sim 25 \%$ (~2,400/10,268)

Reconstruction of $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K^{+} + n$ **in 5q region of beam momentum.**

0.32<beam_pz<0.52 & 5<=track_CDChitused[0] & 1.05<track_VBTPID[0]<1.2 & track_Momentum[0]<0.95 & 0.5<track_KaPIMiss[0]<3.





0.8<track_KaPIMiss[0]&&track_CDChitused[0]>10&&0.35<beam_pz&&beam_pz<0.52 && 0<track_Momentum[0]&&track_Momentum[0]<2.55 && 1.05<track_VBTPID[0]&&track_VBTPID[0]<1.2

Reconstruction of $K_L + p \rightarrow K^+ + n$ in wide beam momentum range !

1.05<track_VBTPID&&track_VBTPID<1.2 & track_charge[0]=1 & track_CDChitused[0]>=11 & track_FDChitused[0]>=0 & 0.35

0.35<br



- (K⁺+n) reconstruction efficiency with CDC&FDC, $\varepsilon_n = \sim 20 \%$ (60,000/300,000)!
- Why the beam histogram is not flat?

Conclusion

(1) Reconstruction efficiency in **momentum domain of 5q (0.35,0.55)** GeV/c: $K^+ + n \sim 40\%$. $K^+ + n \sim 30\%$ with a peak at neutron mass $K_s + m \sim 50\%$ $K_s + p \sim 20\%$ with a peak at proton mass

(2) Reconstruction efficiency in the **momentum region (0,10)** GeV/c:

 $\begin{array}{ll} K^{+} + ... & ??? \\ K^{+} + n & \sim 25 \% \\ K_{s} + ... & \sim 60 \% \\ K_{s} + p + ... \sim 30 \% \\ K_{s} + p & \sim 2.5 \% \end{array}$

No separation beyond ~0.6 GeV/c with peak at neutron mass

of proton track identified ==1
with peak at proton mass



Reconstruction of $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K^{+} + n$ using only FDC data.

"track_charge=1&track_CDChitused[0]=0&track_FDChitused[0]>5&0.25<beam_pz<12&track_Momentum[0]<9.8"



• Reconstruction efficiency of K⁺+p final state using FDC is of 0.5 %

ROOT analysis of generated/reconstructed reactions

$$K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$$

04/04/2024



ROOT analysis of generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



track_PID[3] (0.45<track_PIPIMass[3]&&track_PIPIMass[3]<55 && 0.<track_PIPIMas[3]&&track_PIPIMas[3]<10.&& track_PID[3]>0)

• Statistics of reconstructed tracks in the final state (track_PID).

ROOT analysis of 50000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



- Looks like in the Proposal.
- Low statistics of beam particles in the region of special interestaround 450 MeV/c.
- Can the beam range be changed via a E-option ?

KLGenerator_hddm_V3 -Ekaon:histo:1.0:4.0

ROOT analysis of 50000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



track PiPiMass (track PiPiMass>0.01&&track PiPiMass<4.52&&track PiPiMiss<10.&&track PiPiMiss>0.}

A distinct peak of K_s is seen in the effective mass spectrum of $\pi^- \pi^+$ tracks.

track PiPiMiss {track PiPiMass>0.0046&&track PiPiMass<10.52&&track PiPiMiss<10}

Reconstruction yield ~ 1.2 K_s per beam K_{Long} ! Need to test the yield around 450 MeV/c!

ROOT analysis of 50000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K_{s}(\pi - \pi +) + p$



track_PiPiMass {track_PiPiMass>0.01&&track_PiPiMass<4.52&&track_PiPiMiss<1.&&track_PiPiMiss>0.9}

• Seems the K_s-peak looks more pronounced with Miss. Mass cut?

X: ~track_pz Y:~sh_E Z: -emptyof ~CUT 🜱 Scan box E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -empty-E() -emptyrunnumber eventnumber hits num_hits hit_row hit_column hit_x

hit_y hit_E hit_t num_clusters cl_x cl_y cl_z CI_E CI_Emax cl_channelEmax cl_nhits cl_time cl_timemaxe cl_timeewight cl_getrms cl_getrms_t cl_getrms_x cl_getrms_y cl_getrms_u cl_getrms_v num_showers

sh_x sh_y sh_z sh_E sh_nhits sh_time sh_docatrack sh_timetrack sh_sumu sh_sumv sh_e1e9 sh_e9e25 num_beam beam_px beam_py beam_pz hum_thrown thrown_VBT thrown_charge thrown_px thrown_py

thrown_pz num_tracks track_PiPiMass track_PiPiMiss track_PID track_charge track_px track_py track_pz track_fcalmatch track_matchshen track_matchx track_matchy track_matchz track_matchshx track_matchshy track_matchshz track_matchbackx track_matchbacky track_matchbackz track_matchdoca

ROOT analysis of 50000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



• No correlations of of $\pi^- \pi^+$ effective mass with other tree variable were observed, except of , perhaps, with the "shower/cluster energy"?

ROOT analysis of 400,000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



• The yield of K-shorts from all combinations is ~ 0.5 K_s per one K-Long from the beam.

ROOT analysis of 400,000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_L + p \rightarrow K_s(\pi - \pi +) + p$



ROOT analysis of 400,000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_{L} + p \rightarrow K_{s}(\pi - \pi +) + p$



track_PiPiMass {0.1<track_PiPiMass&atrack_PiPiMass<2.&&track_PiD(3)=+14&80.<track_pz[3]&&trackpz[3]&&track_pz[3]&&track_pz[3]&&track_pz[3]&&track_pz

Effective Mass of $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ (GeV)

- Combinatorial background comes from wrong partner of pion.
- Other combinations should be accounted=>higher percentage up to 100%

ROOT analysis of 400,000 generated/reconstructed reactions $K_{L}+p \rightarrow K_{s}(\pi - \pi +)+p$



track_PiPiMass[0] {0.1<track_PiPiMass[0]&&track_PiPiMass[0]<2.&&0.35

team_pz&&beam_pz<.55}

K_s rescattering probability very small and is of = = σ (20.E⁻²⁷ cm²)×L (3.cm)× ρ (0.07 g/cm³)/ μ (1.g/mol)×N_A(6.E⁺²³mol⁻¹)=~25.E-4



mak, PP Vare mak, PPW and Lanach, PPW antidenes, PP Man. 6.440 anach, PPW and Brack, PPM and 5440.06 above, publicane, publican



Draw("track_PiPiMiss[0]", "(0.<track_PiPiMiss<5) & (0.47<track_PiPiMass<0.53) & (0.0125<beam_pz<1.45) " ,"", 800000, 0);

- track_PIP-blog() (5.vmck_P.Philin(1))/dvmck_P.Philin(1)/5.dx147-vmck_PIP-blog(0)/688440425-vmck_PIP-blog(1)/5584404125-vmck_pip-blogan_post.489



• In the momentum domain of 5q production, K-shorts are selected via PiPiMass cut. Protons are clearly see in the of $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ Missing Mass spectrum.

Draw("track_PiPiMass[3]", "track_PiPiMiss[3]<8 && 0.1<track_PiPiMass[3] && track_PiPiMass[3]<3.5&&0<beam_pz&&beam_pz<12 &&track_PID[3]==14"

track_PiPiMass[3] {track_PiPiMass[3]<8 && 0.1<track_PiPiMass[3]&&track_PiPiMass[3]&&track_PiPiMass[3]<3.5&&0
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
track_PiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]<br/trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiPiMass[3]
trackPiP

