# Be-Target Assembly Conceptual Design: Progress & Plans

# Igor Strakovsky

*The George Washington University* (for KLF Collaboration)





- Hall D beam line for 🍲
- Hall D setting.
- **MCNPG** radiation transport code.
- KPT & Plug materials.
- Be-target assembly.
- Biological dose rate for n & γ.
- Muon background.
- Where we are now & where to go.

\*Supported by 🍘





KLF-2020, Newport News, Virginia, February 2020

Igor Strakovsky 1

DE-SC0016583





Hall D Beam Line for K-long

• Electrons (3.1 x 10<sup>13</sup> e/sec) are hitting Cu-radiator @ CPS located in Tagger alcove.











Electrons (3.1 x 10<sup>13</sup> e/sec) are hitting Cu-radiator @ CPS located in Tagger alcove.
 Photons (4.7 x 10<sup>12</sup> γ/sec @ E > 1.5 GeV) are hitting Be-target located in collimator alcove.







Electrons (3.1 x 10<sup>13</sup> e/sec) are hitting Cu-radiator @ CPS located in Tagger alcove.
 Photons (4.7 x 10<sup>12</sup> γ/sec @ E > 1.5 GeV) are hitting Be-target located in collimator alcove.
 K<sub>L</sub>s (1 x 10<sup>4</sup> K<sub>L</sub>/sec) are hitting LH<sub>2</sub>/LD<sub>2</sub> target within GLueX setting.





Electrons (3.1 x 10<sup>13</sup> e/sec) are hitting Cu-radiator @ CPS located in Tagger alcove.
 Photons (4.7 x 10<sup>12</sup> γ/sec @ E > 1.5 GeV) are hitting Be-target located in collimator alcove.
 K<sub>L</sub>s (1 x 10<sup>4</sup> K<sub>L</sub>/sec) are hitting LH<sub>2</sub>/LD<sub>2</sub> target within GLueX setting.









• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





2/5/2020

• Most important & unpleasant background for K<sub>L</sub> comes from neutrons.





• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





2/5/2020

• Most important & unpleasant background for K<sub>L</sub> comes from neutrons.





• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





2/5/2020

• Most important & unpleasant background for K<sub>L</sub> comes from neutrons.





• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





2/5/2020

#### • Most important & unpleasant background for K<sub>L</sub> comes from neutrons.





• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





2/5/2020

• Most important & unpleasant background for K<sub>L</sub> comes from neutrons.







- Realism of simulations is based on advanced nuclear cross section libraries created & maintained in national laboratories of b complex.
- Physical models, implemented in MCNP6 code, take into account
  - bremsstrahlung photon production,
  - photonuclear reactions,
  - neutron & photon *multiple scattering* processes.
- **MCNP6** model simulates **12** GeV **5**  $\mu$ A **electron** beam hitting **Cu**-radiator inside CPS.
- Electron transport is traced in Cu-radiator,

vacuum beam pipe for bremsstrahlung photons, **Be**.

- Neutrons & gammas is traced in all components of mckies model.
- Media outside concrete walls of collimator *alcove* & bremsstrahlung photon beam *pipe* were excluded from consideration to facilitate calculations.
   Additionally, we ignore PS & KFM magnets but took into account 5 SEG-blocks around beam pipe in front of GlueX spectrometer.
- For calculations (in terms of flux [part/s/cm<sup>2</sup>] & biological dose rate [mrem/h]). several tallies were placed along beam, collimator alcove, & experimental hall for neutron & gamma fluence estimation.









# Why Be was Selected for KPT

• Previous **SLAC** studies shown that **Be** is optimal material for kaon photoproduction.



G.W. Brandenburg et al, Phys Rev D 7, 708 (1973)









# Why W was Selected for Plug

• Previous SLAC studies shown that W has low absorption factor for  $K_L$ .



G.W. Brandenburg *et al*, Phys Rev D **7**, 708 (1973)









Flux ratio n/K

- Flux of Kaons will be 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> K<sub>1</sub>/sec on LH<sub>2</sub>/LD<sub>2</sub> within GlueX detector, which has large acceptance with coverage of both *charged* & *neutral* particles.
- This flux will allow statistics in case of LH<sub>2</sub> /LD<sub>2</sub> to exceed that of earlies experiments by almost three orders of magnitude.

Flux of Kaon Beam

• We simulated Kaon & neutron production from 12 GeV electrons for to by PYTHIA & MCNPG & results are in reasonable agreement with results measured by **SLAC** @ 16 GeV.

 Delivered with 64 nsec bunch spacing avoids overlap between neutrons & Kaons in range of

**p** = **0.35** – **10.0** GeV/c.

See recent talk by Todd Satogata





2/5/2020



With proton beam, ratio n/K<sub>1</sub> = 10<sup>3</sup>-10<sup>4</sup>.







- Changeover from photon to Kaon beamline & vice versa is expected to take about half year or less, & thus should fit well into beam breaks of current CEBAF schedule.
- Collimator alcove has enough space (with 4.52 m width) for Be-target assembly to remain far enough from beamline.
- Water Cooling is available in experimental hall, & is sufficient to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by photon beam to **Be**-target & **W**-plug.



xy-cross section, x-dimension

 $\rho(W) = 16.3 \text{ g/cm}^3 - \text{Rolf's value}$ 

Concrete walls are out of scale













- Changeover from photon to Kaon beamline & vice versa is expected to take about half year or less, & thus should fit well into beam breaks of current CEBAF schedule.
- Collimator alcove has enough space (with 4.52 m width) for Be-target assembly to remain far enough from beamline.
- Water Cooling is available in experimental hall, & is sufficient to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by photon beam to **Be**-target & **W**-plug.

At key area for RadCon on ceiling <mark>n: 0.349±0.172 mrem/h</mark> Pb & W <mark>γ: 0.078±0.005 mrem/h</mark>









xy-cross section, x-dimension





#### xy-cross section, x-dimension



### Be-target assembly will weight 14.5 t Be-target has estimated cost of \$1.12M

- Changeover from photon to Kaon beamline & vice versa is expected to take about half year or less, & thus should fit well into beam breaks of current CEBAF schedule.
- Collimator alcove has enough space (with 4.52 m width) for Be-target assembly to remain far enough from beamline.
- Water Cooling is available in experimental hall, & is sufficient to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by photon beam to Be-target & W-plug.

At <mark>key</mark> area for <mark>RadCon</mark> on ceiling	
Pb & W	<mark>n</mark> : 0.349±0.172 mrem/h
	<mark>γ: 0.078±0.005 mrem/h</mark>
Pb & no W	<mark>n:</mark> 0.614±0.246 mrem/h
	<mark>γ: 0.527±0.006 mrem/h</mark>













### Be-target assembly will weight 14.5 t Be-target has estimated cost of \$1.12M

- Changeover from photon to Kaon beamline & vice versa is expected to take about half year or less, & thus should fit well into beam breaks of current CEBAF schedule.
- Collimator alcove has enough space (with 4.52 m width) for Be-target assembly to remain far enough from beamline.
- Water Cooling is available in experimental hall, & is sufficient to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by photon beam to Be-target & W-plug.











### Be-target assembly will weight 14.5 t → 12 t Be-target has estimated cost of \$1.12M → \$0.134M

- Changeover from photon to Kaon beamline & vice versa is expected to take about half year or less, & thus should fit well into beam breaks of current CEBAF schedule.
- Collimator alcove has enough space (with 4.52 m width) for Be-target assembly to remain far enough from beamline.
- Water Cooling is available in experimental hall, & is sufficient to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by photon beam to Be-target & W-plug.





• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.











• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.











• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.









• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.











• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.









• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.







• For neutron & gamma calculations, we use **MC** radiation transport code.





# Prompt Plots



Vertical cross section of neutron flux calculated using MC Performance.

#### Vertical cross section of gamma flux calculated using MC PG.



KLF-2020, Newport News, Virginia, February 2020

Soft gammas from elements of  $LH_2/LD_2$ 



2/5/2020



# Muon Background



- Our simulations included BH muon background from KPT & photon dump @ CPS, both backgrounds into GlueX detector & muon dose rate outside Hall D.
- Most of muons are coming from W-plug.
- Number of produced muon in KPT & W-plug is about the same, but muons originating in W have much softer momenta.
- Muon Flux is ~10<sup>7</sup> μ/sec.
- Our calculations show that **muons** will be **swept** out of kaon beamline.





Overall, Muon Flux for 🍲 experiment is tolerable.





# Where We are Now & Where to Go

- Kaon flux @ KLF will allow statistics in case of LH<sub>2</sub> target to exceed that of earlier SLAC experiments by almost three orders of magnitude.
- Calculations for KPT were performed for different shielding configurations to minimize neutron & gamma prompt radiation dose rate & reduce price of KPT.
- Neutron & gamma flux & dose rate for is below JLab RadCon requirement establishing radiation dose rate limit in experimental hall. Materials & equipment: \$0.134M.
- Neutron flux & energy distribution on face of LH<sub>2</sub>/LD<sub>2</sub> cryogenic target is important physical background in case of np or nd interactions in cryogenic target.
- SiPMs of SC & BCAL are expected to tolerate expected **neutron** background.

• Engineering design is in order ?

Any Questions?









2/5/2020

KLF-2020, Newport News, Virginia, February 2020