Be Target Assembly for KLF project: Conceptual Design

M. J. Amaryan¹, W. J. Briscoe², P. Degtyarenko³, A. Somov³, J. R. Stevens⁴, I. I. Strakovsky², T. Whitlatch³,

¹ Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA

² The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA

³ Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

⁴ College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA

Abstract

A conceptual design of the Be target assembly for neutral kaon experiments [1] to be used with the GlueX experimental setup for strange hadron spectroscopy.

Contents

1	K _L Beam at Hall D	2
2	Elements of the Be-target Assembly	3
3	Background Calculations	4
	3.1 Neutron Background	7
	3.2 Gamma Background	10
4	Cost Estimation	11
5	Acknowledgements	12
6	Appendix: Geometry of the Collimator Cave	12

1 K_L Beam at Hall D



Figure 1: Schematic view of Hall D setting for MCNP transport code [2] calculations. Beam goes from left to right. The model is presented as semi-transparent for demonstration purposes. These 3D plots are a part of the Hall D beamline and some tallies are shown.

At the first stage, E = 12 GeV electrons produced at CEBAF will scatter in the copper radiator (10 R.L.) inside the Compact Photon Source (CPS), generating an intense beam of untagged bremsstrahlung photons. The Hall D tagger magnet and detectors will not be used. At the second stage, bremsstrahlung photons, created by electrons at a distance about 67 m (photon beamline) upstream, hit the Be target assembly located at the beginning of the collimator cave (Fig. 1), and produce neutral kaons along with neutrons, photons, and charged particles. GlueX wiki [3] is a source of the collimator cave geometry. Additional shielding inside of the collimator cave was optimized to minimaize the neutron and gamma background in the experimental Hall D and to satisfy a RadCon requirement establishing the radiation dose rate limit in the Hall (1 mrem/h).

The beam tungsten plug is placed right after beryllium. Two concrete walls, carried out the first labyrinth upstream, will reduce neutron and photon background and allow access to the Be-target from the experimental hall are both 1.21 m thick and have 0.5 m gap between them (Fig. 2). The first concrete wall has additional 0.10 m lead. The permanent sweeping magnet (3.83 m length) is placed right after the second concrete wall. It cleans up the charged component of the beam and has a field integral of 0.8 Tm, which is enough to remove all charged background coming out of the Be-target assembly.

The vacuum beam pipe has a $\emptyset 0.07$ m and prevents neutron rescattering in air. Finally, K_L mesons



Figure 2: Schematic view of the collimator cave with the Be-target assemply (more details on Fig. 3) concrete wals, and sweeping magnet. Beam goes from left to right. The detail geometry of the collimator cave is given in Appendix 6.

will reach the LH_2/LD_2 cryogenic target located inside the GlueX spectrometer. The distance between the primary Be and cryogenic targets is 24 m. The flux of K_L mesons will be measured by a Flux Monitor [4] (1.2 m length) located in the experimental hall just in 2 m (it would utilize the K_L in-flight decays) behind the Pair Spectrometer [5].

2 Elements of the Be-target Assembly

Schematic view of the Be-target assembly (K_L production target) is given on Fig. 3. For the target material, we selected beryllium because at the same radiation length it has higher number of atoms compared to other materials with the large atomic masses. This justifies the choice of beryllium as a K_L production target was done at SLAC [6] and NINA [7]. The beam tungsten plug of a 0.10 m



Figure 3: Schematic view of the Be-target (K_L production target) assembly. Concrete, borated polyethylene, lead, tungsten, beryllium, vacuum beam pipe, and air shown by grey, pink, brown, light blue, violet, and white color, respectively. Beam goes from left to right.

thick (30 R.L.) is connected to the beryllium (Fig. 3).

Elements of the Be-target assembly are presented in Table 1. The weight of the construction is 14.5 ton. Changeover from the photon to K_L beamline and from the K_L beamline to photon is expected to take less or about 6 months (after the radiological cooldown). It fits the current CEBAF schedule well and the beam collimator cave has enough space (4.52 m width) for the Be-target assembly out of the beamline. The detail geometry of the collimator cave is given in Appendix 6.

Water cooling would be required around the Be target and in the selected tungsten (much higher melting point) plug. Cooling water is available in the experimental hall that can be used to dissipate 6 kW of power delivered by the photon beam.

3 Background Calculations

To estimate the neutron and gamma flux in a beam and neutron dose rate in the experimental hall from scattered neutrons and gamma, we used the MCNP6 N-Particle (MCNP) Transport code [2]. The realism of MCNP simulations is based on the advanced nuclear cross section libraries created and maintained in national laboratories of DOE complex. The physical models implemented in the MCNP6 code take into account bremsstrahlung photon production, photonuclear reactions, neutron and photons multiple scattering processes. The experimental hall, collimator cave, and photon beam resulted from tungsten radiator were modeled using the specifications from the layout presented in Figure 1 shown as a 3D graphic model of the experimental setup.

Element	Outer Ø/Inner Ø	Height	Volume	Density	Mass
	(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(kg/m^3)	(kg)
Borated polyethylen (front)	1.20/0.16	0.10	0.111	1000	111.1
Borated polyethylen (side)	1.20/1.00	1.30	0.449	1000	449.2
Borated polyethylen (back)	1.20/0.08	0.10	0.113	1000	112.6
Lead (front)	1.00/0.16	0.30	0.230	11350	2605.8
Lead (side)	1.00/0.76	0.70	0.232	11350	2635.8
Lead (back)	1.00/0.08	0.30	0.234	11350	2657.2
Tungsten (front)	0.76/0.16	0.40	0.173	19300	3346.9
Tungsten (center)	0.76/0.00	0.10	0.045	19300	875.5
Tungsten (back)	0.76/0.08	0.20	0.090	19300	1731.7
Beryllium	0.06/0.00	0.40	0.001	1848	2.1
A Tungsten .3 P (GeV/c	15 R.L. 30 R.L. 9 12	.4 Lea .3 .2 .2 .1 .1 .0 0	d 3 6 P (Ge	15 I 30 I 30 I eV/c)	R.L. 12

Table 1: Elements of the Be-target assembly.

Figure 4: Survived neutral kaons (in arbitrary units) after the tungsten (left) or lead (right) plug with 15 or 30 R.L.s.

The MCNP model simulates a 12 GeV 5μ A electron beam hitting the copper radiator inside the CPS. Electron transport was traced in tungsten radiator, vacuum beam pipe for bremsstrahlung photons, and Be-target. Neutrons and photons were traced in all components of the used MCNP model. The media outside concrete walls of the collimator cave and bremsstrahlung photon beam pipe was excluded from consideration to facilitate the calculations.

For MCNP calculations (in terms of flux [part/s/cm²] or biological dose rate [mrem/h]), several tallies (as Tables 2 and 3 will show below) were placed along the beam and at the experimental hall for neutron and gamma fluence estimation. Fluence-to-Effective Dose conversion factors from ICRP 116 [8] were implemented to convert neutron fluence to effective dose rate.

Tally descriptions are:

- #1: spot for flux (Ø0.07 m) on the beam in the experimental hall right behind of the concrete wall;
- #2: spot for flux ($\emptyset 0.07$ m) outside the beam in the experimental hall right behind of the

concrete wall;

- #3: spot for flux (Ø0.07 m) on the beam in the experimental hall right on the face of the cryogenic target;
- #4: spot for flux (Ø0.07 m) outside the beam in the experimental hall right on the face of the cryogenic target;
- **#5**: area for dose rate (6×6 m²) on ceiling of the experimental hall centered at the GlueX detector key area for the RadCon;
- **#6**: ring for dose rate (outer Ø0.08 m and inner Ø0.07 m) on the face of the cryogenic target to evaluate a radiation damage for the SiPMs;
- **#7-9**: area for dose rate (1×1 m²) hallway in the experimental hall following the GlueX detector;
- #10-19: rings for dose rate (outer Ø0.25, 0.45, 0.65, 0.85, 1.05, 1.25, 1.45, 1.65, 1.85, 2.05 m and inner Ø0.20, 0.40, 0.60, 0.80, 1.00, 1.20, 1.40, 1.60, 1.80, 2.00 m, respectively) on the face of the cryogenic target to evaluate a radiation damage for the front of the BCAL;
- **#20**: spot for flux (Ø0.07 m) on the beam right behind beryllium;
- #21: spot for flux ($\emptyset 0.07$ m) on the beam right behind tungsten.

 K_L s, produced by the Be-target and survived after the beam tungsten or lead plug, are presented on Fig. 4. This figure shows that there is a small effect in the material difference in the beam plug. There are 10% of K_L s, produced by the Be-target, survived after the beam tungsten plug. The neutron yield integral from the berilium is $2.4 \times 10^{10} n/(s \cdot cm^2)$ and then from tunsten is $4.2 \times 10^9 n/(s \cdot cm^2)$ (Figs. 5 and 6). So, the tungsten plug reduced the neutron flux by the same amount as the neutral kaon flux and main contribution to the neutron background associated with neutrons below 10 MeV.



Figure 5: Survived neutrons after the berilium. Neutron calculations were performed using the MCNP Transport code [2].



Figure 6: Survived neutrons after the tungsten. Neutron calculations were performed using the MCNP Transport code [2].

3.1 Neutron Background

Calculations were performed for different shielding modifications in the collimator cave to minimaize the neutron and gamma dose rate. The vertical (horizontal) cross section of the neutron flux is given on Fig. 7 (Fig. 8).

The tally #5 (Table 3) was selected by RadCon to estimate neutron fluence at the experimental hall ceiling just above the GlueX detector. That is the Key Area for RadCon shown on Fig. 1. The neutron dose rate calculated for the layouts from Figs. 7 and 8 on tally #5 is 0.02 ± 0.01 mrem/h. It is acceptable by RadCon.



Figure 7: Vertical cross section of the neutron flux calculated for the model. Beam goes from left to right.

The neutron flux on the face of the LH_2/LD_2 cryogenic target (tally #3) is 1.6×10^4 n/(s·cm²). The spectrum of neutrons at and around the face of the cryogenic target is shown on Fig. 9. The neutron energy on the cryogenic target varied between 0.1 - 1 GeV and flux is not enough to



Figure 8: Horizontal cross section of the neutron flux calculated for the model. Beam goes from left to right.

provide a significant background in the case of np or nd interactions in the cryogenic target.



Figure 9: Neutron energy spectrum on the beam and face of the cryogenic target, tally #3.

Table 2: Neutron (2nd column) and gamma (3rd column) background flux calculated for different tallies (1st column).

Tally	Neutron flux $(n/(s \cdot cm^2))$	Photon flux $(\gamma/(s \cdot cm^2))$
#1	$(8.0\pm0.6)\times10^4$ (8.0%)	$(31.2\pm0.1)\times10^{6} (0.3\%)$
#2	$(4.0\pm2.3)\times10^2$ (58%)	$(4.7\pm3.3)\times10^2$ (71%)
#3	$(1.6\pm0.2)\times10^3$ (13%)	$(27.4\pm0.1)\times10^{6} (0.2\%)$
#4	$(2.8\pm0.6)\times10^3$ (22%)	$(10.3\pm0.5)\times10^4$ (4.7%)
#20	$(236.0\pm0.2)\times10^8(0.1\%)$	$(223.0\pm0.1)\times10^{10} (0.02\%)$
#21	$(41.7\pm0.1)\times10^8(0.1\%)$	$(10.7\pm0.1)\times10^8$ (0.4%)

The neutron dose rate (Table 3) for the silicon photomultipliers (SiPM) [9, 10, 12] and BCAL [11, 12] is given on Fig. 10 (left). There is an issue for SiPM and low level of BCAL. Previous studies

Tally	Dose rate (mrem/h)	Dose rate (mrem/h)
#5	0.2±0.1 (46%)	$(2.1\pm0.1)\times10^{-2}$ (3.6%)
#6	$2.0{\pm}0.4{\times}10^3$ (18%)	$(14.8\pm0.2)\times10^3$ (1.5%)
#7	> 0.1	$(5.2\pm3.0)\times10^{-3}$ (58%)
#8	> 0.1	$(7.4\pm3.0)\times10^{-3}$ (41%)
#9	> 0.1	$(2.6\pm0.6)\times10^{-2}$ (24%)
#10	82.8±28.5 (34%)	(12.5±1.6) (13%)
#11	4.3±2.7 (64%)	(0.72±0.08) (11%)
#12	2.1±1.3 (60%)	$(0.60\pm0.07)(12\%)$
#13	1.7±1.5 (87%)	$(0.60 \pm 0.09) (14\%)$
#14	0.2±0.1 (71%)	(0.45 ± 0.04) (8.5%)
#15	0.1±0.1 (67%)	(0.32 ± 0.02) (4.7%)
#16	0.5±0.3 (57%)	(0.35±0.04) (11%)
#17	0.8±0.4 (46%)	(0.29 ± 0.02) (7.5%)
#18	0.6±0.3 (49%)	$(0.26 \pm 0.02) (6.9\%)$
#19	0.4±0.2 (56%)	(0.21 ± 0.01) (6.3%)

Table 3: Neutron (2nd column) and gamma (3rd column) dose rate background calculated for different tallies (1st column).

stand that the dose rate of 30 mreh/h increases a dark current at SiPM by a factor of 5 after 75 days of running period [13].



Figure 10: Neutron (left) and gamma (right) dose rate background calculated for SipM (tally #6) and BCAL (tallies #10-19) on the face of the cryogenic target.

The neutron energy on the cryogenic target varied between 0.1 - 1 GeV and flux is low enough to provide a significant background in the case of np or nd interactions in the cryogenic target (Fig. 9).

<u>To summarize</u>, the neutron flux and dose rate for the KLF experiment is below the RadCon limit as Tables 2 and 3 show. Overall, the Be-target assembly conceptual design satisfies the RadCon requirement establishing the radiation dose rate limit in the Hall. The full engineering design is in

progress.

3.2 Gamma Background

To estimate the photon flux in a beam and gamma dose rate in the experimental Hall D from scattered neutrons, we used the same MCNP Transport code [2]. After passing through 30 R.L. tungsten beam plug and the charged background component removed by the sweep magnet, we will have some residual γ background produced by EM showers. The vertical cross section of the gamma flux is given on Fig. 11. The energy spectrum of residual γ s is shown in Fig. 12. It decreases exponentially with increasing energy of photons and cannot exceed 30 MeV. The gamma dose calculated for the layout from Fig. 7 on tally #5 is $(2.1\pm0.1)\times10^{-2}$ mrem/h which is acceptable by RadCon.



Figure 11: Vertical cross section of the gamma flux calculated for the model. Beam goes from left to right.



Figure 12: Gamma energy spectrum on the beam and face of the cryogenic target, tally #3.

The gamma dose rate (Table 3) (Fig. 10 (right)) which is acceptable for the silicon photomultipliers (SiPM) [9, 10, 12] and BCAL [11, 12] as well.

To summarize, the photon flux and dose rate for the KLF experiment is tolerable (Tables 2 and 3).

4 Cost Estimation

The new hardware for the Be target assumes the beryllium is a cylinder while all the other elements are stacked flat plate to make a box around the target. As you will see, the tungsten is the majority of the cost. This is based on a quote one can received for 17000 lbs of tungsten plate.

A breakdown of the hardware as follows

- New 1 Tm magnet \$45K;
- Power supply \$50K;
- Magnet stand \$10K;
- Beryllium/tungsten target 6 cm dia x 40 cm long \$11K Be + 17Klbs for \$990K w = \$1M;
- Lead sheets use existing 2"sheets in lead shed \$4K to cut to size;
- Borated poly sheets (5%) \$8K;
- Target rail/support system for moving in and out of beamline \$20K;
- Vacuum Beam line \$8K (assumes current valves and gauging reused);
- Concrete shielding Labyrinth \$20K (\$10K if we use small blocks plus support wall (\$4K) but more labor required) a wash in cost;
- Water Cooling for target \$20K.

We looked at 2 scenarios.

Scenario A is with new sweep magnet and removing the big lead and concrete shield wall and Pair Spec for installation. We do not reinstall the pair spectrometer.

Scenario B is to use smaller pieces of shielding and keeping the current sweep magnet and not removing Pair Spec and shielding wall.

Both scenarios assume all JLab labor and use existing tools for the job. Assume 5 day/1 shift work week. Also the assumption is that all new equipment is in hand when the installation begins.

Engineering time assumes requirements are known at the start of the design.

Scenario A

- Materials and Equipment \$1.2M.
- Remove existing equipment from beamline Technician Labor 0.55 FTE*Yr, 60 calendar days (3.5 techs full time for this period).
- Install new equipment and shield walls Technician Labor 1.0 FTE*Yr, 98 calendar days.
- Engineering 0.6 FTE*Yr, 1 year (assumes 1 engineer working 60% for a year).
- Designer 1.0 FTE*Yr, 1 year (assumes one designer working 80% for a full year and a second designer 20% for a year).

Scenario B

- Materials and Equipment \$1.1M.
- Remove existing equipment from beamline Technician Labor 0.4 FTE, 42 calendar days
- Install new equipment Technician Labor 0.8 FTE, 78 calendar days
- Engineering 0.4 FTE, 1 year (assumes 1 engineer working 40% for a year)
- Designer 1.0 FTE, 1 year (assumes one designer working 80% for a full year and a second designer 20% for a year).
- Scientist check out of reinstalled equipment is not included in FTE or calendar time. This should be added.

The total cost of the project is estimated to be on the order of $\sim \$1.5M$.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Eugene Chudakov for many useful communications and discussions and to Stephanie Worthington for details of the geometry of the collimator cave. This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Award Number DE–SC0016583.

6 Appendix: Geometry of the Collimator Cave

Schematic view of the collimator cave with the Be-target assemply is given for x- (Fig. 13), y- (Fig. 14), and z-dimension (Fig. 15), respectively.



Figure 13: Schematic view of the collimator cave with the Be-target assemply: x-dimension (in cm units). Beam goes from left to right.

References

- [1] M. J. Amaryan, M. Bashkanov, J. Ritman, J. R. Stevens, I. Strakovsky *et al.* [GlueX Collaboration], arXiv:1707.05284 [hep-ex].
- [2] T. Goorley et al., Nucl. Tech. 180, 298. (2012); https://mcnp.lanl.gov/.
- [3] GlueX wiki: https://halldweb.jlab.org/wiki/images/d/df/CollimatorElevationSept08.png ; S. Worthington, private communication, 2018..
- [4] M. Bashkanov et al., Flux monitor memo.
- [5] F. Barbosa, C. Hutton, A. Sitnikov, A. Somov, S. Somov, and I. Tolstukhin, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 795, 376 (2015).
- [6] A. D. Brody et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 966 (1969).
- [7] M. G. Albrow et al., Nucl. Phys. B 23, 509 (1970).
- [8] ICRP 116 Publication, *Conversion Coefficients for Radiological Protection Quantities for External Radiation Exposures*, Annals of the ICRP, **40**, No 2-5 (2010).



Figure 14: Schematic view of the collimator cave with the Be-target assemply: y-dimension (in cm units). Beam goes from left to right.



Figure 15: Schematic view of the collimator cave with the Be-target assemply: z-dimension (in cm units). Beam goes from left to right.

- [9] Y. Qiang, C. Zorn, F. Barbosa, and E. Smith, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 698, 234 (2013).
- [10] E. Pooser, F. Barbosa, W. Boeglin, C. Hutton, M. Ito, M. Kamel, P. Khetarpal, A. LLodra,

N. Sandoval, S. Taylor, C. Yero, T. Whitlatch, S. Worthington, and B. Zihlmann, to be submitted to Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A.

- [11] T. D. Beattie, A. M. Foda, C. L. Henschel, S. Katsaganis, G. J. Lolosa, Z. Papandreou *et al.* to be submitted to Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A.
- [12] P. Degtiarenko, A. Fass, G. Kharashvili, and A. Somov, Preprint JLAB-TN-11-005, 2011.
- [13] A. Somov, Preprint GlueX-doc-1646, 2011.