

# Conceptual Design of CPS for KLF

Hovanes Egiyan Pavel Degtiarenko

#### Overview

- Introduction
- Model Description
- > Photon Beam from CPS
- Radiation Environment
- > Temperature in CPS absorber
- Summary



2



#### P. Degtiarenko



4

### Current CPS Model

- > Magnet and the absorber are separated by 0.8 meters.
  - □ No heat load on the magnet poles and coils from the core.
  - □ Low radiation exposure to the magnet.
- > Clean-up magnet downstream for charged particles.
  - □ Utilize the existing permanent magnet used in GlueX beamline.
- No tungsten is used in the CPS shielding.
  - $\Box$  We save cost by using lead instead.
  - □ Small amount of a tungsten-copper mix is used for shielding the beam channel and magnet coils.

- > Total estimated weight of CPS is approximately 75 metric tons.
  - Includes downstream beamline shielding.
  - Movable platform will add more weight.
  - □ Tagger Hall should easily handle CPS weighing 100 tons.
  - □ Estimated cost of the current design is ~\$1.1M for CPS
  - □ Upstream beamline instrumentation will be extra.
- > Tim discussed some of the engineering aspects in detail.



## **CPS** Absorber

- Copper core with dimensions of 20cm x 20cm x 114cm.
  - To absorb and dissipate the power from the beam.
  - Copper is not ferromagnetic and is a very good heat conductor.
- Varying size beam channel to trap the secondary particles from the electromagnetic shower.
  - Wider cavity upstream for trapping electrons and EM shower remnants.
- Narrow conical channel with diameter ~1cm for outgoing photons.
- Cooling channels for water flow capable of evacuating ~54 kW power.
- Copper absorber is surrounded by air, steel, and W/Cu mix.
   No direct contact with load
  - □ No direct contact with lead.





P. Degtiarenko

Hovanes Egiyan

8/19/2023

#### Upstream Magnet

- Current CPS design requires ~0.4 T·m magnetic field in the x-direction.
- > We developed a draft model of the magnet.
  - □ Magnet has 60 cm long coils.
  - Bedstead shape of coils for less radiation exposure.
  - The closest distance from coils to the beam center is ~11cm.
- The gap should be on the order 1 cm or more to avoid interaction with beam tails and halo.
  - □ Current design assumes 1.4 cm gap.
- For the second secon
  - □ Total length of the yoke is 60cm
  - □ The transverse size of the yoke is 46cm x 48 cm.
- Chamfered iron poles.
- > We used OPERA to calculate the field in the model.
  - The model can provide a dipole field of 0.67 T at 67 A/cm<sup>2</sup> current density in the coils.
    - Should be able to use Tagger Magnet power supply.
  - □ The field in the yoke is far from saturation point.
  - □ Field map is used in FLUKA simulations.





8/19/2023

### Photon Beam

> We used FLUKA to estimate the beam profile at KPT.

- > Clean photon beam profile with  $\sigma_{\gamma} \approx 1.5$  cm width.
  - □ The photon beam width at KPT is dominated by multiple scattering in the 10% radiator.
  - Vertical distribution has a slight asymmetry (on 0.1% level) favoring negative y-s.
- Charged particle and neutron rates from CPS measured at the KPT location is expected to be very small compared to the photon flux.







#### P. Degtiarenko



#### **Dose Rates**

- Prompt dose rate inside Tagger Hall around CPS is ~20 rem/h.
  - $\Box$  ~30 rem/h right at the CPS surface.
  - □ <10 rem/h far away from CPS
- We evaluated residual dose rate after 10000 hours of continuous operations and 1 hour cool-off time.
  - □ The rates outside of CPS are expected to be <1 mrem/h, that is well within JLAB limits.





Power distribution in (x,y), z = -20 cm

0

(m)-1

-2



0.1

0.01

0.001

0.0001

1e-05

1e-06

Power Deposition Density (kW/cm<sup>3</sup>)

8/19/2023

KLF Collaboration Meeting 2023

Hovanes Egiyan



z(cm)

P. Degtiarenko Power Deposition in the Absorber

- FLUKA provides an output file with power deposition densities in 3D. 37M data points inside absorber
- Almost all of the remaining electron beam power (> 98%) is deposited into the copper absorber.
  - Most likely that only absorber needs cooling.



#### Temperature

- Temperature calculations in the "isolated" absorber is done using power deposition maps obtained using FLUKA.
- Two independent calculations are done by two people using two different software packages:
  - □ ANSYS software, popular among engineers
  - Wolfram Mathematica software, popular among scientists
  - $\hfill\square$   $\hfill$  The results differ by less than ~10  $^{0}\mathrm{C}$
- > The temperature at the hotspot is expected to be
  - $\hfill\square$   $\hfill \sim 190\ensuremath{\,^{0}\text{C}}$  at nominal beam parameters, according to Mathematica.
  - $\hfill\square$  ~183  $^{0}\mathrm{C}$  at nominal beam parameters, according to ANSYS.
- There is no possibility for high temperatures at the outer boundaries of the absorber, except the front side.
  - □ Still need to perform ANSYS evaluation for the whole CPS.





8/19/2023

KLF Collaboration Meeting 2023

Hovanes Egiyan



8/19/2023

- It is important to have a good beam tune on the radiator.
  - □ Excessive radiation in Tagger Hall.
  - □ Higher temperatures in the CPS absorber.
- > We found that beam rastering will not be necessary.
  - □ We will need to make sure that beam profile is wide using wire scans at CPS.
- > Install a girder just upstream of CPS with:
  - □ BCM to measure the beam current,
  - □ BPM to measure beam positions,
  - Wire scanner for beam widths.

#### FSD trips on

- Large electron beam positions excursions,
  Use a collar and ion chambers.
- □ Electron beam angle excursion,
  - $\circ~$  Measure photon beam position at KPT.
- □ Magnet current deviations.
  - $\circ~$  Use power supply ADCs.
  - $\circ~$  Field sensors or pickup coils inside the magnet
- Keep Hall D radiator scanner with ~10<sup>4</sup> dynamic range for the halo measurement.

Test Configuration Name (klcps69)	Z <sub>max</sub> (cm)	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	T <sub>cold</sub> (°C)
All Nominal	37	230	100
$\sigma^{(x,y)}_{beam} = 0.33 \text{ mm}$	43	290	105
$\sigma^{(x,y)}_{beam} = 1.5 mm$	8.5	245	100
97% B-field	56.5	245	100
103% B-field	33	240	100
-1mm shift in Y	8	265	110
+1mm shift in Y	57	265	105
-0.5mrad angle in Y	8.5	265	110
+0.5mrad angle in Y	58	275	105
+1mm shift in X	8.2	260	100
+0.5mrad angle in X	8	260	100

1 cm

## Summary

- > We are in the advanced stages of developing a conceptual design of CPS for Hall D.
  - $\hfill\square$  It should provide photon beam at KPT that would meet KLF requirements.
  - □ We will use a movable platform to be able to restore GlueX beamline.
  - □ The conceptual design is still in progress.
- > We performed FLUKA simulations to estimate the radiation levels around CPS.
  - $\hfill\square$  Radiation environment should be similar to what GlueX would have at 5µA.
- > We are working on optimization of the basic design.
  - Optimize the absorber and magnetic field to further lower the temperature.
  - □ Minimize thermal stresses and deformations.
  - □ Avoid using Barite Concrete in shielding as its delivery may pose schedule risks.
  - Design CPS such that we can isolate a 10-ton core that can be transported as a single item.
- > Engineering design will be the next step.
  - □ Hall D will hire and/or borrow an engineer.
- > At some point the engineering designed will need to be endorsed by KLF collaboration.
  - □ A formal procedure needs to be defined.



#### Thank You!



13

#### **CPS** Requirements

- > Intense photon flux of  $\Phi_{\gamma} > 10^{12}$  photons per second with 1.5 GeV <  $E_{\gamma} < 12$  GeV.
- > Photon beam spot size at KPT with  $2 \cdot FWHM < 6cm$  to make full use of KPT size.
- Radiation environment in the Tagger Hall similar or better than what GlueX would get with 5µA electron beam on nominal GlueX diamond radiator.
  - $\hfill\square$  Prompt equivalent dose rate of ~20 rem/h.
  - □ Activation does rate <5 mrem/h after 10000 hours of operations and 1 hour of cool-down time.
  - □ RadCon limits <1 mrem/h for prompt equivalent dose rate outside of the Tagger Hall.
- Cooling system design that is sufficient to handle ~54 kW power delivered to CPS.
  It will need to be closed-circuit system to avoid activation/contamination.
- > GlueX beamline should be restored relatively quickly without disassembly of CPS.
  - □ GlueX photon beamline is wider than CPS beam channel and is under vacuum.
  - □ We decided to build a movable platform to move CPS beam-left.
  - □ There is sufficient space in the tagger hall for the current CPS design.



P. Degtiarenko

## Accumulated Doses in the Magnets

- Accumulated dose to upstream CPS magnet coils in 10000 hours is expected to be 3x10<sup>4</sup> Gy.
  - Magnet coil insulation made of cyanate ester resins can handle over 10<sup>6</sup> Gy dose.
    - Reference: P.E. Fabian, et al "Novel Radiation-Resistant Insulation Systems for Fusion Magnets," Fusion Engineering and Design, Vol. 61-62, pp. 795-799, 2002

- Accumulated dose in the permanent magnet in 10000 hours is expected to be on the level ~10<sup>7</sup> Gy.
  - Hall D strontium ferrite permanent do not change at such a dose.
    - $\circ\,$  FNAL did not observe any change in B-field after a dose of  $10^7\,Gy.$
    - FNAL gave an upper limit of 1% change, as specified in the magnet specs.



### **KLF** Layout

- > KLF experiment needs to produce high intensity photon beam upstream of KPT.
- > CPS stands for Compact Photon Source; it has been proposed as the photon source.
- > The only possible location for such a source is the Tagger Hall.
- CPS beamline will require major modifications to GlueX photon beamline.



### Accumulated Dose in 10000 hours

- > Accumulated doses are evaluated outside of CPS.
  - We will use this map for equipment installations in the tagger hall.
- > CPS is not expected to be disassembled for a very long time.
  - □ It can be moved aside to restore GlueX photon beamline.



KLF Collaboration Meeting 2023

Hovanes Egiyan