Issue from previous week

- The previous physics calibration was done before the final update of the detector z position and offset
- These changes have almost no effect on the ep, as the energy is almost independent on angle
- For ee, they cause a small shift for the ee elastic peak, and also a phi asymmetry for the peak
- The non-linearity constants have been updated this week to take into account the shifts

Issue from previous week

With the old calibration constants



Issue from previous week

With the new calibration constants



Effect of s-shape correction

With the new calibration constants



spectrum for $4.70 < \theta < 5.20 \text{ deg}$

Effect of s-shape correction

With the new calibration constants



spectrum for $4.70 < \theta < 5.20 \text{ deg}$



Effect of s-shape correction

With the new calleration constants



MC calibration

For 2GeV ee, distributions normalized by integrals

simulation simulation 0.04 0.025 data data 0.035 0.02 0.03 0.025 0.015 0.02 0.01 0.015 0.01 0.005 0.005 0 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500 1600 1700 1100 E' (MeV) 0 600 700 800 900 1000 E' (MeV)

spectrum for $0.90 < \theta < 1.00 \text{ deg}$

spectrum for $1.50 < \theta < 1.60 \text{ deg}$

MC calibration

For 2GeV ep, distributions normalized by ee yield



Super ratio

- With new calibration constants, new simulation and s-shape correction
- With old calibration constants, old simulation and no s-shape correction (same used in report for Volker)



To do

- Finish 1GeV mc calibration by tomorrow afternoon, produce 1GeV super-ratio
- Study different normalization methods
- Born inelastic MAID simulation
- Systematic uncertainty studies