## Lead Glass LMS issue continue

- For the calibration period 1238 ~ 1341 of the 1.1 GeV runs, the following runs are identified as those that have issue with the LMS measurement:
- 1287, 1308~1314, 1323
- This problem is not observed in the PWO modules
- In this study, I try to apply the mean value of LMS measurement from the neighboring runs to the problematic run (using mean values from 1288 to 1336 , excluding the problematic run)
- When using LMS from neighboring runs, only LG is applied, PWO always uses LMS from each run



## Lead Glass LMS issue continue

Showing the mean value of the ratio of (E reconstructed) / (E expected) for ee and ep, for the
problematic runs only




## Lead Glass LMS issue continue

- In the following slides, I shown the deviation of pedestal subtracted LMS from the mean value of neighboring runs, for each of the problematic runs and each module
- Mean values of the neighboring runs are averaged from all the runs between 1288 and 1336, excluding the problematic runs
- The $z$ axis will be:
- $100 \times$ (pedestal subtracted LMS of each run - pedestal subtracted mean LMS from the neighboring runs) / (pedestal subtracted mean LMS from the neighboring runs)
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Run 1323


Run 1308

- This type of fluctuation is common but not for all the LGs, there are exceptions



## Lead Glass LMS issue continue

- We can use physics events to monitor this effect module by module as well, but only ee will have enough statistics with the data of 1 run ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{M}$ events)
- ee will still only have a few hundred events for each module near the edge
- ep is at least one order of magnitude less
- In the following slides, I show the mean value of the ratio of (E reconstructed) / (E expected) for ee for each module, using LMS from the neighboring runs and the LMS from each run itself


## Run 1287 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs
for LG


Using LMS measurement from run 1287

1.05

| 1.05 |
| :--- |
| -1.08 |

2.03
1.02
1.01
$\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.96 \\ 0.09 \\ \hline 0.96 \\ 0.05 \\ \hline 0.95\end{array}$

## Run 1308 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs for LG
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## Run 1309 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs for LG


Using LMS measurement from run 1309


## Run 1310 - ee ratio

This run doesn't have enough statistics, I require a module must have at least 100 events to be fitted

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs
for LG
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Using LMS measurement from run 1310



## Run 1311 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs
for LG
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## Run 1313 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs for LG


Using LMS measurement from run 1313


## Run 1314 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs for LG


Using LMS measurement from run 1314


## Run 1323 - ee ratio

Using LMS measurement from neighboring runs for LG
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## Lead Glass LMS issue continue

- This LMS fluctuation does not seem to appear in the calibration period 1443 to 1516 of the 2.2 GeV runs, the following two plots show the ratio of ( E reconstructed) / (E expected) as a function of run number



