



Proton Charge Radius (PRad) Experiment at Jefferson Lab

Weizhi Xiong Duke University For the PRad Collaboration Spokespersons: A. Gasparian, H. Gao, M. Khandaker, D. Dutta

> SPIN 2016 Septempter 26th, 2016





Outline

- The proton charge radius puzzle
- PRad experiment at Jefferson Lab
 - Experimental goal and approach
 - PRad apparatus
 - Data acquisition status
- Preliminary online analysis results





Proton Charge Radius Puzzle

- Electronic measurement (ep elastic + ordinary H spectroscopy) v.s. muonic measurement (muonic H spectroscopy)
- μp Lamb shift measurements by CREMA (2010, 2013)
 - Unprecedented precision, <0.1%
 - 7σ away from CODATA 2012 recommended value
- The discrepancy is not understood yet. New experiment with different systematic is necessary



Proton Charge Radius from ep Elastic Scattering

• Elastic ep scattering, in the limit of Born approximation (one photon exchange):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Mott}} \left(\frac{E'}{E}\right) \frac{1}{1+\tau} \left(G_E^{p\,2}(Q^2) + \frac{\tau}{\varepsilon}G_M^{p\,2}(Q^2)\right)$$

$$Q^2 = 4EE'\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} \qquad \tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M_p^2} \qquad \varepsilon = \left[1 + 2(1+\tau)\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right]^{-1}$$

• Structure-less proton:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Mott}} = \frac{\alpha^2 \left[1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right]}{4k^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

- G_E and G_M were extracted using Rosenbluth separation, or at extremely low Q^2 the G_M can be ignored



Taylor expansion of G_E at low Q^2

$$G^p_E(Q^2) = 1 - \frac{Q^2}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle + \frac{Q^4}{120} \langle r^4 \rangle + \dots$$

Derivative at low Q² limit

$$\left< r^2 \right> = - \left. 6 \left. \frac{dG_E^p(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \right|_{Q^2 = 0} \right|_{Q^2 = 0}$$

PRad Experiment Overview

- PRad goal: Measuring proton charge radius using ep elastic scattering
- Unprecedented low Q² (~2x10⁻⁴ GeV²)
 - Fill in very low Q² region
- Large Q² range in a single setting
 - ~2x10⁻⁴ 6x10⁻² GeV²
- Calibrate to the simultaneously measured Møller scattering process
 - best known control of systematics
- Aims to extract cross section and radius to sub-percentage level



Jefferson Lab CEBAF Accelerator Facility



PRad Experimental Apparatus



- Windowless, high density H₂ gas flow target (background control)
- Vacuum box, one thin window at downstream
- High resolution and high efficiency, Hybrid calorimeter (HyCal)
- Two Large area Gas Electron Multipliers, improve position resolution

Windowless Gas Target





Areal density: ~2 x 10¹⁸ H atoms / cm² Cell / chamber / vacuum tank pressure: 470 mtorr / 2.3 mtorr / 0.3 mtorr

Hybrid Calorimeter (HyCal)

- Used in the PrimEx experiment
- PbWO₄ and Pb-glass calorimeter (118x118 cm²)
- 576 Pb-glass detectors (3.82x3.82 cm² x45 cm)
- 5.8 m from the target
- ~0.5 sr acceptance



PbWO₄ resolution: $\sigma_E/E = 2.6\%/\sqrt{E}$ $\sigma_{xy} = 2.5 \text{ mm}/\sqrt{E}$







Gas Electron Multipliers (GEM)

- Two large area GEM detectors with 2D Cartesian readout planes
- ~100 μ m position resolution
- The GEM detectors can provide:
 - >20 times improvement on position resolution
 - Similar improve for Q² resolution





PRad in Jefferson Lab Hall B

Beam-side view

GEMs mounted on HyCal



Experimental Data Collected

- Experiment ran in May June 2016
- Large amount of data taken with high quality and stable electron beam from CEBAF
 - Beam position stability: ~250 μm
 - Beam width: ~25 μm
- Data taking with 1.1 GeV beam:
 - 604 M events with H₂ in cell
 - 53 M events without H₂ in cell
 - 25 M events with 1µm carbon foil target
 - Collected 4.2 mC on target (2x10¹⁸ H atoms/cm²)
- Data taking with 2.2 GeV beam
 - 756 M events with H₂ in cell
 - 38 M events without H₂ in cell
 - 10.5 M events with 1µm carbon foil target
 - Collected 14.3 mC on target (2x10¹⁸ H atoms/cm²)

Example electron beam profile at target (measured with harp scan)



11

Preliminary Online Analysis Results

Cluster E vs Scattering Angle θ



Preliminary Online Analysis Results

Cluster E vs Scattering Angle $\boldsymbol{\theta}$



13



The PRad Collaboration

Jefferson Lab. NC A&T State University, **Duke University**, Idaho State University, Mississippi State University, Norfolk State University. **University of Virginia** University of North Carolina at Wilmington, **Old Dominion University.** University of Kentucky, College of William & Mary, **Argonne National Lab**, **Hampton University Tsinghua University, China ITEP, Moscow, Russia Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Russia** MIT

Graduate students

Chao Peng (Duke) Li Ye (MSU) Weizhi Xiong (Duke) Xinzhan Bai (UVa) Abhisek Karki (MSU)

Post-docs Mehdi Meziane (Duke) Zhihong Ye (Duke) Krishna Adhikari (MSU) Maxime Lavillain (NC A&T) Rupesh Silwal (MIT)

Summary

- The *Proton Radius Puzzle* is still unsolved after six years
- The PRad experiment is a unique piece to the Puzzle:
 - Lowest Q² data set (~2x10⁻⁴ GeV) has been collected for the first time in ep elastic scattering experiment
 - Data in a large Q² range (~2x10⁻⁴ 6x10⁻² GeV²) has been collected with the same experimental setting
 - Large statistics, high quality, rich data has been collected
 - Systematic uncertainty well under control by simultaneous measurement of ep elastic and Møller processes
- Analysis is ongoing, first preliminary result expected soon

This work was supported by NSF-MRI grant PHY-1229153 and US DOE grant DE-FG02-07ER41528