Short summary to small angle background simulation

## setup

## All dimension follow real setup



## Collimator in experiment



Collimator
~1.6m to target


Collimator in PRad

## Target Setup



Beam halo


## Beam halo profile



Table 1: Profile parameters obtained by fitting the data to the sum of two Gaussian functions with a common mean for all the scans

|  | scan1 | scan2 (Fig. 6) | scan3 (Fig 4) | scan3-X plate (Fig 5) | scan4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Dec. 5 17:09 | Dec. 9 14:45 | Dec. 9 14:51 | Dec. 9 14:51 | Dec. 10 18:22 |
| $\sigma_{\text {core }}[\mathrm{X}](\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.045 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.106 |
| $\sigma_{\text {halo }}[\mathrm{X}](\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.380 | 0.470 | 0.494 | 0.476 | 0.656 |
| $\sigma_{\text {core }}[\mathrm{Y}](\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.104 | 0.111 | 0.110 |  | 0.085 |
| $\sigma_{\text {halo }}[\mathrm{Y}](\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.949 | 0.855 | 0.771 |  | 0.617 |
| $\frac{A_{\text {halo }}[\mathrm{X}]}{A_{\text {core }}}[$ | $4.2 * 10^{-5}$ | $1.1 * 10^{-5}$ | $8.0 * 10^{-6}$ | $7.3 * 10^{-6}$ | $3 * 10^{-4}$ |
| $\frac{A_{\text {halo }}}{A_{\text {core }}}[\mathrm{Y}]$ | $1.3 * 10^{-5}$ | $4.8 * 10^{-6}$ | $5.8 * 10^{-6}$ |  | $<7 * 10^{-5}$ |
| Motor Speed | $0.250 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.250 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.125 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.125 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Wires | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 1 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ plate | $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

[Ref: A. P. Freyberger, large dynamic range beam profile measurements]

## e-p yield from collimator and residue gas



Only collimator, no residue gas


Only residue gas, no collimator

## Collimator in experiment

- Red: from simulation, target at 0 , for compare
- Light blue: from experimental data, empty target run
- Blue: from simulation, with collimator, residue gas

e-p yield with collimator and residue gas

Roughly scaled by beam flux according to beam halo profile

## Previous simulation results

- Residue gas in combination with beam pipe will only have effect on the slope of the background yield line, no bump
- Bump due to a sharp peak at around 1.6 m upstream, which is the same location where collimator ends.




## Target Setup

- Different residue gas distribution will lead to different ep yield line (shape change).
- Against residue gas distribution range



## Shape compare for residue gas



- The longer residue gas distribution, the more change in ep yield shape
- In simulation, ( $-1.2 \mathrm{~m}, 0 \mathrm{~m}$ ) residue gas distribution agrees most with experimental data


## Collimator in experiment

poll fitting for residue gas

pol2 fitting for residue gas

$\mathrm{kl}=-3.144$
$\mathrm{k} 2=-3.746$

Slope relative change: 19\%

## Compare between experimental data and simulation



