A study of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)$ decay in photoproduction at 3.6 GeV < E_{beam} < 5.45 GeV (CLAS-g12)

Status Report

Carlos Salgado

on behalf of Andres Melo this is his work of Master's Thesis Universidad de la República, Uruguay

CLAS Hadron Spectroscopy Meeting, 6/18/2015

CLAS Meeting Melo / Salgado JLab June , 2015

Thursday, June 18, 2015

Outline

- pπ⁺π⁻(π⁰) (g-12) data
- extraction of the omega signal
- theory
- comparisons with theory
- summary

Why this study of the ω decay?

A physics model that extends the isobar model in PWA.

In this case a study of three-body decays (involved in many exotic searches)and comparison of different models with the isobar two-body calculations.

- •Analyticity, Unitarity, crossing
- •Regge theory
- •Dispersion relations

• ...



also B. Kubis et al.



g12 CLAS run

Search for new forms of hadronic matter in photoproduction

Data taking completed in 2008
Photon Energy up to 5.5 GeV
More than 26 billion triggers (2-prong + 3-prong)
Total Luminosity: 68 pb⁻¹
Data processing completed and physics analysis in progress

General ANALYSIS PROCEDURE being reviewed.

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}(n) \\ \gamma p \rightarrow (\pi^{0})\pi^{+}\pi^{-}p \\ \gamma p \rightarrow K^{+}K^{+} (\Xi^{*-})(1530) \\ \gamma p \rightarrow pK^{+}K^{-} (\eta \Phi) \\ \gamma p \rightarrow (p\pi^{+}\Delta) \pi^{-}(\eta) \\ \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+}K^{+}K^{-}(n) \\ \gamma p \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}p \end{array}$$

Meson Spectroscopy
Search for exotic mesons
Study of Strangeonia
...

Baryon SpectroscopyCascades







We also performed cuts on the particles timing (ToF), which is the difference between the time of flight measured (ToF-SC) and the calculated through the pathlength assuming a given ID mass. We used $(dTOF \pi^{+})^{2} + (dTOF \pi^{-})^{2} < 4, (dTOF p)^{2} + (dTOF \pi^{-})^{2} < 4$



Kinematical Fit to π^{o} using standard g12 KF -> see g12 general procedures note









Finally, the fiducial and TOF knockout cuts are considered.

(standard g12 - see general note)



Proton Missing Mass (all cuts)

CLAS Meeting Melo / Salgado JLab June , 2015

Thursday, June 18, 2015





$$\Sigma(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \,\omega^i(s)$$

The variable

$$\omega(s) = \frac{\sqrt{s_i - s_E} - \sqrt{s_i - s_E}}{\sqrt{s_i - s_E} + \sqrt{s_i - s_E}}$$

 $F(s,t,u)=F_o(s,t)+a_oF_1(s,t)$

(code available in the web at: http://cgl.soic.indiana.edu/jpac/)

Dalitz Analysis in x vs y













Fit parametric model to data using the PyPWA framework - (generalShell module) see <u>https://pypwa.jlab.org</u>

Performing an Unbinned Extended Likelihood fit:

$$-ln\mathscr{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i ln \left[I(\overrightarrow{x}_i, \overrightarrow{a}) \right] + \frac{1}{N_g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} I(\overrightarrow{x}_i, \overrightarrow{a})$$

Minimized the negative log-likelihood on the model parameters

In our case:

$I(sD,tD,\theta_{Adair},\phi_{Adair}, parameters) = production*decay$

3 Fitted Function

0

 $I(sD, tD, uD, \theta, \phi, \mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{A3}, \mathbf{A4}, \mathbf{A5}) = \mathbf{A1} * W(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{A3}, \mathbf{A4}) * P(sD, tD, uD) * [F(sD, tD, uD, \mathbf{A5})]^{2}$ (15)
(15)

whre W is the Schilling et al. spin dendity matrix (no-polarization):

$$W(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{A3}, \mathbf{A4}) =$$
(16)

$$\frac{3}{4\pi} \left[0.5 * (1 - \mathbf{A2}) + 0.5 * (3 * \mathbf{A2} - 1)\cos^2(\theta) - \sqrt{2} * \mathbf{A3} * \sin^2(\theta)\cos(\phi) - \mathbf{A4} * \sin^2(\theta)\cos^2(\phi) \right]$$
(17)

and θ, ϕ are Adair's angles. P is a kinematic factor given by:

$$P(sD, tD, uD) = sD * tD * uD - m_{\pi}^{2} \left[M^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2} \right]^{2}$$
(18)

where sD, tD, uD are the Mandelstam variables of the decay such that: $sD = (p_X - p_{\pi^+}) tD = (p_X - p_{\pi^-})$ and $uD = (p_X - p_{\pi^0})$.

and $p_X = p_{\pi^+} + p_{\pi^-} + p_{\pi^0}$, M is the mass of the three pion system and m_{π} the mass of the pion (plus).

F (sD,tD,uD,A5) is Igor Danilkin et al. amplitude given for a call to his fortran code.

MC was generated with a t-slope of 3 GeV⁻² to match data low t distributions. - all t are included in current fits. (future analysis of t dependence is planned).

Preliminary Fits results

All	A2	A3	A4	A5
3.5-4.0	0.315	-0.016	-0.021	-12.53
4.0-4.5	0.315	-0.016	-0,021	-12.78
4.5 - 5.0	0.315	-0.016	-0.021	-12.82
5.0 - 5.5	0.191	0.018	-0.007	-12.8

nonF	A2	A3	A4
3.5 - 4.0	0.27	-0.018	-0.023
4.0 - 4.5	0.28	-0.026	-0,068
4.5 - 5.0	0.29	-0.022	-0.039
5.0 - 5.5	0.31	0.016	-0.021

F	A2	A3	A4	A5
3.5 - 4.0	0.194	-0.016	-0.007	0 (fixed)
3.5 - 4.0	0.299	-0.018	-0,08	1 (fixed)
3.5 - 4.0	0.191	-0.018	-0.007	-12.8 (fixed normalization)

Still studying stability of fits: next steps

(ii) Circular polarization of helicity
$$\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1$$
:

$$W^{\pm}(\cos\theta, \phi) = W^{0}(\cos\theta, \phi) \pm P_{\gamma} W^{3}(\cos\theta, \phi) .$$

$$W^{0}(\cos\theta, \phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_{00}^{0}) + \frac{1}{2}(3\rho_{00}^{0} - 1)\cos^{2}\theta - \sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\rho_{10}^{0}\sin 2\theta \cos\phi - \rho_{1-1}^{0}\sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi}\right),$$
Schilling et al

$$W^{3}(\cos\theta,\phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} (+\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} \rho_{10}^{3} \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + \operatorname{Im} \rho_{1-1}^{3} \sin^{2} \theta \sin 2\phi)$$

Parametrization of Dalitz intensity through:

$$x = \sqrt{z} \cos \vartheta, \quad y = \sqrt{z} \sin \vartheta,$$
 (39)

and fit the following polynomial expansion

$$|F_{par}(z,\vartheta)|^{2} = |N|^{2} \left(1 + 2\alpha z + 2\beta z^{3/2} \sin(3\vartheta) + 2\gamma z^{2} + 2\delta z^{5/2} \sin(3\vartheta) + \mathcal{O}(z^{3})\right)$$
 (40) Kubis et al

Preliminary Results and Things still to be done

• $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)$ events for 3.6< E_{photon} <5.4 GeV have been extracted given a mass for the ω of 783.5 MeV and width of 9.92 MeV (PDG: 782.65,8.49). Sample with very small background.

- Comparison with theory has started:
 - •Data seems dominated by P-wave (as expected).

•The extra-terms of the three-body decay models are important at the edges of the Dalitz plots where acceptance/statistics are very limited.

Next steps:

- Study Fit stability.
- Introduce other parametrization (and polarization,...)
- Study Energy and t dependancies.