## Simulating radiative effects in GEANT4

Tyler Kutz Stony Brook University

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm December}\ 13,\ 2018 \\ {\rm MARATHON}\ {\rm analysis}\ {\rm meeting} \end{array}$ 

### Overview

1. Introduction

2. Simulation overview


 $3.\ {\bf Preliminary\ results}$ 

#### Motivation

Do radiative effects cancel when measuring cross section ratios?

- Investigate with GEANT4
- Simulate passage of electrons through all material upstream of spectrometer
- Include complete definition of target gases and cell geometries to identify differences (if any) in radiative effects between targets
- Examine bin smearing resulting from radiative effects

### Radiative kinematics




Observed kinematics calculated from beam and detected energy:

$$Q_{obs}^2 = 2E_b E_d (1 - \cos \theta)$$
  $\nu_{obs} = E_b - E_d$   $x_{obs} = \frac{Q_{obs}^2}{2M\nu_{obs}}$ 

True kinematics calculated from initial and final energy of the Born diagram:

$$Q_{true}^2 = 2E_0 E_f (1 - \cos \theta)$$
  $\nu_{true} = E_0 - E_f$   $x_{true} = \frac{Q_{true}^2}{2M\nu_{true}}$ 

## Geometry




- Air in world volume
- Vacuum in scattering chamber
- 0.2003 mm beryllium window upstream of target
- Target cell details on next slide
- 0.406 mm aluminum scattering chamber window
- 81.6 cm separation between chamber window and Q1
- 0.305 mm Kapton Q1 window
- Detector directly behind Q1 window

# Target cell



- Cell thickness (gray) from TGT-RPT-17-007
- Gas volume (blue) based on densities from TGT-CALC-17-020
  - Hydrogen:  $(^{1}\text{H})_{2}$  gas at  $\rho = 2.832 \text{ mg/cm}^{3}$
  - Deuterium: (<sup>2</sup>H)<sub>2</sub> gas at  $\rho = 5.686 \text{ mg/cm}^3$
  - Tritium:  $(^{3}\text{H})_{2}$  gas at  $\rho = 3.404 \text{ mg/cm}^{3}$
  - Helium: (<sup>3</sup>He) gas at  $\rho = 2.135 \text{ mg/cm}^3$
  - Pressure calculated for ideal gas at  $T=45~\mathrm{K}$

### Control flow



# Uniform scattering

Uniform scattering process implemented with G4VDiscreteProcess

- Non-physical! Does not sample from cross-sections
- Looking for thorough coverage of phase space

Each scattering event follows these steps, where  $E_{pre}$  is the GEANT4 track energy at scattering:

- 1. Sample uniformly from  $\cos\theta$  (range depends on HRS angle) and  $\phi$
- 2. Given  $\theta$ , sample uniformly from  $0 < Q_{true}^2 < 2E_{pre}E_{pre}(1-\cos\theta)$
- 3. Apply internal bremsstrahlung (a function of  $Q^2$ ) using effective radiator approximation (Mo and Tsai) to obtain  $E_0$
- 4. Calculate  $E_f$ ,  $\nu_{true}$ , and  $x_{true}$
- 5. Apply internal bremsstrahlung once again to obtain  $E_{post}$
- 6. Set new electron energy and angle due to "scattering"


### Detection and cuts

- After scattering, electrons continue to radiate externally until detected
- Beam energy  $E_b$  and detected energy  $E_d$  are used to calculate observed kinematic variables
- The following cuts are applied:
  - $|\theta_{TRANSPORT}| < 0.06$
  - $|\phi_{TRANSPORT}| < 0.03$
  - $|\delta p/p| < 0.04$
  - Scattering vertex within |z| < 10 cm by design

## Bin smearing


We are interested in smearing between  $x_{true}$  and  $x_{obs}$  bins

 $\rightarrow$  look at histograms of  $x_{true}$  vs.  $x_{obs}$ 



- Absolute bin contents are not relevant
- Normalize by total number of events to obtain percentage of events that are (or are not) binned correctly


### Simulation results



## Summary

- GEANT4 program simulates bin smearing due to radiative effects (shown here for kin 1)
- Simulation underway for other kinematic settings
- Additional analysis of output needed to answer final question...
  ...do radiative effects cancel in cross section ratios?

## $x_{obs}$ column normalization



## $x_{true}$ row normalization

