Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences Řež, 15/10-18/10/2024

WEPH RE:2024 Workshop of Electro- and Photoproduction of Hypernuclei and Related Topics 2024



Changes in the nuclear shapes in the N = 40, 60, 90 regions

Adam Prášek^a, Petr Alexa^a, Dennis Bonatsos^b, <u>Dimitrios Petrellis</u>^c, Gabriela Thiamová^d, Petr Veselý^c

^aDepartment of Physics, VŠB, Technical University Ostrava ^bInstitute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, N.C.S.R. "Demokritos" ^cNuclear Physics Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences ^dUniversite Grenoble 1, CNRS, LPSC, Institute Polytechnique de Grenoble, IN2P3

This work is dedicated to the memory of Adam Prášek

- Historical overview
- Experimental signatures of structural change
- Shell model interpretation
- Microscopic self-consistent calculations
- Algebraic Collective Model calculations

published in: Phys. Rev. C 110, 024317 (2024)

• 1975-1979: A. Arima and F. Iachello introduce the Interacting Boson Model (IBM)

F. lachello and A. Arima, The Interacting Boson Model(Cambridge U. Press, 1987)

- 1977: Federman and Pittel mechanism P. Federman and S. Pittel, Phys. Lett. B 69, 385 (1977)
- 1980-1981: *Shape* phase transitions in the classical limit of the IBM

A. E. L. Dieperink, O. Scholten and F. Iachello, Phys.Rev. Lett. 44, 1747 (1980) D. H. Feng, R. Gilmore and S. R. Deans, Phys. Rev. C 23, 1254 (1981)

• 2000-2001: E(5), X(5) critical point symmetries

F. lachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3580 (2000) F. lachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052502 (2001)

Collective shape variables (β, γ)



Schematic evolution of structure



Adapted from:

R. F. Casten, Nuclear Structure from a Simple Perspective (2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000)

Energy ratios R_{4/2}(N)

 $R_{4/2} = \frac{E(4_1^+)}{E(2_1^+)}$

$$\frac{dR_{4/2}}{dN}(N) = R_{4/2}(N) - R_{4/2}(N-2)$$



B(E2) transition rates

 $B(E2; 2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)$

$$\frac{dB(E2;2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)}{dN}(N) = B(E2;2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)(N) - B(E2;2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)(N-2)$$



Energy ratios R_{2/0}

 $R_{2/0} = \frac{E(2_1^+)}{E(0_2^+)}$



Energy ratios R_{2/2}

 $R_{2/2} = \frac{E(2^+_{\gamma})}{E(2^+_1)}$

$$\frac{dR_{2/2}}{dN}(N) = R_{2/2}(N) - R_{2/2}(N-2)$$



A mechanism for the onset of deformation

Shell model magic numbers: 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126 => major shells

subshell structure (gaps) depends on the number of protons and neutrons present => *effective* shells

e.g. for $Z \approx 60$, $N \approx 90$ when N < 90 *effective* proton shell: Z = 50 - 64

 \Rightarrow midshell at Z \approx 56

= but, as the neutron $h_{9/2}$ begins to fill

monopole p-n interaction between spin-orbit partner orbitals $h_{11/2}$ proton and $h_{9/2}$ neutron lowers the $h_{11/2}$ proton level, eliminating the Z = 64 gap

P. Federman and S. Pittel, Phys. Lett. B 69, 385 (1977)

R. F. Casten, Nuclear Structure from a Simple Perspective (2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000) T. Otsuka, Physics 4, 258 (2022)



A mechanism for the onset of deformation

when N \ge 90 *effective* proton shell: Z = 50 - 76

- => new midshell position at $Z \approx 62$
- => new position for the lowest-lying 2^{+}_{1}

=> affects nuclei with Z = 60-66 (Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy)





in the A = 100 region: proton $1g_{7/2}$, neutron $1g_{7/2}$

fig. from R. F. Casten op.cit.

Microscopic calculations: SHF + BCS



SkyAx code:
initial single particle states = Nilsson orbitals
$$\rightarrow \beta > 0$$
: prolate, $\beta < 0$: oblate

P.-G. Reinhard, B. Schuetrumpf, and J. A. Maruhn, Comp. Phys. Comm. 258, 107603 (2021).

15 Skyrme parametrizations: {SV-bas, SV-tls, SV-mas07,... }

constrained calculations: (quadrupole) moment is fixed => Potential Energy Curves (PEC)

PEC for ₃₄Se isotopes (N=34-46)



SV-bas

 $N = 40 \rightarrow {}^{74}Se$

SV-mas07

PEC for 40Zr isotopes (N=52-64)



SV-bas

 $N = 60 \rightarrow {}^{100}Zr$

SV-mas07

PECs for 42Mo isotopes (N=52-64)



SV-bas

 $N = 60 \rightarrow {}^{102}Mo$

SV-mas07

PECs for 60Nd isotopes (N=84-96)



SV-bas

 $N=90 \rightarrow {}^{150}Nd$

SV-mas07

Algebraic Collective Model (ACM)

A computationally tractable version of the collective model of **Bohr** and **Mottelson**

basis w.f.: $SU(1,1) \times SO(5) \supset U(1) \times SO(3) \supset SO(2) => matrix computed radial angular <math>\beta$ -w.f. 5-dim sph. har.

=> matrix elements computed analytically

- D. J. Rowe, T. A. Welsh, and M. A. Caprio, Phys. Rev. C 79, 054304 (2009). D. J. Rowe and J. L. Wood, Fundamentals of nuclear models (World Scientific, Singapore, 2010)
- T. A. Welsh and D. J. Rowe, Comput. Phys. Commun. 200, 220 (2016)

ACM calculations: spectra and B(E2)s







ACM Potential Energy Curves



¹⁵⁰Nd

ACM Potential Energy Curves



ACM Potential Energy Curves



In general, potentials resulting from ACM calculations show similarities with ones used in the Bohr Hamiltonian in the context of critical point symmetries, e.g.

- displaced infinite square well,
- infinite square well with a sloped wall,
- Davidson

- Certain experimental quantities, such as energy ratios: R4/2,... and B(E2) transition rates serve as benchmarks for nuclear structure
- Valence **p-n** interactions are the driving force for structural change
- Microscopic calculations in the N = 40, 60, 90 regions show signs of a *first*-order phase transition with coexisting minima in the PECs
- Potentials resulting from the ACM calculations show similarities with ones used in the context of the Bohr Hamiltonian (critical point symmetries)

IOP PUBLISHING

JOURNAL OF PHYSICS G: NUCLEAR AND PARTICLE PHYSICS

J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34 (2007) R285–R320

doi:10.1088/0954-3899/34/7/R01

TOPICAL REVIEW

Quantum phase transitions and structural evolution in nuclei

R F Casten and E A McCutchan

Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA



Figure 7. Energy surfaces for nuclei with successively larger numbers of valence nucleons plotted against the quadrupole deformation β (left) for a second-order phase transition and (middle) for a first-order phase transition. Right: the curve 'Crit.' repeated along with the square well ansatz that embodies the essential features of X(5) and E(5). The inset to the middle figure represents a set of shell model orbits *a* and *b*.

Bohr-Motelson collective model - Shapes

$$R(\theta,\varphi) = R_0 \left[1 + \sum_{\mu=-2}^{2} \alpha_{\mu} Y_{2,\mu}^*(\theta,\varphi) \right]$$

Shape coordinates

$$a_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu=-2}^{2} \alpha_{\mu} D_{\mu,\nu}^*(\theta_i) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_2 = a_{-2} \\ a_1 = a_{-1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_0 = \beta \cos \gamma \qquad \beta, \gamma \quad \text{Intrinsic (shape)}\beta \quad 0, \ 60^{\circ} \quad \gamma \quad 0^{\circ} \\ \theta_i, (i = 1,2,3) \quad \text{Collective (orientation)} \end{cases}$$

Spherical

$$Prolate$$

$$\beta \neq 0, \gamma = 0^{\circ}$$

$$\beta \neq 0, \gamma = 60^{\circ}$$

$$\beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$$

Vibrations and Rotations



Spectrum of axially deformed nucleus

Typical rotation-vibrational spectrum



from Greiner and Maruhn (1995)

IBM- the building blocks

Fermion Pairs

Bosons



Unitary tranformations

6-dim $\begin{cases} s^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle &\longrightarrow U(6) \\ d_{m}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle &\longrightarrow U(6) \end{cases}$ $\begin{array}{c} 36 \text{ generators} & \text{Tensor products} \\ G_{\alpha\beta} = b_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \widetilde{b}_{\beta}, & & \\ & & & \\ f & & \\ Closed \text{ shell} \end{cases}$ $\begin{array}{c} 36 \text{ generators} & G_{\kappa}^{(k)}(l,l') = [b_{l}^{\dagger} \times \widetilde{b}_{l'}]_{\kappa}^{(k)}, \\ & & & \\ (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 6) & (l, l' = 0, 2) \end{cases}$

IBM-Algebraic structure

 $U(6) \supset \begin{cases} U(5) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3) \\ |n_d \quad \tau \quad \nu_\Delta \quad L > \\ SU(3) \supset SO(3) \\ |(\lambda,\mu) \quad K_L \quad L > \\ SO(6) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3) \\ |\sigma \quad \tau \quad \nu_\Delta \quad L > \\ H = \kappa_1 C_1 [U(5)] + \kappa'_1 C_2 [U(5)] + \kappa_2 C_2 [SU(3)] + \kappa_3 C_2 [SO(6)] + \kappa_4 C_2 [SO(5)] + \kappa_5 C_2 [SO(3)] \end{cases}$

Multipole expansion

 $H = E'_0 + \varepsilon_d \hat{n}_d + c_1(\hat{L} \cdot \hat{L}) + c_2(\hat{Q}^{\chi} \cdot \hat{Q}^{\chi}) + c_3(\hat{T}^{(3)} \cdot \hat{T}^{(3)}) + c_4(\hat{T}^{(4)} \cdot \hat{T}^{(4)})$

Operators:

$$\hat{n}_{d} = (d^{\dagger} \cdot \widetilde{d}) \qquad \hat{Q}^{\chi} = [d^{\dagger} \times s + s^{\dagger} \times \widetilde{d}]^{(2)} + \chi [d^{\dagger} \times \widetilde{d}]^{(2)}$$
$$\hat{L} = \sqrt{10} [d^{\dagger} \times \widetilde{d}]^{(1)} \qquad \hat{T}^{(k)} = [d^{\dagger} \times \widetilde{d}]_{m}^{(k)}$$

The classical limit of IBM

Boson
condensate
$$|N;\beta,\gamma\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \left[b^{\dagger}(\beta,\gamma) \right]^{V} |0\rangle$$
$$b^{\dagger}(\beta,\gamma) = \frac{1}{(1+\beta^{2})^{1/2}} \left[s^{\dagger} + \beta \cos \gamma d_{0}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta \sin \gamma (d_{+2}^{\dagger} + d_{-2}^{\dagger}) \right]^{V} |0\rangle$$

Energy surfaces

$$E(\beta, \gamma) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle N; \beta, \gamma | H | N; \beta, \gamma \rangle$$

...to be minimized

Shape phase transitions



 $U(5) \longrightarrow SU(3) : \chi = -\sqrt{7}/2 \quad 1^{st} \text{ order}$ $U(5) \longrightarrow SO(6) : \chi = 0 \qquad 2^{nd} \text{ order}$

Signatures of phase transitions

$$\hat{H}(\xi) = (1 - \xi)\hat{H}_1 + \xi\hat{H}_2$$



Position Index

$$I(q,\pi) = \sum_{i_{(q,\pi)}} \left(0.5 - |v_i^2 - 0.5| \right)$$
 v/2: occupation probability of state i

$$q = n, p \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \pm$$
 what kind of levels are closest to the Fermi surface

$$\int_{u_{i_{(q,\pi)}}^{u_{(q,\pi)}} \frac{1}{u_{i_{(q,\pi)}}^{u_{(q,\pi)}} \frac{1}{u_{i_{(q$$

