

Project: Hall C Solid targets for X>1, CAFE, EMC etc.
Tittle: Solid Target Thicknesses for Fall 2022
Document Number: TGT-CALC-22-035
Revision: 0
Author: Dave Meekins
Applicable Codes and Standards N/A
Reference: Leo: Techniques for Nuclear and Particle Physics Experiments
Reference Drawing(s):
Solid target thicknesses determined from measurements made in EEL and ORNL. These solid targets are in the general shape of a puck. Assays provided by American Elements,
Uncertainties on diameter (measured at at least 2 locations) are conservatively estimated at 0.02 mm. Note not all targets are installed on ladder 1. Lithium targets are not listed here.
Uncertainties on diameter (measured at at least 2 locations) are conservatively estimated at 0.02 mm. Note not all targets are installed on ladder 1. Lithium targets are not listed here.
Uncertainties on diameter (measured at at least 2 locations) are conservatively estimated at 0.02 mm. Note not all targets are installed on ladder 1. Lithium targets are not listed here.
Uncertainties on diameter (measured at at least 2 locations) are conservatively estimated at 0.02 mm. Note not all targets are installed on ladder 1. Lithium targets are not listed here.



Boron Carbide:	
B4C-10 and B4C-11 supplied by American Elements	
B4C-10: Assay 96.6% Boron-10 Chemical purity 99.99%	
$d := 12.57 \cdot mm$	diameter of puck
$m := 0.7152 \cdot gm$	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.241 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.576 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.002 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
<i>t</i> :=2.45• <i>mm</i>	measured thickness
$\rho \coloneqq \frac{\rho t}{t} = 2.352 \frac{gm}{cm^3}$	density



B4C-10: Assay 99.8% Boron-11 Chemical purity 99.99%	
<i>d</i> :=12.58 ⋅ <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
$m := 0.7867 \cdot gm$	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.243 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t := \frac{m}{A} = 0.633 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta \overline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A\right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.002 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
₿:=2.6•mm	measured thickness
$ \varrho := \frac{\rho t}{t} = 2.434 \frac{gm}{cm^3} $	density



Copper:	
Supplied by GoodFellow 99.999% pure	
$\underline{d} := 12.75 \cdot mm$	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=1.2032 ⋅ <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.277 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.942 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$((\delta m)^2 (m)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	
$\underbrace{\delta\rho t} := \left(\left(\frac{\delta n \epsilon}{A} \right) + \left(\frac{n \epsilon}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right) \right) = 0.003 \frac{g n \epsilon}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Calci	um 48:										
The after heat Ca48	Ca48 target was fa a beam missteeri sink. The batch/lo 3 is 90.04%.	abricated fror ng event cau ot for this ma	n mat sed th terial	erial le ne orig from (eft fro inal ta DRNL	om an arget is 900	emerg to melt)242. T	ency fa and a he isol	abrication Iloy with topic enr	n for CRI the cop ichment	EX per of
	$d := 12.775 \cdot mm$							dia	meter of	puck	
	<i>m</i> :=1.3466 ⋅ <i>gm</i>							ma	ss of puc	k	
	$\delta d \coloneqq 0.02 \cdot mm$							unc	certainty	in diame	ter
	<u>δm</u> :=0.0005 ⋅ gn	ı						unc	certainty	in mass	
	$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.28$	32 <i>cm</i> ²						are	a of pucl	<	
	$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 1.051$	$\frac{gm}{cm^2}$						are	al densit	y of targ	et
	$\delta A := \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0$	$0.004 \ cm^2$						unc	certainty	in area	
	$\delta \rho t := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 \right)^2$	$\left(\frac{m}{A^2}\!\cdot\!\delta A ight)^2 ight)^{\!$	=0.0	03 <u>g</u> cn	<u>n</u> 1 ²			unc	certainty	in areal	density



Calciu	m 40:	
The C	a40 target was fabricated at ORNL batch number is 118740.	Enrichment is 99.965%.
	d]:=12.71 • mm	diameter of puck
	$m := 0.9959 \cdot gm$	mass of puck
	δd := 0.02 • mm	uncertainty in diameter
	$\delta m := 0.001 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
[$\underline{A} := \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.269 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
	$ot := \frac{m}{A} = 0.785 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
	$\overline{\delta A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
($\overline{\delta\rho t} := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.003 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
	Note: mass and diameter of puck determined at ORNL	



Iron 54:	
The iron 54 target was fabricated at ORNL. The batch	is 166644. The enrichment 97.68%.
<i>d</i> :=12.69 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.4645 • <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.265 \ \boldsymbol{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.367 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.001 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
Note: mass and diameter of puck determined	at ORNL



Aluminum:	
Aluminum is from American Elements 99.9% pure.	
<i>d</i> :=12.73 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.5854 • <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.273 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.46 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\underline{\delta\rho t} := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.001 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Titanium Target from American Elements. 99.99% pure.	
<i>d</i> :=12.73 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.3747 ⋅ <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d \coloneqq 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.273 \ cm^2$	area of puck
$\rho t := \frac{m}{A} = 0.294 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.001 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Beryllium: Be target from AE 99.5% pure	
<i>d</i> := 12.49 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=1.2077 ⋅ <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.225 \ \boldsymbol{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t := \frac{m}{A} = 0.986 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$\underline{\delta\rho t} := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.003 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Carbon:	
From AE 99.99% pure	
<i>d</i> :=12.63• <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
$m := 0.7188 \cdot gm$	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.253 \ \boldsymbol{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.574 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^2 = 0.002 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Silver: From AE 99.9% pure	
<i>d</i> :=12.82 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.6821 • <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.291 \ \boldsymbol{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.528 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t := \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.002 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density



Other solid targets:																				
Other targets were measured and installed for the previous configuration and documented in TGT-RPT-22-001. There values are listed here.																				
Units are g/cm^2																				
	Ni64	0.7	260	л т	_/_	0 0	00	5												
•	Ni58 Au	0.2	200 240 404 546	8 + 7 -	-/- +/- ⊦/-	0.0	00	5 4 6 6												
	511	0.	JTU	12 7	-/-	0.0	00	0												



Thorium Target:

Thorium was supplied by GoodFellow and consists of 5 foils punched from the same sheet of material which is nominally 0.05 mm thick and 99.5% pure. See log entry 4011074 for more details.

Foil 1

<i>d</i> :=12.31 • <i>mm</i>		diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.0809 ⋅ <i>gm</i>		mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.03 \cdot mm$		uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$		uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.19 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$		area of puck
$\rho t_1 \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.068 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$		areal density of target
$\delta \underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.006$	cm ²	uncertainty in area
$\delta ho t_1 \coloneqq \left(\left(rac{\delta m}{A} ight)^2 + \left(rac{m}{A^2} ight)^2 ight)$	$\left(\delta A\right)^2 \left(\delta A\right)^2 = \left(5.35 \cdot 10^{-4}\right) \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
Foil 2		
<i>d</i> :=12.38 ⋅ <i>mm</i>		diameter of puck
<i>m</i> ≔0.0830 • <i>gm</i>		mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.05 \cdot mm$		uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$		uncertainty in mass
$A := \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.204 \ cm$	2	area of puck

Jefferson Lab

09/24/2022

$\rho t_2 \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.069 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta \underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.01 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t_2 \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A\right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(6.948 \cdot 10^{-4}\right) \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ uncertainty in areal density
Foil 3	
<i>d</i> :=12.61 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.0868 ⋅ <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.01 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m := 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.249 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$\rho t_3 \coloneqq \frac{m}{A} = 0.07 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal density of target
$\delta \overline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.002 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t_3 \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A\right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(4.153 \cdot 10^{-4}\right) \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density
Foil 4	
<i>d</i> :=12.31 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
<i>m</i> :=0.0760 ⋅ <i>gm</i>	mass of puck
$\delta d := 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter



$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$\underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.19 \ \boldsymbol{cm}^2$	area of puck
$ \rho t_4 := \frac{m}{A} = 0.064 \frac{gm}{cm^2} $	areal density of target
$\delta \underline{A} \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t_4 \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{A^2} \cdot \delta A \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(4.686 \cdot 10^{-4} \right) \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertainty in areal density

Foil 5

<i>d</i> :=12.23 • <i>mm</i>	diameter of puck
$\underline{m} \coloneqq 0.0809 \cdot \underline{gm}$	mass of puck
$\delta d \coloneqq 0.02 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in diameter
$\delta m \coloneqq 0.0005 \cdot gm$	uncertainty in mass
$A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d^2 = 1.175 \ \mathbf{cm}^2$	area of puck
$ \rho t_5 := \frac{m}{A} = 0.069 \frac{gm}{cm^2} $	areal density of target
$\delta A \coloneqq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot d \cdot \delta d = 0.004 \ cm^2$	uncertainty in area
$\delta\rho t_{5} \coloneqq \left(\left(\frac{\delta m}{A} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{m}{A^{2}} \cdot \delta A \right)^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(4.815 \cdot 10^{-4} \right) \frac{gm}{cm^{2}}$	uncertainty in areal densi







Dummy Targets Dummy targets fabricated from solid piece of ASTM B2 was measured prior to machining	209 AL7075. Density of the base metal
$\boldsymbol{\wp} \coloneqq 2.791 \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{gm}}{\boldsymbol{cm}^3}$	base density
$\delta \rho \coloneqq 0.01 \cdot \frac{gm}{cm^3}$	uncertainty in density
$t_1 := 0.86 \cdot mm$	Thickness of target 1 at beam location (center of foil)
$t_2 \coloneqq 0.846 \cdot mm$	Thickness of target 2 at beam location (center of foil)
$\delta t := 0.01 \cdot mm$	uncertainty in thickness
$\rho t_1 \coloneqq \rho \cdot t_1 = 0.24 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal thickness of foils 1
$\underbrace{\delta\rho t_{1}} \coloneqq \left(\left(t_{1} \cdot \delta\rho \right)^{2} + \left(\rho \cdot \delta t \right)^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.003 \frac{gm}{cm^{2}}$	uncertain of area thickness foil 1
$\rho t_2 \coloneqq \rho \cdot t_2 = 0.236 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	areal thickness of foils 1
$\overline{\delta\rho t_2} \coloneqq \left(\left(t_2 \cdot \delta\rho \right)^2 + \left(\rho \cdot \delta t \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.003 \frac{gm}{cm^2}$	uncertain of area thickness foil 1